This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

+ *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.

+ *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.

+ *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.

+ *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at `http://books.google.com/`

NYPL RESEARCH LIBRARIES
3433 08242304 1

EX LIBRIS

EASTERN LTA

THE

WILLIAM M. FISCHER

LAWN TENNIS

LIBRARY

MVED
Wright

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY
ASTOR LENOX & TILDEN
FOUNDATIONS

JOSEPH T. WHITTELSEY
P.O. BOX 1672.

WRIGHT & DITSON'S

Lawn Tennis Guide

FOR 1895

EDITED BY JOSEPH T. WHITTELSEY

WRIGHT & DITSON, PUBLISHERS
BOSTON, MASS.

PREFACE.

Having been duly authorized (as per certificate on opposite page) to publish the *Official Rules, Constitution, and By-laws of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association*, we have produced this Guide, using every precaution to have its contents *correct* and *reliable*; and to make it more acceptable to the tennis fraternity, have added articles on the game, and items of interest.

COPYRIGHT, 1895,
BY WRIGHT & DITSON.

[ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.]

JOSEPH T. WHITTELSEY, SECRETARY.
P.O. BOX 1872, NEW HAVEN, CONN.

VALENTINE G. HALL, TREASURER.
11 WEST 37TH STREET, N.Y.

UNITED STATES
NATIONAL LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.

JAMES DWIGHT, PRESIDENT,
SOMERSET CLUB, BOSTON.

March 9th 1895

Messrs Wright & Ditson
Boston Mass.

Gentlemen

You are authorized by the Executive Committee of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association to print the Constitution and By-Laws of the Association together with the Official Rules of the game for the season of 1895 under the supervision of the Secretary

Yours truly
Joseph T. Whittelsey
Secretary

CONTENTS.

U. S. N. L. T. A. PAGE

Officers 6
Laws of Lawn Tennis 7
Constitution and By-Laws 18
Championship of America for 1894 29
Western Doubles 42
Eastern Doubles 44
Championship Doubles at Newport 47
Tournaments of 1894 48
Winners of Championships, 1894 58
American Championships, 1881–94 61
Sectional Championships 64
Challenge Cup Tournaments 68
Invitation Tournaments 70
Open Tournaments 72
Ranking for 1894 80
Ranking, 1885-93 83
Club Champions 84
Fixtures for 1895 168
List of Members 172

Intercollegiate L. T. A.

Officers and Members 87
Constitution and By-Laws 88
Tournament, 1894 97
Champions, 1883-94 100
Ohio Tournament 143

Interscholastic L. T. A.

Columbia Tournament 101
Yale Tournament 102
Harvard Tournament 102
Princeton Tournament 104
United States Championship 105
Champions, 1891–94 105

Miscellaneous. PAGE

Tournament for the Ladies' Championship of the United States..... 76
Pacific Coast Championships..... 106
Lawn Tennis in Canada..... 111
Neighborhood Club Tournament..... 122
Essex County Club Tournament..... 127
Lawn Tennis in the White Mountains..... 130
Tennis in the Adirondack Mountains..... 132
Tennis at The Wentworth..... 133
Ladies Should Play Lawn Tennis..... 135
Lawn Tennis vs. Golf..... 136
Indoor Lawn Tennis..... 140
Longwood Tournament..... 142
Cases and Decisions..... 144
The Bagnall-Wild System of Drawing..... 151
Rules for Handicapping..... 153
"100"-up Scoring..... 156
Odds on the ¼-15 System..... 158
How to Make a Lawn Tennis Court..... 162
How to Mark Out a Court..... 165
Tennis Players' Directory..... 180

1895.

OFFICERS OF THE ASSOCIATION

FOR THE ENSUING YEAR.

President.

JAMES DWIGHT . . Longwood Cricket Club, Boston.
Somerset Club, Boston, Mass.

Vice-President.

J. S. CLARK . . . . . Germantown Cricket Club.
139 South Fourth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Secretary.

J. T. WHITTELSEY . . . . New Haven Lawn Club.
P. O. Box 1672, New Haven, Conn.

Treasurer.

V. G. HALL . . . . . Tuxedo Club of Tuxedo.
11 West Thirty-seventh Street, New York.

Executive Committee.

H. W. SLOCUM, Staten Island Cricket and Base Ball Club.
111 East Fortieth Street, New York.

O. S. CAMPBELL . . . . . Crescent Athletic Club.
18 Remsen Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.

CLARENCE HOBART . . . New York Tennis Club.
731 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York.

RICHARD STEVENS . . . New York Athletic Club.
1 Newark Street, Hoboken, N. J.

R. D. WRENN . . . . . Wyandot Club, Chicago.
University Club, Chicago, Ill.

DR. JAMES DWIGHT,

Re-elected President of the U. S. N. L. T. Association.

LAWS OF LAWN TENNIS.

THE COURT.

1. The Court is 78 feet long and 27 feet wide. It is divided across the middle by a net, the ends of which are attached to two posts, A and B, standing 3 feet outside of the court on either side.



The height of the net is 3 feet 6 inches at the posts, and 3 feet in the middle. At each end of the court, parallel with the net, and 39 feet from it, are drawn the base lines D E and F G, the ends of which are connected by the side lines D F and E G. Halfway

between the side lines, and parallel with them, is drawn the half court line I H, dividing the space on each side of the net into two equal parts, the right and left courts. On each side of the net, at a distance of 21 feet from it, and parallel with it, are drawn the service lines K L and M N.

THE BALLS.

2. **The Balls** shall measure not less than $2\frac{1}{2}\frac{5}{2}$ inches, nor more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter; and shall weigh not less than $1\frac{5}{8}$ ounces, nor more than 2 ounces.*

THE GAME.

3. The choice of sides, and the right to serve in the first game, shall be decided by toss; provided that, if the winner of the toss choose the right to serve, the other player shall have choice of sides, and *vice versa*, *or the winner of the toss may insist upon a choice by his opponent.* If one player choose the court, the other may elect not to serve.

4. The players shall stand on opposite sides of the net; the player who first delivers the ball shall be called the server, and the other the striker-out.

5. At the end of the first game the striker-out shall become server, and the server shall become striker-out; and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set, or series of sets.

*The Wright & Ditson "Championship" ball has been adopted by the Association, and is the only official ball to be used in all games played under these rules.

6. **The Server** shall serve with one foot on the ground immediately behind the base line; the other foot may be anywhere except touching the base line or the ground within the court. He shall deliver the service from the right to the left courts, alternately; beginning from the right.

7. The ball served must drop between the service line, half-court line, and side line of the court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

8. It is a **Fault** if the server fail to strike the ball, or if the ball served drop in the net, or beyond the service line, or out of court, or in the wrong court; or if the server do not stand as directed by law 6.

9. A fault cannot be taken.

10. After a fault the server shall serve again from the same court from which he served that fault, unless it was a fault because he served from the wrong court.

11. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service is delivered.

12. The server shall not serve till the striker-out is ready. If the latter attempt to return the service, he shall be deemed ready.

13. A service or fault delivered when the striker-out is not ready counts for nothing.

14. The service shall not be volleyed, that is, taken, before it has touched the ground.

15. A ball is in play on leaving the server's racket, except as provided for in law 8.

16. It is a good return, although the ball touch the

net; but a service, otherwise good, which touches the net shall count for nothing.

17. The server wins a stroke if the striker-out volley the service, or if he fail to return the service or the ball in play; or if he return the service or the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court; or if he otherwise lose a stroke, as provided by law 20.

18. The striker-out wins a stroke if the server serve two consecutive faults; or if he fail to return the ball in play; or if he return the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court; or if he otherwise lose a stroke as provided by law 20.

19. A ball falling on a line is regarded as falling in the court bounded by that line.

20. Either player loses a stroke if the ball touch him, or anything that he wears or carries, except his racket in the act of striking; or if he touch the ball with his racket more than once; or if he touch the net or any of its supports while the ball is in play; or if he volley the ball before it has passed the net.

21. In case a player is obstructed by any accident, not within his control, the ball shall be considered a "let." But where a permanent fixture of the court is the cause of the accident, the point shall be counted. The benches and chairs placed around the court shall be considered permanent fixtures. If, however, a ball in play strike a permanent fixture of the court (other than the net or posts) before it touches the ground, the point is lost; if after it has touched the ground, the point shall be counted.

22. On either player winning his first stroke, the score is called 15 for that player; on either player winning his second stroke, the score is called 30 for that player; on either player winning his third stroke, the score is called 40 for that player; and the fourth stroke won by either player is scored game for that player, except as below: If both players have won three strokes, the score is called *deuce;* and the next stroke won by either player is scored *advantage* for that player. If the same player win the next stroke, he wins the game; if he lose the next stroke, the score returns to deuc , and so on until one player wins the two strokes immediately following the score of deuce, when game is scored for that player.

23. The player who first wins six games wins the set; except as below: If both players win five games, the score is called *games all;* and the next game won by either player is scored *advantage game* for that player. If the same player win the next game, he wins the set; if he lose the next game, the score returns to games all; and so on, until either player wins the two games immediately following the score of games all, when he wins the set. But the committee having charge of any tournament may in their discretion modify this rule by the omission of advantage sets.

24. The players shall change sides at the end of every set; but the umpire, on appeal from either player before the toss for choice, shall direct the players to change sides at the end of the first, third, fifth, and every succeeding alternate game of each

set; but if the appeal be made after the toss for choice, the umpire *may* only direct the players to change sides at the end of the first, third, fifth, and every succeeding alternate game of the odd, or deciding, set. If the players change courts in the alternate games throughout the match as above, they shall play in the first game of each set after the first in the courts in which they respectively did not play in the first game of the set immediately preceding.

25. When a series of sets is played, the player who served in the last game of one set shall be striker-out in the first game of the next.

26. In all contests the play shall be continuous from the first service till the match be concluded; provided, however, that between all sets after the second set either player is entitled to a rest, which shall not exceed seven minutes; and provided, further, that in case of an unavoidable accident, not within the control of the contestants, a cessation of play which shall not exceed two minutes may be allowed between points; but this proviso shall be strictly construed, and the privilege never granted for the purpose of allowing a player to recover his strength or wind. The umpire in his discretion may at any time postpone the match on account of darkness or condition of the ground or weather. In any case of postponement, the previous score shall hold good. Where the play has ceased for more than an hour, the player who at the cessation thereof was in the court first chosen shall have the choice of courts on

the recommencement of play. He shall stay in the court he chooses for the remainder of the set. The last two sentences of this rule do not apply when the players change every alternate game as provided by rule 24.

27. If a player serve out of his turn, the umpire, as soon as the mistake is discovered, shall direct the player to serve who ought to have served. But all strokes scored before such discovery shall be counted If a game shall have been completed before such discovery, then the service in the next alternate game shall be delivered by the player who did not serve out of his turn, and so on in regular rotation.

28. There shall be a referee for every tournament, whose name shall be stated in the circular announcing such tournament. He shall have general charge of the matches under the instructions and advice of the Managing Committee, with such power and authority as may be given him by these rules and by said committee. He shall notify the committee in case he intend to leave the grounds during the matches, and the committee shall appoint a substitute to act with like powers during his absence. There shall be an umpire for each match and as many linesmen as the players desire. The umpire may act as linesman also. The umpire shall have general charge of the match and shall decide upon and call lets and also decide whether the player took the ball on the first or second bounce. The umpire shall also decide any question of interpretation or construction of the rules that may arise. The decision of the umpire upon any question of fact, or

where a discretion is allowed to him under these rules, shall be final. Any player, however, may protest against any interpretation or construction of the rules by the umpire, and appeal to the referee. The decision of the referee upon such appeal should be final.

The court shall be divided between the linesmen, and it shall be their only duty to decide each for his share of the court where the ball touched the ground, except, however, the linesmen for the base line, who shall also call foot faults. The linesman's decision shall be final. If a linesman is unable to give a decision, because he did not see, or is uncertain of the fact, the umpire shall decide or direct the stroke to be played again.

29. The above laws shall apply to the three-handed and four-handed games, except as below: —

THE THREE-HANDED AND FOUR-HANDED GAMES.



30. For the three-handed and four-handed games the court shall be 36 feet in width; 4½ feet inside the side lines, and parallel with them, are drawn the service side lines K M and L N. The service lines are not drawn beyond the point at which they meet the service side lines, as shown in the diagram

31. In the three-handed game, the single player shall serve in every alternate game.

32. In the four-handed game, the pair who have the right to serve in the first game shall decide which partner shall do so; and the opposing pair shall decide in like manner for the second game. The partner of the player who served in the first game shall serve in the third, and the partner of the player who served in the second game shall serve in the fourth, and the same order shall be maintained in all the subsequent games of the set.

33. At the beginning of the next set, either partner of the pair which struck out in the last game of the last set may serve; and the same privilege is given to their opponents in second game of the new set.

34. The players shall take the service alternately throughout the game; a player cannot receive a service delivered to his partner; and the order of service and striking out once established shall not be altered, nor shall the striker-out change courts to receive the service, till the end of the set.

35. It is a fault if the ball served do not drop between the service line, half-court line, and service

side line of the court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

36. It is a fault if the ball served do not drop as provided in law 35, or if it touch the server's partner or anything he wears or carries.

ODDS.

37. **A Bisque** is one point which can be taken by the receiver of the odds at any time in the set except as follows:—

(*a*) A bisque cannot be taken after a service is delivered.

(*b*) The server may not take a bisque after a fault, but the striker-out may do so.

38. One or more bisques may be given to increase or diminish other odds.

39. Half fifteen is one stroke given at the beginning of the second, fourth, and every subsequent alternate game of a set.

40. Fifteen is one stroke given at the beginning of every game of a set.

41. Half thirty is one stroke given at the beginning of the first game, two strokes given at the beginning of the second game, and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set.

42. Thirty is two strokes given at the beginning of every game of the set.

43. Half forty is two strokes given at the beginning of the first game, three strokes given at the beginning

of the second game, and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set.

44. Forty is three strokes given at the beginning of every game of a set.

45. Half Court: the players may agree into which half court, right or left, the giver of the odds shall play; and the latter loses a stroke if the ball returned by him drop outside any of the lines which bound that half court.

46. Owed odds are where the giver of the odds starts behind scratch.

47. Owe half fifteen is one stroke owed at the beginning of the first, third, and every subsequent alternate game of a set.

48. Owe fifteen is one stroke owed at the beginning of every game of a set.

49. Owe half thirty is two strokes owed at the beginning of the first game, one stroke owed at the beginning of the second game, and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set.

50. Owe thirty is two strokes owed at the beginning of every game of a set.

51. Owe half forty is three strokes owed at the beginning of the first game, two strokes owed at the beginning of the second game, and so on alternately in all subsequent games of the set.

52. Owe forty is three strokes owed at the beginning of every game of a set.

CONSTITUTION AND BY-LAWS

OF THE

United States National Lawn Tennis Association.

ORGANIZED AND ADOPTED

At the Lawn Tennis Convention, held at the Fifth Avenue Hotel, New York City, Saturday, May 21, 1881.

REVISED AND AMENDED

At the Second Annual Meeting, held at the Fifth Avenue Hotel, New York City, Saturday, March 3, 1883,

AND

At the Third Annual Meeting, held at the Hotel Brunswick, New York City, Friday, March 7, 1884,

AND

At the Fourth Annual Meeting, held at the Hotel Brunswick, New York City, March 14, 1885,

AND

At the Fifth Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, March 12, 1886,

AND

At the Sixth Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, March 11, 1887,

AND

At the Seventh Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, March 9, 1888,

AND

At the Eighth Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, February 15, 1889,

AND

At the Ninth Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, February 7, 1890,

AND

At the Tenth Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, February 13, 1891,

AND

At the Eleventh Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, February 11, 1892,

AND

At the Twelfth Annual Meeting, held at the Hoffman House, New York City, February 3, 1893.

AND

At the Fourteenth Annual Meeting, held at the Waldorf, New York City, February 7, 1895.

CONSTITUTION.

ARTICLE I.

NAME.

This organization shall be known as the "United States National Lawn Tennis Association."

ARTICLE II.

MEMBERS.

SECTION 1. There shall be two classes of members:—

1. Clubs.
2. Associations of Clubs.

SECT. 2. An association of clubs shall consist of five or more individual clubs, any one of which may, or may not, be itself a member of the National Association. But no club shall otherwise be a member of more than one association.

SECT. 3. Every such association shall represent either a stated section of the country, or a stated number of schools and colleges, and in each case its stated limits shall necessarily exclude to that extent the stated limits of every other association.

SECT. 4. *Propositions for Membership.*— Propositions for membership must be made in writing to the Executive Committee, hereinafter provided for, with the name

and address of the applicant, and signed by the Secretary of the club or association making the proposal. Where the applicant is an association, the names and addresses of each of its members shall be given with the application, together with a statement of the limits that it desires to represent; and thereafter such association, if elected, shall notify the Secretary of the National Association of any changes in its membership immediately upon occurrence.

SECT. 5. The Executive Committee shall have full power to pass upon candidates for membership without a general election, except that no association shall be deemed a member whose limits shall conflict with those of other associations until its admittance be confirmed by a two-thirds' vote at the annual meeting of the National Association, or by the consent of the associations upon whose limits it encroaches. The admittance to membership of such an association necessarily decreases the limits of other associations to such an extent as shall preclude a conflict of limits.

SECT. 6. *Dues, etc.*— The annual dues to this Association, in the case of clubs, shall be seven dollars ($7), and, in the case of associations of clubs, shall be twenty-five dollars ($25), except that, where any association is composed of more than ten clubs, its annual dues shall, in addition, be ten dollars ($10) for every five clubs or fraction thereof members of it so additional. No club or association shall be allowed the privileges of a member until its first annual dues have been paid. All dues for the ensuing year shall be payable at the annual meeting.

SECT. 7. *Limits of Payments, etc.* — Any club or association which shall fail to have paid its annual dues at the expiration of one month after the annual meeting may be debarred from the privileges of a member by the Executive Committee, and, at their discretion, may be dropped from the roll.

SECT. 8. *Resignations, etc.* — Any member wishing to resign must do so in writing, addressed to the Secretary; and the resignation cannot be accepted until all dues are paid.

ARTICLE III.

EXPULSIONS, ETC.

The Executive Committee of this Association, hereinafter provided for, shall have the power to suspend or expel any member which may neglect or refuse a strict and honorable compliance with this Constitution, By-Laws, etc., or which shall, by scandalous conduct, bring reproach or disgrace upon the Association; or which shall, being itself an association, retain as one of its members any club objected to by notice in writing by the Executive Committee, subject to a right of the said member to appeal to the Association, at its next annual meeting, for reinstatement.

ARTICLE IV.

OFFICERS.

SECTION 1. The officers of this Association shall consist of a President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer.

and an Executive Committee of nine members, including the President, the Vice-President, the Secretary, and the Treasurer, who shall be *ex-officio* members of the Committee. No person can be an officer who is not an active member of a club belonging either directly to this Association, or indirectly, as specified in Art. II., Sect. 2.

SECT. 2. *Time and Mode of Election.*— The election of officers shall be by ballot or roll call at the annual meeting in each year. They shall be voted for separately, and receive a majority of all votes cast to entitle them to an election; and they shall continue in office for one year, or until their successors shall be elected.

SECT. 3. *Vacancies.*— In case a vacancy should occur in any of the offices, the Executive Committee shall elect a member to fill the vacancy for the unexpired term.

SECT. 4. *Duties of the President.* — It shall be the duty of the President to preside at all meetings, to preserve order, to appoint all committees not otherwise provided for, and to see that the officers and committees perform their respective duties.

SECT. 5. *The Vice-President.* — The Vice-President shall assist the President in the performance of his duties, and shall exercise all the powers of the President in his absence.

SECT. 6. *The Secretary.* — The Secretary shall keep a roll of all the members, and from time to time amend and correct the same as circumstances may require. He shall notify new members of their election within two

weeks thereafter, and shall give notice of all meetings at least two weeks in advance. He shall conduct all the correspondence of the Association, and keep copies of all letters in a book provided for that purpose. He shall keep the minutes of the proceedings of the Association, and a record of such matters of interest as may occur.

SECT. 7. *The Treasurer.*— The Treasurer shall keep, in a suitable book provided for that purpose, an account of all moneys received and paid. He shall liquidate all bills against the Association, and shall report in writing the state of the finances when required; and, at the annual meeting, he shall present a written report showing all the receipts and expenditures for the year.

SECT. 8. *Executive Committee, etc.*— It shall be the duty of the Executive Committee to see that the general provisions of the Constitution and By-Laws of this Association are complied with by members of the same; to hear and decide all questions submitted by members for decision, notice of hearing being given to any other member which may be affected by the question; to construe and enforce all the rules of the Association. All decisions of the Executive Committee shall be complied with forthwith; but an appeal therefrom may be taken by any member of the Association at its next annual meeting. The Executive Committee and the Secretary shall cause official notices, and such other matters of information as they shall deem of interest, to be published in a paper to be appointed annually by the Executive Committee the official bulletin of the Association. All members are to be given notice

of said appointment, and thereafter are expected to take notice of official publications therein. The Executive Committee shall have the arrangement and management of any general tournament between the members of this Association.

Each member of the Executive Committee must represent a club of which he is an active member, said club belonging either directly to this Association, or indirectly as specified in Art. II., Sect. 2, and being, also, either a different club, or, if a member of an association, then a club of a different association from any club represented by any other member of the Committee. A majority shall constitute a quorum.

ARTICLE V.

MEETINGS, ETC.

SECTION 1. There shall be a stated annual meeting held, on a date to be fixed by the President, between the 1st and 15th of February in each year, at a place to be designated at the previous annual meeting, or by the President in the absence of other designation.

SECT. 2. *Proxies, etc.*— Members may be represented at meetings by delegates or proxies.

SECT. 3. *Special Meetings, etc.* — Special meetings may be called by the Executive Committee at any time, and shall be called by the Executive Committee at the request of any five members of the Association.

SECT. 4. At all meetings of the Association the representatives of eleven members shall constitute a quorum.

SECT. 5. Each member of the Association shall, at all meetings, be entitled to one vote if it be a member

in Class 1, and it shall be entitled to two votes, and to one additional vote for every five clubs or fraction thereof members of it over ten if it be a member in Class 2, the vote or votes to be cast by its delegates or proxies.

Each delegate or proxy must be an active member of a club belonging either directly to this Association, or indirectly as specified in Art. II., Sect. 2, and present written credentials properly certified by the member he represents.

ARTICLE VI.

AMENDMENTS, ETC.

Amendments to this Constitution may be made at any annual meeting by a vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes cast. By-Laws may be amended at any annual or special meeting under the same provisions.

BY-LAWS.

ARTICLE I.

ORDER OF BUSINESS, ETC.

SECTION 1. The order of business for this Association shall be as follows: —

1. Roll Call.
2. Reading of Minutes.
3. Secretary's Report.
4. Collection of Dues.
5. Treasurer's Report.
6. Reports of Committees.
7. Elections.
8. Miscellaneous Business.
9. Adjournment.

SECT. 2. *Time of Electing Officers, etc.* — The election of officers at the annual meeting shall take place immediately after the reading of the reports of the various officers and committees.

The officers elected shall immediately enter upon the discharge of their respective duties, and be entitled to the possession of all books, papers, moneys, and other property belonging to the Association pertaining to their respective offices, and in the possession of their predecessors.

SECT. 3. *Authority for Rules of Order.* — For the "Rules of Order," and any and all parliamentary rules not herein mentioned, those laid down in "Cushing's Manual" shall be authority.

ARTICLE II.

LAWS OF LAWN TENNIS.

SECTION 1. All clubs represented in this Association shall be governed by the laws of Lawn Tennis as laid down by this Association.

SECT. 2. *Laws of Matches, etc.*—All matches played by clubs represented in this Association shall be played under all the rules adopted by it.

SECT. 3. None but amateurs shall be allowed to enter for any match or matches played under the auspices of this Association.

SECT. 4. An amateur is one who has never violated any of the following conditions:—

1. He has never entered a competition open to professionals, nor played for a stake, public or admission money, or entrance fee.

2. He has not competed with or against a professional for a prize.

3. He has not played, instructed, pursued, or assisted in the pursuit of tennis or other athletic exercise as a means of livelihood, or for gain or any emolument.

4. His membership in any tennis or athletic club of any kind was not brought about, or does not continue, because of any mutual understanding, expressed or implied, whereby his continuing a member of any such club would be of any pecuniary benefit to him or his club.

5. If connected with any sporting-goods house, such connection was not brought about or does not

continue because of his proficiency in tennis or any other form of athletic exercise.

The Executive Committee of the Association shall be the tribunal to decide whether a player is a professional or an amateur.

SECT. 5. No player shall be allowed to enter for any match given by this Association unless he is an active member in a club belonging either directly to this Association, or indirectly as specified in article II, section 2, of the Constitution. But the Executive Committee is empowered, at their discretion, to invite any foreigners to enter for any match given by this Association.

ARTICLE III.

SUSPENSION OF BY-LAWS.

Any article or section of these By-laws may be suspended for any one meeting by a two-thirds vote of all cast.

R. D. WRENN
Champion of the United States.

O.M. Bostwick played in the
tournament & reached the final
in the Consolation.

CHAMPIONSHIP OF AMERICA FOR 1894.

The National tournament held this year at Newport has developed a unique character which lends it more piquant interest than any other tournament in this country of recent years. It has already received no small amount of attention and criticism at the hands of journalistic writers; yet there still remain some points of interest to be discussed, and important lessons to tennis men which should be made the most of. A careful study of some of the closely contested matches, especially those in which a foreign style of play is brought in direct contrast to our American methods and practices, can hardly fail to disclose the weakness and the strength of the game played here.

The tournament itself could hardly have been more successful. Under the able management of the Association president, Dr. James Dwight, every detail of setting was thoughtfully arranged and carried forward without a hitch. The perfect tennis weather allowed the tournament its full week, not exacting the postponement of a match, which has so often in previous years dampened the ardor of both audience and players. Lack of interest, however, was hardly a thing to be feared in this instance. When it really comes to a question of national supremacy in anything, especially if it

concerns the defense of American ground or institution, there is not apt to be lacking the support of a patriotic public. And this was indeed very nearly the case at the Newport tournament this summer. As one after another of our ranked players fell before the steady persistency of the Irish player, M. F. Goodbody, a grave doubt grew rapidly,—could our American players, in the end, keep the championship trophy at home? When at last the one man left standing to guard it lifted the championship into safety, long and loud was America's cheer.

Let us look, then, a little critically at three or four matches involving our foremost players.

The drawings for the tournament were unusually fortunate, distributing the best players pretty evenly in the two halves—eight in the upper, including Hobart, Hovey, and Goodbody; nine in the lower, among them Stevens, the Halls, Larned and Chace.

Thus the first important match did not come until the second round, when M. F. Goodbody met F. H. Hovey. From the records made by the two players it was thought that the latter would win, he being better at net and overhead play. It at first looked as if these expectations would be realized, for after the first two or three games Hovey began to play strongly at the net, serving well, driving and passing his opponent twelve times to Goodbody's five, until he held the set, 6-2. In the second set, however, Hovey began to fall back to a base-line game quite

contrary to his usual and strongest play, and Goodbody, always ready to accept an opening, forced his own game to the more aggressive gait, and though the scores ran to "deuce," the winnings fell steadily to the Irish player's score in both this and the following set. He knew that to keep Hovey in this position, seemingly voluntarily taken on his part, was indeed to win the match, and throughout he played continually into the back court, especially to Hovey's weak back hand. The fourth set showed another glimpse of Hovey in form. Again at the net he made his old-time brilliant smashes, and placed to great advantage, scoring twenty places to his opponents's thirteen, and tying the score in sets, two all. Again the onlookers, reassured, believed in their prediction. The fifth set started with bright prospects for Hovey. He won the first two games, eight points to three, smashing and driving with accuracy; but in the third one, with the score 30–0 in his favor, he seemed to lose his ground, and was forced again to the base line, while his opponent at the net played such "rattling" tennis that during the next four games Hovey made but three aces. In the last game Hovey, as if loath to give over thus his chances for the championship, played good tennis, and passed his man six times, only to lose on an "out" and a "net" after "deuce" had six times been called.

Hovey lost his match through lack of headwork, and through his own erratic, unsteady game. He

had opposite him a difficult man—not brilliant, perhaps, but perfectly steady, a master of every stroke and one in whom it would be hard to find a special weakness to which to play. Against him he should have to put up an aggressive game from the first, taken his own stronghold at once and kept it at all hazards, put strength against strength—once at a disadvantage it was difficult to recover. Instead of this, he forsook his net position just when it seemed to be carrying him safely forward, and in the back court attempted to drive past his opponent at the net—a stroke for which he had not accuracy sufficient to avail against the quick player contending with him—nor did it seem any part of his game to play a few useful lobs in this hour of need.

The following is a summary of the match:

M. F. GOODBODY BEAT F. H. HOVEY.

SET I. 2-6. Time, 17 minutes.	Aces.	p.	o.	n.	f.
Goodbody..... 1 4 4 1 3 2 0 3	=18=	5	7	5	1
Hovey 4 2 2 4 5 4 4 5	=30=	12	13	5	0
SET II. 6-4. Time, 27 minutes.					
Goodbody..... 4 4 0 4 1 0 5 7 4 5	=34=	12	11	9	2
Hovey 0 0 4 1 4 4 3 5 6 3	=30=	13	11	6	0
SET III. 6-1. Time, 18 minutes.					
Goodbody.. ..... 1 4 5 4 5 4 4	=27=	12	7	8	0
Hovey 4 2 3 2 3 1 1	=16=	6	8	2	0
SET IV. 4-6. Time, 32 minutes.					
Goodbody..... 0 4 8 5 5 2 2 3 6 0	=35=	13	13	9	0
Hovey 4 2 6 7 3 4 4 5 4 4	=43=	20	10	13	0
SET V. 6-2. Time, 23 minutes.					
Goodbody..... 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 10	=33=	14	7	12	0
Hovey 4 4 2 0 1 0 2 8	=21=	13	5	2	1

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.	p.	o.	n.	f.
Goodbody.....	3	24	147	56	45	43	3
Hovey.....	2	19	140	64	47	28	1

Service.			G.	H.
Goodbody.....	19 aces	Games won on service	14	12
Hovey.....	18 "	" lost " "	7	10

Goodbody's success with Hovey, if anything, lent the match between him and Clarence Hobart a new interest. Hobart seemed rather nervous from the start, not expecting the strength Goodbody showed in returning, and often placing his hard drives. Most of the aces of the first set were won on errors, Hobart giving away points with reckless generosity throughout the first two sets. On resuming play after the intermission, however, the tables seemed completely turned, Hobart showing most brilliant tennis. Goodbody, though as regular as ever, could make no headway against him. In the back of the court or at the net, with drive or smash, he was equally master of the situation, placing balls that an adversary less quick to take and to regain his position could hardly have returned at all. Under such an attack another man would have weakened, but not so with Goodbody; nor even yet was he discouraged when Hobart, continuing the pace of the third and fourth sets well into the fifth one, had the score 5–2 in his favor, and needed but a single point for the match. Here Hobart again weakened; deuce games followed each other to Goodbody's favor until he had won the set, 8–6, and the match. Hobart's brilliancy could not make up for his unsteadiness against many an opponent.

M. F. GOODBODY BEAT CLARENCE HOBART.

SET I. 6-2. Time, 18 minutes.

		Aces.	p.	o.	n.	f.
Goodbody.....	4 5 7 2 4 4 4 4	=34=	17	15	1	1
Hobart.....	2 3 9 4 1 1 2 2	=24=	4	16	12	2

SET II. 6-2. Time, 17 minutes.

Goodbody.....	5 2 4 4 1 6 4 4	=30=	4	15	11	0
Hobart.....	3 4 0 2 4 4 1 1	=19=	6	8	5	0

SET III. 2-6. Time, 18 minutes.

Goodbody.....	3 0 4 3 4 3 3 3	=23=	4	7	9	3
Hobart.....	5 4 1 5 1 5 5 5	=31=	16	9	3	3

SET IV. 3-6. Time, 22 minutes.

Goodbody.....	3 3 4 2 0 7 6 5 0	=30=	3	12	14	1
Hobart.....	5 5 1 4 4 9 4 3 4	=39=	20	10	9	0

SET V. 8-6. Time, 34 minutes.

Goodbody.....	1 3 3 4 4 3 1 4 4 8 4 5 4 4	=52=	15	21	15	1
Hobart.....	4 5 5 2 2 5 4 2 0 6 1 7 2 1	=46=	21	13	11	1

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.				
Goodbody.....	3	25	169	30	71	61	7
Hobart.....	2	22	159	70	55	29	5

	Aces on Service	Service Games Won.	Loss.
Goodbody.....	29	11	12
Hobart.....	17	10	14

Meanwhile the match between Larned and C. B. Neel was giving another striking example of the inconsistency of tennis. A complete "off-day" for Larned very nearly gave the five-set match to Neel. Larned throughout played a driving game just suited to Neel's style of net play, when a little care-

SET V. [illegible] would have much more effectively held

Goodbody...	
Hovey.....	

W. A. LARNED BEAT C. B. NEEL.

SET I. 6-3. Time, 20 minutes.

		Aces	p.	o.	n.	f.
Larned	4 4 7 9 7 2 0 0 5	=38=	9	21	7	1
Neel	2 2 5 7 5 4 4 4 3	=36=	5	13	15	3

SET II. 2-6. Time, 20 minutes.

Larned	0 3 4 1 3 2 5 2	=20=	4	10	6	0
Neel	4 5 1 4 5 4 3 4	=30=	6	13	11	0

SET III. 6-3. Time, 18 minutes.

Larned	4 7 4 2 5 1 2 4 4	=33=	16	10	7	0
Neel	2 5 1 4 3 4 4 2 2	=27=	6	9	11	1

SET IV. 3-6. Time, 25 minutes.

Larned	3 5 4 7 4 5 2 4 1	=35=	16	9	9	1
Neel	5 7 0 9 6 3 4 0 4	=38=	10	9	16	3

SET V. 6-4. Time, 24 minutes.

Larned	6 1 4 2 5 1 4 4 2 7	=36=	17	12	6	1
Neel	4 4 2 4 3 4 1 2 4 5	=33=	11	6	14	2

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.				
Larned	3	23	162	=	62	62	38	3
Neel	2	22	164	=	38	50	67	9

In the fourth round of the tournament Larned met Chace. This time the tables, turning in Larned's favor, showed lamentable weakness upon Chace's part. Larned played his usual game, driving to his opponent's back hand until a weak return gave him the opportunity of killing the ball at the net by a short cross-court smash. Chace put many balls into the net and lobbed poorly.

W. A. LARNED BEAT M. G. CHACE.

SET I. 6-4. Time, 22 minutes.

		Aces.	p.	o.	n.	f.
Larned 4 4 6 4 3 0 1 6 0 4	=32=	9	18	8	2
Chace..... 2 6 4 2 5 4 4 4 4 1	=36=	10	9	14	3

SET II. 6-2. Time, 20 minutes.

Larned 5 5 2 4 4 4 4 4 4	=32=	15	11	6	0
Chace 3 7 4 0 1 1 1 2	=19=	3	7	6	0

SET III. 8-6. Time, 38 minutes.

Larned 2 6 1 4 5 3 3 4 6 5 5 1 4 6	=55=	19	23	13	0
Chace 4 8 4 1 3 5 5 0 4 3 3 4 2 4	=50=	17	14	17	2

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.				
Larned	3	20	119	=	43	47	27	2
Chace	0	12	105	=	20	40	40	5

Goodbody was now in the final round, again to meet Larned, against whom he had already contested three times. The match promised to be the closer for their foreknowledge of each other's play. Larned lost no chance of getting to the net in this first set, and his strong placing and short smashes soon gave him six games to Goodbody's four.

Could he have held this game the result of the match must have been very different; but the next set found "nets" and "outs" in greater profusion to Goodbody's advantage, and though still out-placing the Irishman, Larned scored but one game. This is, indeed, the record of the whole match for him—skill overbalanced by carelessness or inaccuracy. As in the matches with Hovey and Hobart, there was vacillation from brilliancy to weakness upon the one side, against a less brilliant player, but one not to be unnerved by appearances, and ready to brace him-

self at the first signs of relapse in the other. Larned fell through lack of the same qualities as Hobart and Hovey. He neither counted upon the strength of Goodbody's game, nor did he show that his former encounters had taught him, more than the others, a suitable method of attack. Above all, he was inaccurate in his strokes, and he could not count upon himself when most he needed care and precision.

M. F. GOODBODY BEAT W. H. LARNED.

SET I. 4-6. Time, 30 minutes.

		Aces.	p.	o.	n.	f.	Ser.
Goodbody.....	5 3 5 8 1 4 1 8 1 10	=46=	13	9	22	2	9
Larned.....	3 5 7 6 4 2 4 6 4 12	=53=	23	23	6	1	9

SET II. 6-1. Time, 13 minutes.

Goodbody.....	2 4 6 4 4 4 4	=28=	3	11	11	3	7
Larned.....	4 0 4 1 0 1 1	=11=	5	4	2		2

SET. III. 3-6. Time, 20 minutes.

Goodbody.....	5 4 1 8 2 1 0 2 3	=26=	0	11	12	3	7
Larned.....	3 1 4 6 4 4 4 4 5	=35=	16	12	7	0	7

SET IV. 7-5. Time, 27 minutes.

Goodbody.....	4 6 3 1 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 5	=45=	9	20	16	0	6
Larned.....	6 8 5 4 2 0 1 4 2 0 2 3	=37=	16	12	9		5

SET V. 6-2. Time, 17 minutes.

Goodbody.....	5 3 4 4 1 2 4 4	=29=	4	9	13	3	4
Larned	3 3 1 1 4 4 2 2	=20=	7	8	4	1	2

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.				
Goodbody.....	3	36	173	27	60	74	11
Larned.....	2	20	154	67	57	28	2

Throughout these rounds of truly exciting matches R. D. Wrenn, resting upon his laurels, took no active part. His work was still before him, however, and he was preparing quietly for it, both in practising his own game and in watching the play

of those who might in the end come forward to challenge him. What better opportunities could he have had to study an opponent than were given him in those three matches, which indeed must have been no small tax upon both the wit and skill of Goodbody! Wrenn's plan of seige was apparently well marked in his mind long before he came upon the court to try its practical working. His play throughout was both careful and brilliant. Taking at once his position at the net, he held it through almost the entire match, keeping Goodbody in the back of the court by swift drives and well-timed lobs. During the match Wrenn played in all 130 lobs, a stroke which, played well back in the court, proved a tedious one to Goodbody. Only in the first set did Wrenn's mastery seem in question, and then not so much through unsteadiness upon his own part as through the strength of the opposing game offered by Goodbody. The improvement of his opponent did not, however, make him nervous, and the second set showed his own game more than equal to the emergency.

Not once throughout the match could his generalship be called in question. From the beginning he played a thoroughly quick and aggressive game. It was useless for Goodbody to wait upon the defensive, either for weakening in his play or for convenient opportunity of attack. Wrenn's strokes and policy all held together, nor did he easily leave any point unguarded to his adversary.

R. D. WRENN BEAT M. F. GOODBODY.

SET I. 6-8. Time, 29 minutes.

		Aces.	p.	o.	n.	f.
Wrenn	4 2 5 4 4 4 4 2 3 3 6 0 2 1	=44=	22	16	5	1
Goodbody	2 4 3 0 0 0 6 4 5 5 4 4 4 4	=45=	18	17	10	0

SET II. 6-1. Time, 18 minutes.

Wrenn	4 7 1 4 5 5 4	=30=	17	4	7	2
Goodbody	1 5 4 0 3 3 1	=17=	1	11	5	0

SET III. 6-4. Time, 26 minutes.

Wrenn	3 4 1 3 5 4 4 4 6 4	=41=	16	13	11	1
Goodbody	5 1 4 6 7 2 2 2 4 0	=35=	16	14	5	0

SET IV. 6-4. Time, 28 minutes.

Wrenn	2 4 4 2 4 4 6 3 4 4	=37=	19	11	7	0
Goodbody	4 2 2 4 6 1 4 5 2 0	=30=	11	11	8	0

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.				
Wrenn	3	24	152	74	44	30	4
Goodbody	1	17	127	46	53	28	0

A summary of the four matches is as follows:

	Sets.	Games.	Aces.	Placed Balls.	Outs.	Nets.	Faults.
Goodbody beat Hovey	3-2	24-19	147-140	56-64	45-47	43-28	3-1
Goodbody beat Hobart	3-2	25-22	169-159	30-70	71-55	61-29	7-5
Goodbody beat Larned	3-2	26-20	174-156	29-67	60-59	74-28	11-2
Wrenn beat Goodbody	3-1	24-17	152-127	74-46	44-53	30-28	4-0

Comparing now the games of the four men encountered by Goodbody, it is easy to note the characteristics through lack of which the three failed,

through use of which the one succeeded. The whole tournament has indeed been a vivid illustration. Varying, perhaps, in aggressiveness, in the manner of stroke played, stronger or weaker at times in their self-defence, the first three players have these faults in common: (1) All are lacking in steadiness; (2) none played his opponent with any persistency of plan, seeming rather to let the exigency of the moment decide his tactics; (3) none used the lob, either to regain his lost position or to worry his opponent.

It was just in the filling out of these defects that Wrenn so creditably showed his right to retain his championship. If Newport tennis has this year taught anything, it must have shown the emphasis to be put upon these basal qualities of a player—the necessity of steady reliance upon himself, of care and accuracy in playing every ball, and of knowing how to make every kind of stroke; above all, the need of a generalship which, studying beforehand the strength, both of opponent and self, should rightly and persistently muster a player's powers against his adversary's weaknesses, or, at least, offer no weakness in himself unguarded to attack.

If with the wonderful brilliancy of game of which almost all of our first players have shown themselves capable, there could be brought to bear these qualities of reserve strength, it is difficult to imagine how even an Englishman should surpass them.

previous matches, and many expected to see a closer struggle. The Neels won by their steadiness. They play a waiting game, preferring to let their opponents lose points instead of hitting the ball hard and taking the chances of winning or losing on their own merits. They are wonderful court coverers, and reach everything on their side of the net. They seldom drive the ball when on the back line, and rarely smash the ball with any great amount of force when at the net; but they play together like clock work, and their game is so persistent and steady that almost any team will weaken before they can secure enough points to win a match. The Neels won the tournament with comparative ease, proving themselves to be the most formidable pair the West can send to try conclusions at Narragansett.

EASTERN DOUBLES.

The entry list for the Eastern Doubles at Narragansett, although not as large a one as could be wished for, was unusually good in the way of material. Of the ten ranked men all but three of those who were eligible took part. Larned alone was not interested; Hobart and Hovey, being the champions, did not contest. Wrenn and Chace, Foote and Howland, Hall, Stevens and Budlong added great interest to the tournament by their playing.

The management of the Casino grounds had made great efforts and succeeded in putting seven excellent grass courts at the disposal of the association. They were all well rolled each day by the steam roller, and gave good satisfaction. Play commenced on Monday at three o'clock. Of the matches in the preliminary round the one between Budlong and Ware against Davidson and Miller proved the most interesting. The latter pair, playing as a team for the first time, showed good perseverance against the better strokes of their younger opponents, and a five-set match was necessary to decide the contest. Wrenn and Chace's match in the first round against Parker and Fischer showed some good tennis. Wrenn at the net with his partner a little back formed an invulnerable line for

most of the Parker-Fischer strokes. Parker has a strong cross-court ground-stroke, while Fischer plays well over his head, but this combination did not succeed against Wrenn's quick turns at the net, and Chace's better pace and more accurate smashing from the service line. Wrenn and Chace won in three straight sets. The semi-finals were played on Wednesday in the presence of a large and greatly interested audience. Wrenn and Chace defeated Goodbody and Hall in three sets, during which some excellent tennis was shown. The match brought out the style of play used by our visitor from Great Britain, who, aided by the good smashing and ground strokes of his partner, worked the far side lines with good effect, but not well enough to overcome the tremendous pace of the other pair. Wrenn kept up his generalship at the net, while Chace's service and ground strokes were of more than usual excellence.

The other match in the semi-final round terminated rather unfortunately. Budlong met with the serious misfortune of turning his ankle when trying to reach a ball. The match had been played through two sets, each pair having one, and the games were six all on the third set when this misfortune compelled Budlong and Ware to default the set and match to Foote and Howland. Thursday at 10.30 the finals were played. The good form and unusual pace shown by Wrenn and Chace in their previous matches made them the favorites over

Foote and Howland. The first set was won by the former pair six games to four, the second set by a closer score of seven games to five, and in the third set John Howland used his back-hand cross-court stroke with such telling effect in Malcolm Chace's court, being ably supported by his partner Foote. who suddenly developed a streak of smashing, that the score was reversed, and the set went to Foote and Howland, as did also the two remaining sets which were necessary to win the match. How the two men ranked eighth and ninth on the list of honor should have beaten the men ranked first and fourth, can be explained by the fact of their longer training together, and so being better up in team work,— that most essential point in doubles. So Foote and Howland won the Eastern championship in doubles, while Wrenn and Chace took second prize.

The match between the Eastern and Western champions was played on Friday morning. Foote and Howland, either because the game they met was different from the one put up against them by Wrenn and Chace in the way of pace, or else because they were unable to overcome the perfect team work of the Western pair, were defeated in a four-set match, and the Neel brothers by their victory qualified themselves to play for the championship of the National Association at Newport.

CLARENCE HOBART

Champions in Doubles

FRED H. HOVEY

of the United States.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES AT NEWPORT.

On Aug. 21 the match for the championship of the association in doubles was played. Hobart and Hovey, who had won their title in 1893 by winning at Chicago, were called on to defend it against a pair of players whom they had met the year before and defeated in a close contest. The fine team work displayed by the Western champions at Narragansett, and the fact that Hobart and Hovey had not played together during the season, caused many to think that the chances lay in favor of the challengers, but the superior pace and brilliant placing and smashing of the champions made it possible for them to defeat their rivals in straight sets. The Neel brothers played a plucky game, but were not anywhere near the equals of the champions.

TOURNAMENTS OF 1894.

MARCH.

Tournament (open) given by the Magnolia Springs Lawn Tennis Club of Magnolia, Fla., March 13. *Singles* won by Gregory S. Bryan of Bridgeport, Conn., who defeated Edward Morton of Staten Island, 6-2, 6-3, 6-0.

Tournament (open) for the Gulf championship given by the Tampa Bay Lawn Tennis Club of Tampa Bay, Fla., March 20. *Singles* won by Gregory S. Bryan of Bridgeport, Conn., defeating W. T. Miller in the finals, 6-0, 6-0, 6-3. *Championship Round*—Gregory S. Bryan (challenger) beat R. D. Wrenn (holder), by default.

Tournament given by the St. Augustine Lawn Tennis Club of St. Augustine, Fla., March 28. *Singles* won by O. S. Campbell of New York, who defeated T. S. Beckwith in the finals, 7-5, 6-2, 4-6, 6-2. *Championship round*—O. S. Campbell (challenger) defeated C.W. Grinsted (holder), by default. *Doubles*—O. S. Campbell and W. B. Dinsmore, Jr., beat G. S. Bryan and T. S. Beckwith 8-6, 6-4, 6-4 in the finals.

MAY.

The Yale Interscholastic was held on the grounds of the New Haven Lawn Club, Saturday, May 5.

سعادة

إهداء

E. Q. Trowbridge of the Hopkins Grammar School won the medal, defeating Harold Cheney of the same school in the finals, 8-6, 6-1, 6-1.

The Columbia Interscholastic was held at Columbia Field on Monday, May 7. W. Gordon Parker won by defeating Pell of the Cutler School in the finals, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4.

The Harvard Interscholastic was held on Saturday, May 12, on the University Grounds. L. E. Ware of the Roxbury Latin School defeated F. Holt in the finals, 6-3, 6-1, 6-0.

The Princeton Interscholastic was held on the Bayard Avenue courts, Saturday, May 12. First place was won by S. G. Thomson of the Lawrenceville School, defeating Mr. Perry in the finals, 6-3, 6-3, 6-3.

Tournament (open) given for the championship of the South by the Southern Lawn Tennis Association on the courts of the Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club of Washington, May 21. *Finals* won by W. Gordon Parker, defeating E. P. Fischer, 6-4, 6-3, 5-7, 6-4. *Championship round*—W. G. Parker (challenger) beat E. L. Hall (holder), by default.

Tournament (open) for the championship of the New England States, given by the New Haven Lawn Club, May 29. *Singles* won by A. E. Foote, who beat W. G. Parker in the finals, 3-6, 1-6, 6-1, 6-2, 6-0. *Championship round*—A. E. Foote (challenger) beat Clarence Hobart (holder), 3-6, 6-3, 6-4, 3-6, 6-3.

JUNE.

Tournament given by the Philadelphia Cricket Club on their grounds at Wissahickon Heights, Philadelphia, June 12. *Ladies' singles* won by Miss Hellwig, defeating Mrs. Toulmin in the finals, 6-2, 7-5, 6-4. *Championship round* for Ladies' Championship of America—Miss Hellwig (challenger) defeated Miss Terry (holder), 7-5, 3-6, 6-0, 3-6, 6-3. *Ladies' doubles* won by Miss Aktinson and Miss Hellwig, defeating Miss Williams and Miss Wistar, 6-4, 8-6, 6-2. *Mixed doubles* won by Miss Atkinson and Mr. Fischer, defeating Mrs. McFadden and Mr. Remak, 6-3, 6-2, 6-1.

STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

Massachusetts tournament given by the Longwood Cricket Club of Boston, June 16. *Championship* won by F. H. Hovey, who defeated A. F. Fuller in the finals, 6-4, 6-4, 6-0.

Rhode Island tournament given by the Elmwood Lawn Tennis Club of Providence, R. I., Sept. 15. *Championship* won by M. G. Chace, who defeated C. R. Budlong in the finals, 6-2, 6-4, 6-3.

New Jersey tournament given by the Hohokus Valley Lawn Tennis Club of Ridgewood, N. J., June 19. *Championship* won by F. N. Jessup, who beat C. F. Walz in the finals, 6-1, 6-2, 6-2.

Pennsylvania tournament given by the Merion Cricket Club of Philadelphia, June 19. *Champion-*

ship won by M. D. Smith, who beat C. Tete, Jr., in the finals, 6-3, 6-3, 6-4.

Missouri tournament given by the Hyde Park Country Club of Kansas City, June 19. *Championship* won by R. R. Whitman, who beat C. R. Rockwell in the finals, 4-6, 8-6, 6-4, 6-0.

Tournament (open) given by the West Side Lawn Tennis Club, New York, June 19. *Final round*, S. C. Millett beat W. G. Parker, 3-6, 6-1, 5-7, 6-4, 6-4. *Doubles*—Final round, W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer beat S. C. Millett and C. Craigin, 15-13, 6-2, 7-5. *Mixed doubles*—Final round, Miss Atkinson and Dr. Frazer beat Miss K. C. Millett and Mr. S. C. Millett, 6-3, 3-6, 6-4, 8-6. *Ladies' singles*—Final round, Miss Atkinson beat Miss Collard, 6-0, 6-1, 6-3.

Tournament (invitation) given by the Neighborhood Club of West Newton, Mass., June 25. F. H. Hovey won 5, lost 1. Clarence Hobart won 3, lost 3. M. G. Chace won 3, lost 3. A. E. Foote won 1, lost 5. R. D. Wrenn lost 1, defaulted.

Tournament (open) for the championship of the Middle States given by the Orange Lawn Tennis Club, June 27, on their grounds at Mountain Station, N. J. *Singles* won by W. A. Larned, beating S. C. Millett, 6-2, 6-2, 6-3. *Championship round*—W. A. Larned (challenger) beat R. Stevens (holder), 6-4, 4-6, 6-2, 6-2. *Men's doubles*—W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer beat E. L. Hall and W. A. Larned, 6-4, 5-7, 8-6, 4-6, 6-4. *Ladies' singles* won by Miss

Juliet Atkinson, who beat Miss Helen Hellwig, 6-8, 6-4, 7-5, 8-10, 6-2. *Mixed doubles*—Miss Atkinson and Dr. Frazer beat Miss Hellwig and Mr. Runyon, 6-1, 6-3.

New York tournament given by the Saratoga Athletic Club at Woodlawn Oval, Sept. 4. *Final round*—C. R. Budlong beat L. E. Ware, 6-1, 6-1, 6-1. *Championship round*—Clarence Hobart (holder) beat C. R. Budlong (challenger), by default. *Men's doubles*—Finals won by Hobart and Budlong over S. D. Reed and L. E. Ware, 6-3, 6-2, 5-7, 6-4. *Ladies' singles*—Final round, Mrs. Ide beat Miss Ritchie, 6-4, 6-3, 3-6, 6-1. Championship round, Mrs. Ide (challenger) won from Miss Cahill (holder), by default.

JULY.

Tournament (invitation) given by the Tuxedo Lawn Tennis Club of Tuxedo Park, N. Y., July 2. *Singles* won by M. G. Chace, who beat A. E. Foote in the finals, 6-1, 6-0, 6-2. *Championship round*—M. G. Chace (challenger) beat Clarence Hobart (holder), 8-6, 0-6, 6-1, 4-6, 6-3.

Tournament (open) for the Championship of the Pacific Coast, given by the Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association at San Rafael, Cal., July 2. *Singles* won by Samuel Hardy, who defeated R. G. Holmes in the finals, 6-3, 6-0, 9-7. *Championship round*—Samuel Hardy (challenger) beat Thomas A. Driscoll (holder), 6-0, 6-3, 6-1.

Tournament (open) given by the Seabright Lawn

Tennis Club at Seabright, N. J., July 9. *Singles* won by M. G. Chace, who beat R. Stevens in the finals, 6-4, 10-8, 6-4. *Championship round*—W. A. Larned (holder) beat M. G. Chace (challenger), 5-7, 6-2, 7-5, 6-3.

Tournament (open) for the championship of the Western States in doubles, on the grounds of the Chicago Lawn Tennis Club, July 17. *Finals* won by C. B. and S. R. Neel over L. H. Waidner and J. Moulding, 6-3, 6-2, 6-2.

Tournament (open) for the championship of the Western States in singles, given on the grounds of the Chicago Lawn Tennis Club, July 12. *Final round*—C. B. Neel beat B. E. Page, 6-2, 6-3, 6-2. *Championship round*—S. T. Chase (holder) beat C. B. Neel (challenger), 6-4, 8-6, 2-6, 0-6, 7-5.

Tournament (open handicap) given by the Knickerbocker Lawn Tennis Club of New York City, July 16. *Final round*—W. K. Gilette (owe 15) beat H. Howard (owe 15), 6-1, 8-6, 15-13.

Tournament (invitation) given by the Essex County Club at Manchester-by-the-Sea, Mass., July 16. F. H. Hovey won 7, lost 1. M. G. Chace won 6, lost 1. C. R. Budlong won 6, lost 2. S. C. Millett won 4, lost 3. A. E. Foote won 3, lost 4. John Howland won 2, lost 5. Q. A. Shaw, Jr., won 1, lost 6. G. C. Hinckley won 0, lost 7.

Tournament (open singles) given by the Longwood C. C. of Boston, July 23. *Final round*—W. A. Larned beat R. Stevens 6-2, 6-4, 6-2. *Cham-*

pionship round—W. A. Larned (challenger), beat F. H. Hovey (holder), 6-4, 7-5, 3-6, 8-6. Consolation, W. G. Parker beat W. K. Shaw, 3-6, 6-3, 6-1.

Tournament (open) for the championship of Long Island, given by the Meadow Club of Southhampton, July 30. *Singles* won by M. F. Goodbody, defeating John Howland in the finals, 6-2, 6-0, 6-4. *Championship round*—W. A. Larned (holder) beat M. F. Goodbody (challenger), 6-1, 2-6, 6-2, 6-3. *Doubles* won by W. A. Larned and John Howland over M. F. Goodbody and W. K. Fowler, 6-3, 6-4, 6-3.

Tournament given by the Kebo Valley Lawn Tennis Club, Bar Harbor, Me., July 30. *Championship round*—V. G. Hall (holder) beat W. K. Shaw (challenger), 7-5, 6-4, 6-3.

Tournament (open) given by the Newcastle Outing and Tennis Club at the Hotel Wentworth, Newcastle, N. H., July 31. *Finals* won by W. G. Parker, defeating C. R. Budlong, 7-5, 7-5, 7-5. *Doubles* won by W. G. Parker and C. R. Budlong over M. G. Chace and A. Foote, 6-3, 8-6, 3-6, 6-4.

AUGUST.

Tournament (Mossley Hall Cup) given by the Bar Harbor Lawn Tennis Club, Aug. 6. *Final round*, W. G. Parker beat F. K. Ward, 6-1, 8-6, 0-6, 6-1. *Championship round*—W. G. Parker (challenger) beat R. D. Wrenn (holder), by default.

Tournament (invitation) given by the Norwood

Park Lawn Tennis Club of Long Branch, N. J., Aug. 6, R. D. Wrenn won 4, lost 1. M. G. Chace won 3, lost 2. M. F. Goodbody won 2, lost 2. W. A. Larned won 2, lost 2. Richard Stevens won 0, lost 4.

Tournament (open) for the championship of the Eastern States in doubles, given by the Narragansett Pier Lawn Tennis Club, Aug. 13. *Finals*, John Howland and A. E. Foote beat R. D. Wrenn and M. G. Chace, 4-6, 5-7, 7-5, 7-5, 6-4. West vs. East—C. B. and S. R. Neel beat John Howland and A. E. Foote, 7-5, 7-5, 3-6, 6-4. *Invitation singles* at same time, final won by John Howland, who defeated M. F. Goodbody, 4-6, 6-3, 6-4, 6-2.

Tournament (fourth annual) for the championship of the Pacific Northwest in men's singles and doubles was held on the grounds of the Tacoma Lawn Tennis Club, Aug. 15. *Singles* won by J. T. Foulkes, who defeated G. H. Hurd, 7-5, 6-3 (two sets, by agreement, on account of darkness). *Doubles* won by Foulkes and Cuppage over white and Purdon, 16-14, 4-6, 3-6, 6-1, 7-5.

Tournament (open) given by the Sorrento Lawn Tennis Club, Aug. 9. *Finals* won by J. B. Read, who beat Alfred Codman in the finals, 8-6, 6-4, 0-6, 1-6, 6-3. *Championship round*—J. B. Read (challenger) beat R. D. Wrenn (holder), by default.

Tournament given by the United States National Lawn Tennis Association at Newport for the championship of America in singles, Aug. 21. *Finals*,

M. F. Goodbody beat W. A. Larned, 4-6, 6-1, 3-6, 7-5, 6-2. *Championship round*—R. D. Wrenn (holder) beat M. F. Goodbody (challenger), 6-8, 6-1, 6-4, 6-4. *Double championship* won by C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey, defeating C. B. and S. R. Neel, 6-3, 8-6, 6-1. *Interscholastic championship* won by W. G. Parker, defeating S. G. Thomson, 6-1, 6-2, 6-2.

SEPTEMBER.

Tournament (open) given by the Nyack Country Club at Nyack-on-the-Hudson, Sept. 4. *Final round*—J. Parmly Paret beat J. F. Talmage, Jr., 7-5, 6-3, 6-4. *Doubles* won by C. J. Post, Jr., and J. F. Talmage, Jr., over O. M. Bostwick and J. Parmly Paret, 6-3, 6-3, 7-5. *Ladies' singles*—Final round, Miss Banks beat Miss Bradley, 3-6, 6-0, 6-3, 9-7.

Tournament (fifth annual) for the championship of the Pacific States in doubles, given by the Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, Sept. 8, at San Rafael, Cal. *Championship* won by Driscoll and DeLong, who beat Samuel Hardy and Sumner Hardy, 6-3, 4-6, 5-7, 6-4, 11-9.

Tournament (fifth annual) for the ladies' championship of the Pacific States, given by the Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association at San Rafael, Cal., Sept. 8, won by Miss Bee Hooper over Miss Ethel Bates, 5-7, 6-3, 6-3, 6-3.

Tournament (second annual) given by the Hyde Park Country Club of Kansas City, Mo., Sept. 11,

for the championship of Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska and Missouri. *Singles* won by George B. Case, who beat Beckwith in the finals, 6-4, 6-3, 6-1. *Championship round*—George B. Case (challenger) beat R. R. Whitman (holder), 6-4, 6-4, 6-1.

OCTOBER.

Tournament (intercollegiate) for the championship of American Colleges, given by the Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association on the grounds of the New Lawn Club, Oct. 2. *Singles* won by M. G. Chace beating C. R. Budlong, 6-3, 6-4, 6-3. *Doubles* won by M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote, over J. F. Talmage, Jr., and G. W. Shaw, 8-6, 6-2, 6-2.

WINNERS OF CHAMPIONSHIPS, 1894.

SINGLES.

America—R. D. Wrenn, Wyandot Lawn Tennis Club, Chicago, Ill.

New England—A. E. Foote, New Haven Lawn Club, New Haven, Conn.

Middle States—W. A. Larned, Summit, N. J.

Southern States—W. G. Parker, West Side Lawn Tennis Club, New York.

Western States—S. T. Chase, Riverside Tennis Club of Chicago.

Intercollegiate—M. G. Chace, Yale University.

Interscholastic—W. G. Parker, New York.

Pacific Coast—Samuel Hardy, San Francisco, Cal.

Pacific Northwest—F. F. Foulkes, Victoria, British Columbia.

Gulf—G. S. Bryan, Bridgeport Lawn Tennis Club, Bridgeport, Conn.

Long Island—W. A. Larned, Summit, N. J.

Longwood—W. A. Larned, Summit, N. J.

Tuxedo Tourney—M. G. Chace, Providence, R. I.

Neighborhood Tourney—F. H. Hovey, Longwood Cricket Club.

Essex Tourney—F. H. Hovey, Longwood Club.

Seabright, N. J.—W. A. Larned, Summit, N. J.

St. Augustine, Fla.—O. S. Campbell, New York.

Newcastle (Wentworth), N. H.—W. G. Parker, New York.

DOUBLES.

America—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey.

New England—John Howland and A. E. Foote.

Middle States—W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer.

Pacific Coast—T. A. Driscoll and G. B. DeLong.

Pacific Northwest—F. F. Foulkes and G. V. Cuppage.

Intercollegiate—M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote, Yale College.

East—John Howland and A. E. Foote.

West—C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

East vs. West—C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

Southern States—W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer.

LADIES' CHAMPIONSHIP—SINGLES.

America—Miss Helen R. Hellwig, Kings County Lawn Tennis Club, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Middle States—Miss Juliette B. Atkinson, Kings County Lawn Tennis Club, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Pacific States—Miss Hooper, San Francisco, Cal.

LADIES' DOUBLES.

America—Miss Hellwig and Miss Atkinson.

MIXED DOUBLES.

America—Miss Atkinson and Mr. E. P. Fischer.

Middle States—Miss Atkinson and Mr. Frazer.

Southampton—Miss Moran and Mr. Goodbody.

New York State—Miss Ritchie and Mr. Hobart.

Magnolia Springs, Fla.—Miss Reynolds and Mr. Bryan.

STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

Massachusetts—F. H. Hovey, Newton Centre.
Connecticut—A. E. Foote, New Haven.
Rhode Island—M. G. Chace, Providence.
New Hampshire—H. G. Bixby, Nashua.
New York—Clarence Hobart, New York City.
New Jersey—F. N. Jessup, Orange, N. J.
Pennsylvania—M. D. Smith, Philadelphia.
Missouri—R. R. Whitman, Kansas City.

WM. A. LARNED
Middle States Champion.

AMERICAN CHAMPIONSHIPS, 1881-94.

SINGLES.

1881—R. D. Sears.
1882—R. D. Sears.
1883—R. D. Sears.
1884—R. D. Sears.
1885—R. D. Sears.
1886—R. D. Sears.
1887—R. D. Sears.
1888—H. W. Slocum.
1889—H. W. Slocum.
1890—O. S. Campbell.
1891—O. S. Campbell.
1892—O. S. Campbell.
1893—R. D. Wrenn.
1894—R. D. Wrenn.

ALL-COMERS.

1881—R. D. Sears.
1882—R. D. Sears.
1883—R. D. Sears.
1884—H. A. Taylor.
1885—G. M. Brinley.
1886—R. L. Beeckman.
1887—H. W. Slocum.
1888—H. W. Slocum.
1889—Q. A. Shaw, Jr.
1890—O. S. Campbell.
1891—C. Hobart.
1892—F. H. Hovey.
1893—R. D. Wrenn.
1894—M. F. Goodbody.

RUNNERS-UP.

1881—W. E. Glyn.
1882—C. M. Clark.
1883—James Dwight.
1884—W. V. S. Thorne.
1885—W. P. Knapp.
1886—H. A. Taylor.
1887—H. A. Taylor.
1888—H. A. Taylor.
1889—O. S. Campbell.
1890—W. P. Knapp.
1891—F. H. Hovey.
1892—W. A. Larned.
1893—F. H. Hovey.
1894—W. A. Larned.

DOUBLES.

1881—C. M. Clark and F. W. Taylor.
1882—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1883—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1884—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1885—R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark.
1886—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1887—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1888—O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1889—H. W. Slocum and H. A. Taylor.
1890—V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1892—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1893—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey.
1894—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey.

RUNNERS-UP.

1881—A. Van Rensselaer and A. E. Newbold.
1882—W. Nightingale and G. M. Smith.
1883—A. Van Rensselaer and A. E. Newbold.
1884—A. Van Rensselaer and W. V. R. Berry.
1885—H. W. Slocum and W. P. Knapp.
1886—H. A. Taylor and G. M. Brinley.
1887—H. A. Taylor and H. W. Slocum.
1888—C. Hobart and E. P. MacMullen.
1889—V. G. Hall and O. S. Campbell.
1890—C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson.
1891—V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1892—V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1893—J. S. Clark and S. T. Chase.
1894—C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

EASTERN DOUBLES.

1890—V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1892—V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1893—Single tournament at Chicago.
1894—John Howland and A. E. Foote.

WESTERN DOUBLES.

1890—C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson.
1891—S. T. Chase and J. A. Ryerson.
1892—C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson.
1893—Single tournament at Chicago.
1894—C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

SECTIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS.

[Compiled by CLARENCE HOBART. Any Corrections or Additions may be sent to his Address, 731 St. Nicholas Ave., New York.]

NEW ENGLAND—PLAYED AT NEW HAVEN.

Year.	*Champion.*	*Winner of Tournament.*	*Doubles Champions.*
1886.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum and W. L. Thacher.
1887.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum.	F. G. Beach and W. L. Thacher.
1888.	H. W. Slocum.	E. P. MacMullen.	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1889.	H. W. Slocum.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	F. G. Beach and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1890.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	R. P. Huntington, Jr., and O. S. Campbell.
1891.	C. T. Lee.	C. T. Lee.	C. T. Lee and F. G. Beach.
1892.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall and A. E. Wright.
1893.	C. Hobart.	C. Hobart.	J. F. Talmage, Jr., and C. Hobart.
1894.	A. E. Foote.	A. E. Foote.	John Howland and A. E. Foote.

MIDDLE STATES—PLAYED AT HOBOKEN, ROCHESTER AND ORANGE.

1885.	R. D. Sears.	R. D. Sears.	R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark.
1886.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and H. W. Slocum.
1887.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman.	
1888.	E. P. MacMullen.	E. P. MacMullen.	
1889.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor.	
1890.	H. A. Taylor.	A. E. Wright.	
1891.	C. E. Sands.	C. E. Sands.	
1892.	R. Stevens.	R. Stevens.	E. P. MacMullen and C. Hobart.
1893.	R. Stevens.	A. E. Foote.	D. Candler and J. F. Talmage, Jr.
1894.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned.	W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer.

SOUTHERN STATES—PLAYED AT WASHINGTON, WILMINGTON AND BALTIMORE.

Year.	*Champion.*	*Winner of Tournament.*	*Doubles Champions.*
1886.	C. B. Davis.	C. B. Davis.	C. B. Davis and R. H. E. Porter.
1887.	Leigh Bonsal.	Leigh Bonsal.	Leigh Bonsal and L. V. Lemoyne.
1888.*	A. H. S. Post.	A. H. S. Post.	Leigh Bonsal and L. V. Lemoyne.
1888.†	F. Mansfield.	F. Mansfield.	F. Mansfield and F. L. V. Hoppin.
1889.	F. Mansfield.	C. J. Post, Jr.	C. J. Post, Jr., and M. F. Prosser.
1890.	A. E. Wright.	A. E. Wright.	
1891.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall.	M. R. Wright and H. M. Billings.
1892.	E. L. Hall.	A. E. Wright.	
1893.	E. L. Hall.	M. G. Chace.	E. L. Hall and C. Hobart.
1894.	W. G. Parker.	W. G. Parker.	W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer.

LONG ISLAND—PLAYED AT SOUTHAMPTON.

1887.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor and H. W. Slocum.
1888.	H. A. Taylor.	J. S. Clark.	H. W. Slocum and F. Keene.
1889.	H. A. Taylor.	J. S. Clark.	H. A. Taylor and F. Keene.
1890.	H. A. Taylor.	R. V. Beach.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1891.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1892.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1893.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned.	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1894.	W. A. Larned.	M. F. Goodbody.	W. A. Larned and John Howland.

WESTERN STATES—PLAYED AT CHICAGO.

1887.	C. A. Chase.	C. A. Chase.	E. B. McClellan and B. F. Cummins.
1888.	C. A. Chase.	E. B. McClellan.	E. B. McClellan and B. F. Cummins.
1889.	C. A. Chase.	S. T. Chase.	C. A. Chase and S. T. Chase.
1890.	C. A. Chase.	E. B. McClellan.	J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson.
1891.	S. T. Chase.	S. T. Chase.	S. T. Chase and J. A. Ryerson.
1892.	S. T. Chase.	J. A. Ryerson.	J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson.
1893.	S. T. Chase.	E. Wrenn.	H. McCormick and S. McCormick.
1894.	S. T. Chase.	C. B. Neel.	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

*Spring. †Fall.

NEW YORK STATE—PLAYED AT SARATOGA.

Year.	*Champion.*	*Winner of Tournament.*	*Doubles Champions.*
1891.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey and H. G. Bixby.
1892.	W. P. Knapp.	W. P. Knapp.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1893.	C. Hobart.	C. Hobart.	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.
1894.	C. Hobart.	C. R. Budlong.	C. Hobart and C. R. Budlong.

NEW JERSEY—PLAYED AT ORANGE AND RIDGEWOOD, N. J.

1889.	C. Hobart.	C. Hobart.	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1890.	C. Hobart.	A. E. Wright.	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891.	C. Hobart.	R. Stevens.	R. R. Perkins and C. Hobart.
1894.	F. N. Jessup.		

PACIFIC STATES—PLAYED IN CALIFORNIA.

1889.	W. H. Taylor, Jr.	W. H. Taylor, Jr.	
1890.	W. H. Taylor, Jr.	C. R. Gates.	Walter McGavin and Joseph S. Tobin.
1891.	W. H. Taylor, Jr.	C. P. Hubbard.	C. P. Hubbard and H. H. Haight.
1892.	W. H. Taylor, Jr.	C. P. Hubbard.	S. Hardy and S. Hardy.
1893.	T. A. Driscoll.	T. A. Driscoll.	S. Hardy and S. Hardy.
1894.	Samuel Hardy.	Samuel Hardy.	T. A. Driscoll and G. B. DeLong.

TROPICAL—PLAYED AT ST. AUGUSTINE, FLA.

1888.	H. G. Trevor.	H. G. Trevor.	
1889.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and D. Miller.
1890.	O. S. Campbell.	T. S. Beckwith.	O. S. Campbell and G. S. Smith.
1891.	O. S. Campbell.	A. E. Wright.	
1892.	C. W. Grinsted.	C. W. Grinsted.	A. E. Wright and J. W. Nichols, Jr.
1894.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and W. B. Dinsmore,

GULF—PLAYED AT TAMPA BAY, FLA.

1892.	A. E. Wright.	A. E. Wright.	C. W. Grinsted and C. E. Garrett.
1893.	R. D. Wrenn.	R. D. Wrenn.	R. D. Wrenn and A. E. Wright.
1894.	G. S. Bryan.	G. S. Bryan.	G. S. Bryan and E. F. Coffman.

INTERCOLLEGIATE—PLAYED AT HARTFORD AND NEW HAVEN.

Year.	*Singles.*	*Doubles.*
1883*.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark and H. A. Taylor.
1883†.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor and P. E. Presbrey.
1884.	W. P. Knapp.	W. P. Knapp and W. V. S. Thorne.
1885.	W. P. Knapp.	W. P. Knapp and Shipman.
1886.	G. M. Brinley.	W. P. Knapp and W. L. Thacher.
1887.	P. S. Sears.	P. S. Sears and Q. A. Shaw, Jr.
1888.	P. S. Sears.	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1889.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright.
1890.	F. H. Hovey.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr., and S. T. Chase.
1891.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey and R. D. Wrenn.
1892.	W. A. Larned.	R. D. Wrenn and F. B. Winslow.
1893.	M. G. Chace.	M. G. Chace and C. R. Budlong.
1894.	M. G. Chace.	M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote.

INTERSCHOLASTIC—PLAYED AT CAMBRIDGE AND NEWPORT.

1891.	R. D. Wrenn.
1892.	M. G. Chace.
1893.	C. R. Budlong.
1894.	W. G. Parker.

*Spring. †Fall.

CHALLENGE CUP TOURNAMENTS.

WESTCHESTER CHALLENGE CUP (FOR MEMBERS OF WESTCHESTER TENNIS CLUB.)

Year.	*Holder.*	*Winner of Tournament.*
1886.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor.
1887.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum.
1888.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum.
1889.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor.
1890.	H. A. Taylor.	C. E. Sands.

(BAR HARBOR) MOSSLEY HALL CHALLENGE CUP.

Year.	*Holder.*	*Winner of Tournament.*	*Doubles.*
1886.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark and W. V. R. Berry.
1887.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman.	A. G. Thomson and W. S. Thomson.
1888.	R. L. Beeckman.	M. S. Paton.	M. S. Paton and G. Robbins.
1889.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark and L. Landreth.
1890.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1891.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1892.	R. D. Wrenn.	R. D. Wrenn.	
1894.	W. G. Parker.	W. G. Parker.	

TUXEDO CHALLENGE CUP.

1891.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall.	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1892.	E. L. Hall.	W. A. Larned.	R. D. Wrenn and A. E. Wright.
1893.	C. Hobart.	C. Hobart.	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.
1894.	M. G. Chace.	M. G. Chace.	John Howland and A. E. Foote.

LONGWOOD CHALLENGE CUP.

Year.	*Holder.*	*Winner of Tournament.*
1891.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall.
1892.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey.
1893.	F. H. Hovey.	R. Stevens.
1894.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned.

AUBURNDALE CHALLENGE CUP.

Year.	*Holder.*	*Winner of Tournament.*	*Doubles.*
1889.	F. S. Mansfield.	F. S. Mansfield.	H. Tallant and A. S. Williston.
1890.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey and H. Wildes.
1891.	F. H. Hovey.	R. D. Wrenn.	F. H. Hovey and W. L. Jennings.
1892.	F. H. Hovey.	M. G. Chace.	M. G. Chace and F. B. Winslow.

SEABRIGHT CHALLENGE CUP.

1891.	R. Stevens.	R. Stevens.	
1892.	R. Stevens.	S. M. Allen.	
1893.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned and O. S. Campbell.
1894.	W. A. Larned.	M. G. Chace.	

SORRENTO CHALLENGE CUP.

1893.	R. D. Wrenn.	R. D. Wrenn.	C. R. Budlong and J. F. Talmage, Jr.
1894.	J. B. Reed.	J. B. Reed.	

NATIONAL DOUBLE CHALLENGE CUP.

Year.	*Holders.*	*Winners of Tournament.*
1890.	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1892.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1893.	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.
1894.	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

INVITATION TOURNAMENTS.

NAHANT (*Sporting Club*).

Year.	*Winner.*	*Year.*	*Winner.*
1886.	H. A. Taylor.	1890.	O. S. Campbell.
1887.	H. W. Slocum.	1891.	C. Hobart.
1888.	C. A. Chase.	1892.	E. L. Hall.
1889.	C. A. Chase.		

WESTCHESTER (*Country Club*).

1890.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	1892.	R. Stevens.
1891.	C. Hobart.		

PHILADELPHIA (*Young America Cricket Club*).

Year.	*Singles.*	*Doubles.*
1885.	J. S. Clark.	
1886.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. D. Sears and A. Moffat.
1887.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor and H. W. Slocum.

NEW HAMBURGH.

1887.	V. G. Hall.	C. E. Sands and J. F. Bacon.
1888.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and R. Steel.
1889.	C. E. Sands.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1890.	E. L. Hall.	E. L. Hall and A. W. Post.

LENOX.

Year.	*Singles.*	*Doubles.*
1885.	W. V. R. Berry.	W. V. R. Berry and H. W. Slocum.
1886.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and H. W. Slocum.
1887.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark and H. W. Slocum.
1888.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr., and P. S. Sears.
1889.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	R. P. Huntington, Jr., and J. C. F. Huntington.
1890.	P. S. Sears.	
1891.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned and R. L. Parker.
1892.		
1893.		R. D. Wrenn and M. G. Chace.

WEST NEWTON (*Neighborhood Club*).

Year.	*Winner.*	*Year.*	*Winner.*
1892.	F. H. Hovey.	1894.	F. H. Hovey.
1893.	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.		

MANCHESTER (*Essex County Club*).

1893.	M. G. Chace.	1894.	F. H. Hovey.

STATEN ISLAND (*Cricket Club*).

1887.	H. W. Slocum.

OPEN TOURNAMENTS.

ORANGE.

Year.	*Singles.*	*Doubles.*
1885.*	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and W. V. S. Thorne.
1885.†	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum and M. S. Paton.
1886.*	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and H. W. Slocum.
1886.†	G. M. Brinley.	R. D. Sears and J. Dwight.
1887.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1888.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor and C. E. Sands.
1889.	C. Hobart.	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1890.	A. E. Wright.	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891.	R. Stevens.	R. R. Perkins and C. Hobart.

NARRAGANSETT PIER.

1885.	W. V. R. Berry.	R. L. Beeckman and H. A. Taylor.
1886.		
1887.		
1888.	H. A. Taylor.	
1889.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1890.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1891.	R. Stevens.	O. S. Campbell and S. T. Chase.
1892.		
1893.	M. G. Chace.	F. H. Hovey and M. G. Chace.
1894.	John Howland.	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.

ROCKAWAY (*Hunting Club*).

1886.	H. W. Slocum.	
1888.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum and F. Keene.

*Spring. †Fall.

WENTWORTH.

Year.	*Singles.*	*Doubles.*
1885.	W. V. R. Berry.	W. P. Knapp and H. W. Slocum.
1886.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark and H. A. Taylor.
1887.	H. W. Slocum.	G. M. Brinley and J. S. Clark.
1888.	C. A. Chase.	P. S. Sears and Q. A. Shaw, Jr.
1889.	C. A. Chase.	A. E. Wright and A. L. Williston.
1890.	G. W. Lee.	J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson.
1891.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey and H. Tallant.
1892.	F. H. Hovey.	F. H. Hovey and H. G. Bixby.
1893.	R. D. Wrenn.	R. D. Wrenn and M. G. Chace.
1894.	W. G. Parker.	W. G. Parker and C. R. Budlong.

HASTINGS (*Far and Near Club*).

1885.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and H. A. Taylor.
1886.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and H. W. Slocum.
1887.		
1888.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1889.	C. E. Sands.	C. E. Sands and R. C. Sands.
1890.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1891.	V. G. Hall.	
1892.	C. R. Runyon.	C. R. Runyon and A. S. Runyon.

NEW YORK (*New York Tennis Club*).

1886.	O. S. Campbell.	E. P. MacMullen and C. Hobart.
1887.	C. Hobart.	C. J. Post, Jr., and W. W. MacKay.
1888.	E. P. MacMullen.	E. P. MacMullen and C. Hobart.
1889.	A. W. Post.	D. Miller and C. Hobart.
1890.	C. G. Eames.	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891.	E. L. Hall.	E. P. MacMullen and C. Hobart.
1892.	C. R. Runyon.	R. R. Perkins and C. Hobart.

Year.	Singles.	Doubles.
	ELBERON.	
1887.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman and H. A. Taylor.
1888.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright.
	KEBO VALLEY (*Bar Harbor*).	
1890.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.
1891.		
1892.	W. S. Thompson.	R. D. Wrenn and H. McCormick.
1893.	V. G. Hall.	
1894.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and W. K. Shaw.
	MONTCLAIR.	
1886.	H. A. Taylor.	R. C. Thomas and T. Porter.
1887.		
1888.	C. Hobart.	A. W. Post and C. Hobart.
1889.	C. Hobart.	D. Miller and C. Hobart.
1890.	E. L. Hall.	R. V. Beach and W. K. Fowler.
1891.	W. A. Larned.	W. A. Larned and W. V. Johnson.
	PHILADELPHIA (*Cricket Club*).	
1888.		J. S. Clark and M. Fielding.
1889.		A. E. Wright and D. Miller.
1890.	R. V. Beach.	
1891.	M. R. Wright.	
1892.	R. V. Beach.	
1893.	W. A. Larned.	
1894.	M. D. Smith.	
	FLUSHING (*Athletic Association*).	
1888.	A. W. Post.	
1889.	C. Hobart.	A. E. Wright and D. Miller.

TIVOLI (*Edgewood Lawn Tennis Club*).

Year.	*Singles.*	*Doubles.*
1886.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and C. E. Sands.
1887.	V. G. Hall.	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.

MAGNOLIA SPRINGS, FLORIDA.

1891.	A. E. Wright.	O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright.
1892.	F. G. Beach.	R. V. Beach and F. G. Beach.
1893.	C. Hobart.	J. F. Talmage, Jr., and C. Hobart.
1894.	G. S. Bryan.	G. S. Bryan and W. W. Morton.

ENGLEWOOD (*Field Club*).

1887.	O. S. Campbell.	C. J. Post, Jr., and A. Duryee.
1888.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright.
1889.	C. Hobart.	D. Miller and C. Hobart.

COOPERSTOWN (*Athletic Association*).

1891.	M. R. Wright.	M. R. Wright and D. Miller.
1892.	R. R. Perkins.	S. M. Scudder.
1893.	M. R. Wright.	M. R. Wright and D. Miller.

SPRINGFIELD.

1887.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.	
1889.	C. A. Chase.	C. A. Chase and S. T. Chase.

BAY SHORE (*South Side Field Club*).

1890.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.

TOURNAMENT FOR THE LADIES' CHAMPIONSHIP OF THE UNITED STATES, 1894.

The tournament for the championship of the United States in ladies' singles, doubles, and mixed doubles took place on the beautiful grounds of the Philadelphia Cricket Club at Wissahickon Heights, Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, June 12 and following days. Each year seems to bring with it greater interest among the lady players, which is shown by the improvement in the play, and Wissahickon is becoming to the ladies what Newport has long been to the men, and the one who has her name engraved upon the Wissahickon cup is fairly entitled to be called "Lady champion of the United States." Beautiful weather marked the opening of this year's tournament, and the courts, on which great care had been expended, were in splendid condition. In spite of the constant rain that had for several weeks kept the tennis players all over the country from practising, it was evident from the first that the tennis was to be of the highest order. In the preliminary round the match between Miss Bankson and Miss Wistar abounded in beautiful plays and fine rallies, being finally won by the former by the score 5-6,6-3, 7-5. Another match which attracted a great deal of attention was in the semi-final round, when Mrs. Toulmin, who, as Miss Townsend, held

Ladies' Championship — Philadelphia Club Course, Wissahickon Heights

the championship in 1888 and 1889, defeated Miss Atkinson of the Kings County Club, 4-6, 6-5, 6-4. In this match Mrs. Toulmin played the back court game, and depended on her hard strokes down the side lines, while Miss Atkinson ran up to the net and volleyed, showing great ability in smashing. In the final round Mrs. Toulmin met Miss Hellwig to decide who was to meet Miss Terry for the championship. Miss Hellwig's wonderful steadiness, combined with her accuracy, enabled her to win, 6-2, 7-5, 6-4.

The championship match was played the next day before the largest crowd that ever assembled to witness a ladies' tennis match in this country. Before the game it was generally thought that Miss Terry would again be successful, as in practice she seemed to be playing a very strong game. At first both played with great care, evidently sounding each other, but from the start it was seen that the match was to be a test between the volley and the back court game, as Miss Terry came up to the net and drove the ball hard, while Miss Hellwig stayed in the back of the court and returned everything. This seemed to be the wiser course, as Miss Hellwig won the first set, 7-5. The second set saw Miss Terry at her best, and she volleyed and smashed with great accuracy, and won, 6-3. The third set proved a great surprise, as Miss Hellwig, by beautiful side-line placing and lobbing, did not allow Miss Terry a game, winning, 6-0. The fourth set

was a repetition of the second, Miss Terry winning, 6-3, and Miss Hellwig evidently saving herself for the final set. After a little rest, the deciding set was played, and again Miss Hellwig showed wonderful headwork and judgment. She stayed in the back of the court and simply returned the ball until she had brought Miss Terry to the net, when, by either lobbing or placing down the side line she scored her points and won the set and the match, 6-3. Thus ended what was undoubtedly the finest ladies' tennis ever played in this country, and it is nothing to Miss Terry's discredit that she was beaten by so formidable an opponent.

In the ladies' doubles the Brooklyn pair, Miss Hellwig and Miss Atkinson won, defeating Miss Wistar and Miss Williams of the Philadelphia C. C. in the finals, 6-4, 8-6, 6-2. The mixed doubles were won by Miss Atkinson and Mr. E. P. Fischer, who defeated Mrs. McFadden and Mr. Remak in the final round, 6-3, 6-2, 6-1. From the character of the play shown in this tournament and the interest shown, it is safe to predict that June 25, when it is again to be played at Wissahickon, will find a still more numerous entry for championship honors. It is certain that Miss Terry will make every effort to retrieve her defeat. Miss Moore, who was prevented by illness from playing last year, but who played so well in 1893, will again be on hand. And it is probable that others of as yet unknown but equally great skill will appear to do battle for

the honors. Indeed, so successful have been these tournaments and so enthusiastic have those been who have gone to Wissahickon, that we look to see new faces from all over the country added each year, as the interest in ladies' tennis increases and the tournament becomes better and better known. Any information regarding this tournament will be gladly furnished by Mr. J. H. Whittaker, secretary, No. 16 South Fifth street, Philadelphia, Pa., who will, on application, forward circulars on the near approach of the tournament, giving all particulars.

C.

RANKING FOR 1894.

At a meeting of the Executive Committee held in Newport at the time of the National Championship Tournament in 1894, it was voted that the ranking of the players for 1894 be done on the English plan, by a committee appointed by President Dwight, of which committee the president should be chairman. The committee made its report at the annual meeting held in New York Feb. 7, 1895, and is as follows:

OFFICIAL CLASSIFICATION OF PLAYERS FOR 1894.

Class 1.

R. D. Wrenn.	owe ¼ 15

Class 2.

W. A. Larned. M. F. Goodbody, F. H. Hovey, M. G. Chace, Clarence Hobart.	0

Class 3.

Richard Stevens.	¼ 15

Class 4.

C. R. Budlong, A. E. Foote, W. G. Parker, John Howland.	½ 15

Class 5.

V. G. Hall, S. T. Chase.	¾ 15

Class 6.

14	E. L. Hall,	15
15	S. C. Millett,	
16	C. E. Sands.	
17	E. P. Fischer.	

Class 7.

18	M. D. Smith,	15.1
19	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.,	
20	A. W. Post,	
21	F. K. Ward,	
22	H. E. Avery,	
23	Deane Miller.	
24	Evarts Wrenn.	

Class 8.

25	G. W. Lee,	15.2
26	J. B. Read,	
27	Leo E. Ware,	
28	H. G. Bixby,	
29	G. P. Herrick,	
30	G. H. Crocker,	
31	G. L. Wrenn, Jr.,	
32	S. G. Thomson,	
33	Silas D. Reed,	
34	A. L. Williston,	
35	James Terry,	
36	J. C. Davidson,	
37	Alfred Codman, Jr.,	
38	R. S. Howland,	
39	G. C. Hinckley,	
40	O. M. Bostwick,	
41	Geo. F. Brown, Jr.	

The following players have not been handicapped, owing to their absence from the principal tournaments:

W. P. Knapp,	D. Candler,
J. S. Clark,	C. T. Lee,
J. A. Ryerson,	Victor Elting,
H. McCormick,	J. P. Paret,
S. McCormick,	A. E. Wright.

S. Hardy of California has been omitted, as the

committee has no means of ascertaining his proper rank.

After much consideration C. B. Neel has also been omitted from the list.

After losing in the Western championship to S. T. Chase, he showed excellent form at Newport, especially against Larned in the second round. The committee feel that they do not know enough of his game to rank him properly.

For the Committee, JAMES DWIGHT,
Pres. U. S. N. L. T. A.

TABLES

Giving the ranking of the first ten players of each year, from 1885 to 1893:

	1885.	1886.	1887.
1.	R. D. Sears.	R. D. Sears.	R. D. Sears.
2.	J. Dwight.	J. Dwight.	H. W. Slocum.
3.	W. V. R. Berry.	R. L. Beeckman.	R. L. Beeckman.
4.	G. M. Brinley.	H. A. Taylor.	H. A. Taylor.
5.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark.	J. S. Clark.
6.	A. Moffat.	H. W. Slocum.	F. S. Mansfield.
7.	R. L. Beeckman.	G. M. Brinley.	P. S. Sears.
8.	H. A. Taylor.	F. S. Mansfield.	G. M. Brinley.
9.	F. S. Mansfield.	A. Moffat.	E. P. MacMullen.
10.	W. P. Knapp.	J. S. Conover.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.

	1888.	1889.	1890.
1.	H. W. Slocum.	H. W. Slocum.	O. S. Campbell.
2.	H. A. Taylor.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.	R. P. Huntingt'n, Jr.
3.	J. Dwight.	O. S. Campbell.	W. P. Knapp.
4.	J. S. Clark.	H. A. Taylor.	H. W. Slocum.
5.	C. A. Chase.	C. A. Chase.	F. H. Hovey.
6.	P. S. Sears.	J. S. Clark.	C. Hobart.
7.	E. P. MacMullen.	W. P. Knapp.	P. S. Sears.
8.	O. S. Campbell.	R. P. Huntingt'n, Jr.	H. A. Taylor.
9.	R. L. Beeckman.	P. S. Sears.	C. A. Chase.
10.	F. S. Mansfield.	F. S. Mansfield.	V. G. Hall.

	1891.	1892.	1893.
1.	O. S. Campbell.	O. S. Campbell.	R. D. Wrenn.
2.	C. Hobart.	E. L. Hall.	C. Hobart.
3.	R. P. Huntingt'n, Jr.	W. P. Knapp.	F. H. Hovey.
4.	F. H. Hovey.	C. Hobart.	M. G. Chace.
5.	E. L. Hall.	F. H. Hovey.	W. A. Larned.
6.	V. G. Hall.	W. A. Larned.	E. L. Hall.
7.	P. S. Sears.	M. G. Chace.	R. Stevens.
8.	S. T. Chase.	R. D. Wrenn.	A. E. Foote.
9.	C. T. Lee.	R. Stevens.	John Howland.
10.	M. D. Smith.	C. P. Hubbard.	C. R. Budlong.

CLUB CHAMPIONS.

[Club secretaries should send names to J. T. WHITTELSEY, Sec., P. O. Box 1672, New Haven, Conn., previous to Jan. 1, 1896, for the "96" Guide.]

Belmont Crickct Club.

Singles—M. D. Smith.
Doubles { M. D. Smith. / C. Tete, Jr.

Brooklyn Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—B. Jeffares.
Doubles { C. E. McMahon. / J. T. McMahon.

Bridgeport Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—G. S. Bryan.
Doubles { G. S. Bryan. / J. W. Wheeler.

Buffalo Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—C. M. Cody.
Doubles { A. E. Hedstram. / H. G. Meadows.

Dykington Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—George B. Case.
Doubles { George B. Case. / J. S. Cravens.

Elmwood Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—H. D. Wilcox.
Doubles { H. D. Wilcox. / J. D. E. Jones.

Germantown Cricket Club.

Singles—M. Fielding.
Doubles { J. R. Carpenter, Jr. / G. P. Deacon.

Hamilton Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—E. Kinnaird McEnroe.
Doubles { E. Kinnaird McEnroe. / John F. Hobart.

Hudson River Lawn Tennis Association.

Singles—C. E. Sands.
Doubles { Lt. J. C. W. Brooks. / Lt. R. P. Davis.

Hyde Park Country Club.

Singles—R. R. Whitman.
Doubles { George B. Case. / J. W. Cravens.

Hartford Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—James Terry.
Doubles { Joseph Hall. / F. R. Cooley.

Longwood Cricket Club.

Singles—R. D. Wrenn.
Doubles { R. D. Wrenn. / F. H. Hovey.

Minneapolis Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—George K. Belden.
Doubles { George K. Belden. / Thomas F. Wallace.

Merion Cricket Club.

Singles—J. B. Thayer, Jr.
Doubles { J. B. Thayer, Jr. / C. S. Farnum.

New York Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—Clarence Hobart.
Doubles { Clarence Hobart. / S. C. Millett.

Norwalk Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—Robert S. Van Buren.
Doubles { R. S. Van Buren. / F. A. Van Buren.

Orange Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—Duncan W. Candler.
Doubles { R. M. Miles, Jr. / C. A. Gould.

Omaha Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—DeRay Austin.
Doubles { E. J. Hart. / C. H. Young.

Philadelphia Cricket Club.

Singles—J. Parke Hood.
Doubles { Lynford Biddle. / G. T. Newhall.

Rochester Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—F. K. Ward.
Doubles { F. M. Hone. / M. A. Chase.

Staten Island Cricket and Base Ball Club.

Singles—H. W. Slocum.
Doubles { H. W. Slocum. / G. A. Willis.

South Orange Field Club.

Singles—F. N. Jessup.
Doubles { C. A. Gould. / R. M. Miles, Jr.

Wyandot Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—S. T. Chase.
Doubles { S. T. Chase. / J. A. Ryerson.

Western Pennsylvania Lawn Tennis Association.

Singles—Arthur S. Pier.
Doubles { A. S. Pier. / G. D. Edwards.

New Haven Lawn Club.

Singles—A. E. Foote.
Doubles { A. E. Foote. / John Howland.

Bar Harbor Lawn Tennis Club.

Singles—V. G. Hall.
Doubles { V. G. Hall. / W. K. Shaw.

Cambridge Casino.

Singles—W. D. Orcutt.
Doubles { W. D. Orcutt. / A. L. Williston.

MALCOLM G. CHACE
Intercollegiate Champion.

THE INTERCOLLEGIATE LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.

Officers for 1894-95.

PRESIDENT.

MALCOLM G. CHACE (YALE).

VICE-PRESIDENT.

ALFRED CODMAN (HARVARD).

SECRETARY and TREASURER.

G. P. HERRICK (PRINCETON).

DELEGATES TO NATIONAL CONVENTION.

MALCOLM G. CHACE.

JOHN HOWLAND.

MEMBERS OF THE INTERCOLLEGIATE.

AMHERST. BROWN. COLUMBIA.
CORNELL. DARTMOUTH.
HARVARD.
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA.
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA.
PRINCETON.
STEVENS. TRINITY.
WESLEYAN. WILLIAMS. YALE.

CONSTITUTION AND BY-LAWS.

ORGANIZED AND ADOPTED

At the Lawn Tennis Convention held at Trinity College, Hartford, Conn., April 17, 1883.

REVISED AND AMENDED

At the First Annual Meeting, held at Trinity College, Hartford, Conn., June 7, 1883.

At the Second Annual Meeting, held at Trinity College, Hartford, Conn., October 9, 1883.

At the Third Annual Meeting, held at Trinity College, Hartford, Conn., May 6, 1884.

At the Fourth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 15, 1885.

At the Fifth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 15, 1886.

At the Sixth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 7, 1887.

At the Seventh Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 8, 1888.

At the Eighth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 7, 1889.

At the Ninth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 8, 1890.

At the Tenth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 13, 1891.

At the Eleventh Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 4, 1892.

At the Twelfth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 3, 1893.

At the Thirteenth Annual Meeting, held at Yale College, New Haven, Conn., October 2, 1894.

CONSTITUTION

AND

BY-LAWS

OF THE

Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association

CONSTITUTION.

ARTICLE I.

NAME.

This organization shall be known as the Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association of the United States.

ARTICLE II.

MEMBERS.

SECTION 1. The colleges being members of this Association shall be known as active.

PROPOSITIONS FOR MEMBERSHIP.

SEC. 2. Propositions for membership must be made in writing to the Executive Committee, hereinafter provided for, with the name and address of applicant, and signed by the Secretary of the club making the proposal. The Executive Committee shall have full power to pass upon candidates for membership without a general election.

DUES.

SEC. 3. The annual dues to this Association shall be five dollars ($5), subject to change at the discretion of the Executive Committee, as hereinafter provided for. No college shall be considered a member or be allowed the privileges of a member until its dues have been paid. All future dues shall be payable at the annual meeting.

LIMITS OF PAYMENTS.

SEC. 4. Any college which shall fail to have paid its annual dues at the expiration of one month after the annual meeting, may be debarred from the privileges of a member by the Executive Committee, and at their discretion may be dropped from the roll.

RESIGNATIONS.

SEC. 5. Any club being a member wishing to resign must do so in writing, addressed to the Secretary; and the resignation cannot be accepted until all its dues are paid.

ARTICLE III.

EXPULSIONS.

The Executive Committee of this Association, hereinafter provided for, shall have the power to expel or suspend any college which may neglect or refuse a strict and honorable compliance with this Constitution, By-laws, etc.; or which shall, by scandalous conduct, bring reproach or disgrace upon the Association; subject to a right of the said club to appeal to the Association, at its next annual meeting, for reinstatement.

ARTICLE IV.

OFFICERS.

SECTION 1. The officers of this Association shall consist of a President, Vice-President, Secretary–Treasurer, and an Executive Committee, consisting of a representative from each college having membership in the Association. The President, Vice-President, and Secretary–Treasurer shall be *ex-officio* chairman of the Committee.

TIME AND MODE OF ELECTION.

SEC. 2. The election of officers shall be by ballot, at the annual meeting in each year. They shall be balloted for separately, and receive a majority of all votes cast to entitle them to an election; and they shall continue in office for one year, or until their successors shall be elected.

VACANCIES.

SEC. 3. In case a vacancy should occur in any of the offices, the Executive Committee shall elect a member to fill the vacancy for the unexpired term, in the manner provided in Section 2 of this Article, except that such election may be at a meeting of the Executive Committee, and not necessarily an annual meeting, as therein stated.

DUTIES OF THE PRESIDENT.

SEC. 4. It shall be the duty of the President to preside at all meetings, to preserve order, to appoint all committees not otherwise provided for, and to see that the officers and committees perform their respective duties.

THE VICE-PRESIDENT.

SEC. 5. The Vice-President shall assist the President in the performance of his duties, and shall exercise all the powers of the President in his absence

THE SECRETARY–TREASURER.

SEC. 6. The Secretary shall keep a roll of all the members, and from time to time amend and correct the same as circumstances may require. He shall notify new members of their election within two weeks thereafter, and shall give notice of all meetings at least three weeks in advance. He shall conduct all the correspondence of the Association. He shall keep the minutes of the proceedings of the Association, and a record of such matters of interest as may occur.

As Treasurer, he shall keep, in a suitable book pro-

vided for that purpose, an account of all moneys received and paid. He shall liquidate all bills against the Association, and shall report in writing the state of the finances when required; and at the annual meeting he shall present a written report showing all the receipts and expenditures for the year.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

SEC. 7. It shall be the duty of the Executive Committee to see that the general provisions of the Constitution and By-laws of this Association are complied with by colleges being members of the same; to hear and decide all questions submitted by any of the Association clubs for decision, notice of hearing being given to any other club which may be affected by the question; to construe and enforce all the rules of the Association; to fix, at least one month prior to each annual meeting, such assessment upon the clubs as they may deem necessary to cover the expenses of the Association for the ensuing year. All decisions of the Executive Committee shall be complied with forthwith, but an appeal therefrom may be taken by any club to the Association at its next annual meeting, provided the club applying shall file with the Secretary notice of their intention to do so within two (2) weeks after the Committee shall have rendered its decision. The Executive Committee shall have the arrangement and management of any general tournament between the club members of this Association.

No club shall have more than one delegate on the Executive Committee. A majority shall constitute a quorum.

ARTICLE V.

MEETINGS.

SECTION 1. There shall be a stated annual meeting held on the night of the first days of the tournament at such place as may be designated by special bulletin.

PROXIES.

SEC. 2. Clubs may be represented at any meeting by delegates or proxies.

SPECIAL MEETINGS.

SEC. 3. Special meetings may be called by the Executive Committee at any time, and shall be called by the Secretary at the request of any five colleges in the Association.

SEC. 4. At all meetings of the Association the representatives of a majority of the colleges shall constitute a quorum.

VOTES.

SEC. 5. Each college represented in the Association shall be entitled to one vote only at all meetings, to be cast by its delegate or delegates.

ARTICLE VI.

AMENDMENTS.

Amendments to this Constitution may be made at any annual meeting, by a vote of at least two thirds of the colleges represented. By-laws may be amended at any annual or special meeting under the same provisions.

BY-LAWS.

ARTICLE I.

ORDER OF BUSINESS.

SECTION 1. The order of business for this Association shall be as follows: —

1. Roll Call.
2. Reading of Minutes.
3. Secretary's Report.
4. Collection of Dues.
5. Treasurer's Report.
6. Reports of Committees.
7. Election of Members.
8. Miscellaneous Business.
9. Adjournment.

TIME OF ELECTING OFFICERS.

SEC. 2. The election of officers at the annual meeting shall take place immediately after the reading of the reports of the various officers and committees. The officers elected shall immediately enter upon the discharge of their respective duties, and be entitled to the possession of all books, papers, moneys, and other property belonging to the Association pertaining to their respective offices, and in the possession of their predecessors.

AUTHORITY FOR RULES OF ORDER.

SEC. 3. For the "Rules of Order," and any and all parliamentary rules not herein mentioned, those laid down in Cushing's Manual shall be authority.

ARTICLE II.

LAWS OF LAWN TENNIS.

SECTION 1. All club members of this Association shall be governed by the laws of Lawn Tennis as laid down by the National Association.

LAWS OF MATCHES.

SEC. 2. All matches played by clubs represented in this Association shall be played under all the rules adopted by it.

SEC. 3. None but amateurs shall be allowed to enter for any match or matches played under the auspices of this Association.

SEC. 4. An amateur is one who never played or taught Lawn Tennis for money, and who has never played a match with a professional for a prize, or a match with a professional at which gate-money was charged.

SEC. 5. The official courts shall be clay courts.

SEC. 6. Each college may be represented by three single and two double teams.

SEC. 7. There shall be a Governing Committee of three elected two weeks before the Annual Tournament, who shall be final in all decisions regarding the Tournament, and shall be selected from non-members of the Association.

ARTICLE III.

SUSPENSION OF BY-LAWS.

Any article or section of these By-laws may be suspended for any one meeting by a two-thirds vote of the clubs represented at said meeting.

TOURNAMENT, 1894.

The thirteenth annual tournament was held on the grounds of the New Haven Lawn Club during the week of Oct. 2, 1894.

On the last day the beautiful prizes were put on exhibition, the Intercollegiate Cup standing out prominently among them. This cup seems destined to have an interesting history. Won first by Yale in 1889, and then by Harvard in 1890 and 1891, Cornell, 1892, and Brown, 1893, it has this year come again to Yale.

There were, besides this cup, four prizes, a first singles, won by M. G. Chace of Yale; a second singles, won by C. R. Budlong of Brown; a first doubles, won by Chace and Foote of Yale, and a second doubles, won by Talmage and Shaw of Yale.

Following is the summary of the matches:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round—A. E. Foote of Yale beat Alfred Codman of Harvard, 4-6, 6-0, 6-1; G. P. Herrick of Princeton beat W. E. Milne of Amherst, 6-4, 6-1; J. F. Talmage of Yale beat F. N. Jessup of Princeton, 6-4, 6-3.

First Round—C. R. Budlong of Brown beat E. P. Hamlin of Trinity, by default; H. A. Colby of Princeton beat J. B. Read of Harvard, 6-3, 6-2; R. M. Miles, Jr., of Columbia beat H. C. Bridges of Univ. of North Carolina, 6-2, 7-9, 6-4; Herrick beat Foote, 6-4, 9-7; Talmage beat W. A. Jones of Brown, 6-0, 6-1; D. C. Graves of Trinity beat

F. M. Belden of Amherst, 7-5, 2-6, 6-4; A. S. Pier of Harvard beat W. O. Gennert of Columbia, 6-1, 6-0; M. G. Chace of Yale beat D. R. Bryson of Univ. of North Carolina, 6-1, 6-2.

Second Round—Budlong beat Colby, 6-0, 6-3; Herrick beat Miles, 6-4, 6-1; Talmage beat Graves, 6-0, 6-0; Chace beat Pier, 8-6, 6-3.

Third Round—Budlong beat Herrick, 6-0, 6-4; Chace beat Talmage, 6-0, 6-1.

Final Round—Chace beat Budlong, 6-3, 6-4, 6-3.

Second Prize—Won by C. R. Budlong, who beat J. F. Talmage, Jr., 6-1, 6-0.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round—Talmage and Shaw of Yale beat Milne and Belden of Amherst, 6-4, 6-3; Jessup and Sankey of Princeton beat Hamlin and Graves of Trinity, by default; Read and Codman of Harvard beat Miles and Gennert of Columbia, 4-6, 6-4, 6-1.

First Round—Wrenn and Pier of Harvard beat Budlong and Jones of Brown, 6-4, 7-9, 6-1; Talmage and Shaw beat Jessup and Sankey, 6-3, 5-7, 7-5; Chace and Foote of Yale beat Read and Codman of Harvard, 10-8, 6-1; Colby and Herrick of Princeton beat Bridges and Bryson of Univ. of North Carolina, 2-6, 6-1, 6-2.

Second Round—Talmage and Shaw beat Wrenn and Pier, 6-3, 6-1; Chace and Foote beat Colby and Herrick, 6-3, 6-0.

Final Round—Chace and Foote beat Talmage and Shaw, 8-6, 6-2, 6-2.

Second place was won by Talmage and Shaw of Yale.

The Intercollegiate Cup was won by the Yale representatives, who took first place in both singles and doubles. This cup finally goes to the college which first wins seven (7) first prizes, and in case of a tie, second prizes are to count.

THE STANDING FOR THE INTERCOLLEGIATE CUP.

1889—Columbia 1.	Yale 1.
1890—Harvard 2.	
1891—Harvard 2.	
1892—Cornell 1.	Harvard 1.
1893—Brown 2.	
1894—Yale 2.	

THE INTERCOLLEGIATE CHAMPIONS.

YEAR.	PLAYED AT	SINGLES.	DOUBLES.	
Spring, 1883.	Hartford.	J. S. Clark, Harvard.	J. S. Clark, H. A. Taylor,	Harvard.
Fall, 1883.	Hartford.	H. A. Taylor, Harvard.	H. A. Taylor, P. E. Presbrey,	Harvard.
1884.	Hartford.	W. P. Knapp, Yale.	W. P. Knapp, W. V. S. Thorne,	Yale.
1885.	New Haven.	W. P. Knapp, Yale.	W. P. Knapp, H. W. Shipman,	Yale.
1886.	New Haven.	G. M. Brinley, Trinity.	W. P. Knapp, W. L. Thacher,	Yale.
1887.	New Haven.	P. S. Sears, Harvard.	P. S. Sears, Q. A. Shaw, Jr.,	Harvard.
1888.	New Haven.	P. S. Sears, Harvard.	V. G. Hall, O. S. Campbell,	Columbia.
1889.	New Haven.	R. P. Huntington, Jr., Yale.	O. S. Campbell, A. E. Wright,	Columbia,
1890.	New Haven.	F. H. Hovey, Harvard.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr., S. T. Chase,	Harvard.
1891.	New Haven.	F. H. Hovey, Harvard.	F. H. Hovey, R. D. Wrenn,	Harvard.
1892.	New Haven.	W. A. Larned, Cornell.	R. D. Wrenn, F. B. Winslow,	Harvard.
1893.	New Haven.	M. G. Chace, Brown.	M. G. Chace, C. R. Budlong,	Brown.
1894.	New Haven.	M. G. Chace, Yale.	M. G. Chace, A. E. Foote,	Yale.

INTERSCHOLASTIC.

The tournaments of the four universities under whose management the Interscholastics have been carried on, were held in 1894 as they had been in 1893, and the winners met at Newport in August to decide the Interscholastic championship of the country.

The records of the different events are as follows:

COLUMBIA TOURNAMENT.

The Interscholastic tennis tournament opened Monday, May 7, on the courts of the Columbia Field. A large number of entries were received, and some exciting contests resulted.

The playing lasted three days, and the many fine plays brought forth rounds of applause from the interested spectators.

The final match between Parker and Pell was very exciting, Parker finally winning the match—the interscholastic championship of New York.

SUMMARY OF SCORES.

Preliminary Round — Colwell (Bryant & Stratton) beat Harned (London), 6-0, 6-0; Carhart (Polytechnic) beat Sutton (Drisler), 6-0, 6-1; Lands (Cutler) beat Elliott (London), 6-1, 6-0; Pell (Cutler) beat Walton, 6-1, 6-3; Smith (London) beat Canter (Collodon), 6-3, 6-1.

First Round—Parker (Tutor) beat Lapsley (Berkley), 6-1, 6-0; Loper (Bryant & Stratton) beat Smith, 6-2, 7-5;

Colwell (Bryant & Stratton) beat Carhart (Polytechnic), 5-7, 6-3, 6-2; Pell (Cutler) beat Lands (Cutler), 6-4, 4-6, 6-2.

Second Round—Parker beat Colwell, 6-2, 6-0; Pell beat Loper, 6-4, 6-2.

Final Round—Parker beat Pell, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4.

G. R. B.

YALE TOURNAMENT.

The Yale interscholastic tournament was carried through successfully under the management of A. E. Foote, secretary of the Yale Tennis Association. The schools were represented as follows: Hopkins Grammar School, by Edmund Q. Trowbridge, Harold Cheney, and Richard Hooker; the Hillhouse High School, by Clifford W. Beers, N. C. Bradley, A. M. Gray, W. K. Shepard, and A. F. Barnes; Black Hall, by Watrous, Terry, and Hulbert, and the Hartford High School, by E. R. Ingraham, W. P. Sage, and J. L. Howard.

SUMMARY OF SCORES.

Preliminary Round—Beers beat Ingraham, 4-6, 6-3, 6-1; Trowbridge beat Hulbert, by default; Hooker beat Bradley, 6-2, 8-6; Sage beat Shepard, 6-2, 6-2; Cheney beat Terry, 6-1, 6-0; Barnes beat Howard, 6-1, 6-3.

First Round—Trowbridge beat Beers, 6-1, 6-3; Sage beat Hooker, 6-4, 7-5; Cheney beat Barnes, 6-1, 6-3; Gray beat Watrous, 7-5, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round—Trowbridge beat Sage, 6-2, 6-4; Cheney beat Gray, 6-4, 6-3.

Final Round—Trowbridge beat Cheney, 8-6, 6-1, 6-1.

HARVARD TOURNAMENT.

The annual tournament for the Harvard interscholastic championship was played at Cambridge,

on May 12, and included seventy entries. For the second time the Roxbury Latin School captured the championship banner, each leg of the tournament being won by a representative of that school. Leo Ware, the winner, was the runner-up last year, losing to Budlong in the finals. He showed improvement over last year's play, and gives promise of developing into excellent form. The scores are as follows:

SUMMARY OF SCORES.

Preliminary Round—C. M. Woods beat E. H. Fay, 6-4, 6-4; C. C. Butters beat T. S. Wiles, 6-5, 4-6, 6-1; G. M. Sargent beat F. A. Daggett, 6-1, 6-0; E. H. Sears beat E. W. Stevens, 6-5, 6-0; L. E. Ware beat M. Bartlett, by default; J. P. Gage beat J. L. Valentine, 6-2, 3-6, 6-2.

First Round—R. T. Park beat M. Donald, 6-2, 6-2; J. H. Lee beat L. Baker, 6-3, 6-5; M. D. Whitman beat W. P. Bomstock, 6-1, 6-3; L. F. Place beat S. D. Ames, 6-4, 6-1; L. A. Brown beat A. Hollis, 6-5, 6-2; R. L. Chipman beat W. Langley, 6-2, 5-6, 6-2; W. S. Fitz beat F. J. Goodridge, 6-3, 6-1; R. Henderson beat M. Breed, 6-3, 6-0; T. H. Clarkson beat A. V. Lally, 6-5, 6-5; C. Bradley, Jr., beat H. V. S. Lewis, 6-4, 6-3; F. Holt beat A. N. Rice, 6-2, 6-2; A. H. George beat R. L. DeNormandie, 6-3, 5-6, 6-1; G. W. Hersey beat W. S. Kendall, 5-6, 6-4, 6-1; A. M. Wise beat W. F. Wyeth, 6-5, 6-5; H. N. Stearns beat S. Scales, 6-0, 3-6, 6-2; C. C. Butters beat C. M. Woods, 6-5, 6-4; G. M. Sargent beat E. H. Sears, 6-2, 6-5; C. Kendall beat E. Parker, 6-4, 6-4; H. C. Alley beat G. C. Walworth, 2-6, 6-0, 6-0; K. L. Marks beat L. Whitney, 6-2, 6-4; E. Byrnes beat C. E. Smith, 6-2, 3-6, 6-3; H. C. Ingalls beat F. Jackson, 6-1, 6-3; C. Brownell beat E. D. Childs, 6-3, 6-3; H. B. Campbell beat H. V. French, 6-3, 2-6, 7-5; A. A. Barrows beat E. Erickson, 6-3, 6-3; J. D. Underwood beat H. L. Ewer, 6-1,

6-3; G. G. Hubbard beat G. H. Mayo, 6-2, 1-6, 6-0; G. P. Stevens beat M. E. Webb, by default; E. W. Oley beat J. B. Moulton, by default; A. S. Wolfe beat J. C. Rice, 2-6, 6-0, 9-7; A. W. Drew beat H. H. Howe, 1-6, 6-1, 6-5.

Second Round—Parke beat Lee, 6-5, 6-1; Whitman beat Place, 6-1, 6-4; Chipman beat Brown, 6-3, 6-5; Fitz beat Henderson, 6-3, 5-6, 6-1; Clarkson beat Bradley, 6-4, 6-1; Holt beat George, 6-3, 6-3; Wise beat Hersey, 2-6, 6-5, 6-4; Stearns beat Butters, 6-5, 6-4; Alley beat Kendall, 6-0, 6-1; Byrnes beat Marks, 6-0, 6-1; Brownell beat Ingalls, 6-1, 6-1; Barrows beat Campbell, 6-1, 6-0; Underwood beat Hubbard, 6-1, 6-2; Stevens beat Oley, 6-3, 6-5; Drew beat Wolfe, 6-0, 6-0.

Third Round—Whitman beat Parke, 6-1, 6-1; Fitz beat Chipman, 6-2, 6-5; Holt beat Clarkson, 6-1, 6-2; Wise beat Stearns, 6-1, 6-3; Ware beat Alley, 6-1, 6-3; Brownell beat Byrnes, 6-1, 6-5; Barrows beat Underwood, 6-1, 6-1; Drew beat Stevens, 6-3, 6-4.

Fourth Round—Fitz beat Whitman, 6-2, 5-6, 6-2; Holt beat Wise, 6-1, 6-5; Ware beat Brownell, 6-2, 6-5; Barrows beat Drew, 6-5, 5-6, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round—Ware beat Barrows, 6-3, 6-4; Holt beat Fitz, 3-6, 6-3, 7-5.

Final Round—Ware beat Holt, 6-3, 6-1, 6-0.

PRINCETON TOURNAMENT.

The tournament for the Princeton interscholastic championship was held May 12 on the Bayard Avenue courts, at Princeton, N. J. First place was won by Thomson of Lawrenceville, who was also the winner last year. The scores are as follows:

SUMMARY OF SCORES.

Preliminary Round—Spurgin beat Abbott by default; Goodrich beat Thomas, 4-6, 6-3, 7-5; Alexander beat Newell,

6-4, 6-4; Walz beat Willing, 6-1, 6-3; Perry beat Chandler, 6-2, 6-0; Carlton beat Binder, 6-0, 6-1; Charlock beat North, 6-3, 6-0.

First Round—Thomson beat Spurgin, 6-3, 6-2; Goodrich beat Alexander, 6-3, 6-2; Perry beat Walz, 6-5, 6-4; Charlock beat Carlton, 6-1, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round—Thomson beat Goodrich, 6-3, 6-5: Perry beat Charlock, 5-6, 6-1, 6-3.

Final Round—Thomson beat Perry, 6-3, 6-3, 6-3.

UNITED STATES CHAMPIONSHIP.

Held at Newport, R. I., Aug. 25, 1894.

Preliminary Round—W. G. Parker of Columbia beat L. E. Ware of Harvard, 2-6, 6-2, 0-6, 6-3, 6-4; S. G. Thomson of Princeton beat E. Q. Trowbridge of Yale, by default.

Final Round—W. G. Parker beat S. G. Thomson, 6-1, 6-2, 6-2.

It is hoped that other universities and colleges will try and develop tennis among their preparatory schools; any desired information may be obtained from the secretary of the national association.

THE INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONS.

YEAR.	PLAYED AT	SINGLES.	SCHOOL.
1891....	Cambridge.	R. D. Wrenn.	Cambridge Latin.
1892....	Cambridge.	M. G. Chace.	Univ. Grammar, Prov.
1893....	Newport.	C. R. Budlong.	High, Providence.
1894....	Newport.	W. G. Parker.	Tutor, New York.

PACIFIC COAST CHAMPIONSHIPS.

The year 1894 was remarkable for the apparent little interest taken in tennis by a number of players who, considering all that tennis has done for them, ought to feel thoroughly ashamed of themselves. We had our seventh annual tournament for the single championship of the Pacific States at the Hotel Rafael, San Rafael, on July 1, 2, and 4, and managed, after a good deal of hard work, to get thirteen entries, and although the style this year was anything from brilliant, it did not show any falling off, which is satisfactory as far as it goes.

The various rounds were played off before quite a large assembly of the society people who generally visit the matches every year, and every good stroke was generously applauded without the usual display of party cries, which has in former years rather tended to spoil the tournaments, as, if a man makes a good shot and is hissed for it, because his opponent is more of a favorite than he is, it tends to sicken the player, and will probably see his place vacated the following season.

The championship round was a sore disappointment to all those who expected to see a good game, as the champion, Thomas A. Driscoll, simply could not play his game at all and fell a very easy victim to the celebrated Samuel Hardy of the Oakland Ten-



SAMUEL HARDY

Pacific States Champion.

nis Club. Hardy did not play a brilliant game—far from it—but it was sufficient to take away the championship from his opponent, and people really felt sorry for Driscoll when they saw what an utter failure he was.

The most interesting matches of the series were those between H. H. Brown and Walter Magee, and Samuel Hardy and R. J. Holmes, and in each match every game was hotly contested, and the strokes were better played and were played with more severity than all the other matches put together. Whether it is from inexperience with the Eastern player, or what, I do not know, but it seems to me that all the players here, without exception, lack severity and are afraid to play strokes in the matches that they often play with grand effect in practice games.

The scores were as follows:

Preliminary Round—R. J. Holmes beat S. S. Sanborn, 7-5, 6-3, 6-4; Dr. Seager beat A. E. Kaesar, 6-3, 6-1, 6-2; A. B. Wilberforce beat G. E. Stoker, 6-2, 6-2, 6-2; Sumner Hardy beat H. W. Crowell, 6-4, 6-4, 6-0; Samuel Hardy beat A. A. McKelvey, 6-0, 6-2, 6-1.

First Round—R. J. Holmes beat Paul Ellis, 6-0, 7-5, 6-3; Dr. Seager beat A. B. Wilberforce, 6-2, 4-6, 7-5, 6-4; Samuel Hardy beat Sumner Hardy, 4-6, 6-1, 6-2, 7-5; H. H. Brown beat Walter Magee, 6-1, 6-3, 5-7, 6-8, 11-9.

Second Round—R. J. Holmes beat Dr. Seager,

6-8, 6-3, 5-7, 6-1; Samuel Hardy beat H. H. Brown, 6-0, 6-2, 6-1.

Final Round—Samuel Hardy beat R. J. Holmes, 6-3, 6-0, 9-7.

Championship Round—Samuel Hardy (challenger) beat Thomas A. Driscoll (holder), 6-0, 6-3, 6-1.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES AND LADIES' SINGLES.

The fifth annual championship for gentlemen's doubles and ladies' singles took place on Sept. 7, 8, and 10, at the Hotel Rafael, San Rafael, and was far more successful than anticipated. There was, as usual, a very large attendance, in spite of the intense heat, and the standard of play was far above that of former years. The young ladies who braved the heat to battle for the championship were encouraged by frequent applause, which was well merited, as they certainly played very well. There is no doubt that the tennis was good, better, in fact, than for many years, and this also can be said of the doubles. Every match was worth watching from the beginning to end, and was stubbornly contested, and the unexpected success of the events gives ground for the belief that there will be an unusually augmented interest in the game this coming season.

Miss Ethel Bates of Oakland won the all-comers' by defeating Miss Grace Clark of San Jose in the first round, 6-2, 6-1, and Miss Martha Alexander of

Oakland in the finals, 12-10, 6-0, but she was defeated by Miss Bee Hooper of St. Helena, the holder of the championship, after a very hard four-set match, which brought forth applause from the big assembly of people present. Miss Hooper has improved in her game very much since last year, and if she goes on improving she is likely to hold the honors for some time, although I think Miss Martha Alexander will before long become a very formidable opponent.

The eight teams that entered the gentlemen's doubles were very fairly well matched and this fact obviated any one-sidedness to the tournament. The matches were well attended by very enthusiastic spectators, and this made the players feel that they alone were not interested in the game, and probably helped to raise the standard of the matches, as every good shot earned a round of applause, such as we have never had before in double matches. Space will not allow me to dwell on each individual match, but it is needless to say they were hotly contested; but the best game of the whole tournament was the final, or rather, championship round between Messrs. Samuel Hardy and Sumner Hardy, the holders, and G. B. DeLong and Thomas A. Driscoll, the runners-up. Driscoll retrieved his lost honors by his play, and he was very ably backed up by George DeLong, who had forsaken his former partner, H. N. Stetson, as he felt that they could never win against the Oakland talent. Both he and Driscoll were out for

blood and they certainly put up a very fine game, which was thoroughly exciting from start to finish. The whole five sets were played, and DeLong and Driscoll gained 218 to the Hardys' 201 points.

SUMMARY OF SCORES.

First Round—Driscoll and DeLong beat Cooke and Byxbee, 8-6, 6-3, 6-1; Thomas Magee and Walter Magee beat Chickering and Nicholson, 6-1, 6-1, 6-0; Allen and Whitney beat Kinzie and Adams, 7-5, 6-3, 6-4; English and Wilberforce beat Stoker and Crowell, 6-3, 6-2, 1-6, 6-3.

Second Round—Driscoll and DeLong beat Thomas Magee and Walter Magee, 6-2, 6-2, 7-5; Allen and Whitney beat English and Wilberforce, 6-3, 2-6, 6-3, 6-4.

Final Round—Driscoll and DeLong beat Allen and Whitney, 6-3, 6-4, 6-2.

Championship Round—Driscoll and DeLong (challengers) beat Samuel Hardy and Sumner Hardy (holders), 6-3, 4-6, 5-7, 6-4, 11-9.

At the meeting held on the Fourth of July the Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association held their annual meeting, and the following officers were elected for the season of 1894-5:

President, Alec B. Wilberforce; vice-president, A. A. Dewing; secretary and treasurer, George E. Stoker; executive committee, A. J. Treat, Dr. John C. Spencer, Anthony E. Kaeser, and Samuel Hardy.

A. B. WILBERFORCE.

G. B. DELONG

ates in Doubles.

LAWN TENNIS IN CANADA.

CANADIAN LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION CHAMPIONSHIP.

The fifth annual tournament for the championship of Canada, under the auspices of the Canadian Lawn Tennis Association, was held on the grounds of the Ottawa Lawn Tennis Club on July 3 and following days. There were five entries in the ladies singles, fifteen in the gentlemen's singles (seven from Ottawa, five from Toronto, one from Hamilton, one from Thorold, and one from Pembroke), and sixteen pairs in the gentlemen's doubles.

The weather on the whole was very favorable, though it was unfortunate that rain came on during the matches for the championship necessitating their being continued the next day. The attendance each day was very satisfactory, great interest was manifested by the spectators in the various matches, and altogether the tournament was a great success. There were, however, a good many expressions of disappointment at the absence of any American players with the exception of Mr. H. E. Avery of the Detroit A. C., who gallantly came in to defend his title to the championship cup which he had won the previous year, and whose presence was much appreciated. This year being the first time the tournament has been held in Ottawa possibly ac-

counted for the absence of visitors from the United States, but still the Ottawa tennis-loving public hope that the next time it is held in this city that they may be favored with several American entries, which are always much appreciated. The grounds of the Ottawa Lawn Tennis Club, probably the best in Canada, were very favorably commented upon by the visitors, Mr. Avery being very enthusistic in this respect.

The all-comers' prize was won by Mr. R. W. P. Matthews of the Toronto Lawn Tennis Club, who defeated Mr. H. Gordon Mackenzie of the same club, 4-6, 6-3, 6-1, 6-3. The match was well contested, Mackenzie being a hard man to beat. His coolness never deserts him and his steadiness is remarkable. His lobbing was very good though not effective against Matthew's long reach, which he uses to great advantage. The latter, too, plays much closer to the net.

Winning the above match entitled Mr. Matthews to the honor of playing Mr. Avery for the championship. The game was commenced on Friday afternoon. It was well contested. In the first set Matthews seemed to have things pretty much his own way, yet when he was four games to his opponents' two Avery crept up and made it 6-5 in his (Avery's) favor. Here Avery showed some grand inplay while he kept his opponent from the net. Matthews led at 9-8, but then Avery took three games and the set. In the second set

R. W. P. MATTHEWS

Canadian Champion.

Matthews improved immensely and when he got four games his opponent let up and he won a love set. The next set Matthews started in well and scored two love. Then Avery woke up, took six straight games and the set. The fourth set, in spite of the American's endeavors to win and finish the match went to the Toronto man who, principally, by fast volleys, won. It was at this point that the match had to be postponed on account of the rain. On the Saturday afternoon play was continued and the final set was won rather easily by Matthews, 6-2. Naturally Mr. Matthews' victory was very popular, as it is the first time since the association was formed that a representative of a Canadian club has won the championship. It must be confessed that Mr. Avery is decidedly the more brilliant player, and had he shown the form he displayed on Friday afternoon during the final set the result might have been different. However, Mr. Avery bore his defeat in a thoroughly sportsmanlike manner and everybody will be glad to see him do battle again at Niagara next year.

The final in the gentlemen's doubles was won by Messrs. Moreton, Hamilton Lawn Tennis Club, and R. W. P. Matthews, who defeated Messrs. Applegath and Griffin, both of Toronto Lawn Tennis Club, 6-2, 6-3, 6-1. There was some good play on both sides and the losers need not feel badly at being defeated by so redoubted a team.

In the ladies' singles the match was between Mrs.

Whitehead of the Brantford Lawn Tennis Club, who won the all-comers, and Miss Maude Delano Osborne of Sutton, the champion of 1893. Much disappointment was expressed that Mrs. Sydney Smith of Ottawa, who had always given Miss Osborne such a struggle for championship honors, was unable, owing to family bereavement, to compete. Miss Osborne, as usual, lost the first set. In the second set, however, she improved greatly, and won it, 6-2, and in the third set she led by 3-1, when rain stopped the game. The match was continued on Saturday afternoon, Miss Osborne winning the next three games, thus retaining the championship, which she has now held for three successive years. As the challenge cup was only given in 1893, she will have to win again next year before it becomes her property. The victory of Miss Osborne was very popular and she was deservedly well applauded.

During the week of the tournament the annual meeting of the Canadian Lawn Tennis Association was held in the rooms of the Ottawa Amateur Athletic Club, the president, H. Gordon Mackenzie, Toronto, occupying the chair. The election of officers resulted as follows:

President—H. Gordon Mackenzie, Toronto Lawn Tennis Club, re-elected.

Vice-President — Lieut.-Col. Thomas Bacon, Ottawa Lawn Tennis Club.

Miss MAUDE D. OSBORNE

Lady Canadian Champion.

Hon. Secretary-Treasurer — E. Scott Griffin, Toronto Lawn Tennis Club.

Executive Committee—H. D. Warren, Granite Lawn Tennis Club; R. W. P. Matthews, Toronto Lawn Tennis Club; S. Alfred Jones, Victoria Lawn Tennis Club; A. A. Macdonald, Park Lawn Tennis Club; Secretary Moreton, Hamilton Lawn Tennis Club; J. Craig, E. Waldo, W. L. Scott, and A. C. Rowe, all of the Ottawa Lawn Tennis Club.

It has since been decided that the next tournament is to be held at Niagara on the lake, commencing Tuesday, July 9, on the grounds of the Queen's Royal Hotel, the proprietors having very kindly placed the same at the disposal of the Niagara Lawn Tennis Club for the occasion. Messrs. Wright & Ditson's championship ball has been adopted as the official ball of the association for 1895.

Several of the American cracks have promised to attend and they can rely on a warm welcome being accorded them by the association.

ARTHUR C. ROWE,
Hon. Secretary-Treasurer,
C. L. T. A., 1894.

The record of winners of the cup is:

1890. E. E. Tanner, Buffalo Lawn Tennis Club, at Toronto.
1891. Fred Mansfield, Boston, at Toronto.
1892. F. H. Hovey, Boston, at Toronto.
1893. H. E. Avery, Detroit, at Toronto.
1894. R. W. P. Matthews, Toronto, at Ottawa.

THE NIAGARA INTERNATIONAL TENNIS TOURNAMENT.

A RECORD BREAKER FOR CANADA.

The Niagara international tennis tournament will be long remembered by the Canadian tennis player as establishing a friendly entente between several leading players of the United States and Canada, who spent an enjoyable week together at the beautiful watering place of Niagara-on-the-lake, Canada.

The tenth annual renewal of the Niagara international was held on the picturesque courts of the Queen's Royal Hotel, beginning on Tuesday, Aug. 28. It was the most expert and numerous gathering of tennis players hitherto seen on Canadian courts, and among those competing were Malcom G. Chace, then of Brown University, now of Yale, intercollegiate champion; A. E. Foote of Yale, New England champion; R. W. P. Matthews of Toronto, Canadian champion; R. N. Wilson of the University of Pennsylvania; H. E. Avery, Detroit, ex-Canadian champion; E. Rapelyea of Elmira, N. Y.; Gordon Mackenzie of Toronto, who won the Niagara cup ten years before; E. S. Griffin of Toronto, Laurance Angel of Cornell University and Rochester; C. M. Pope of Yale, and all the tournament players of Canada.

The all-comers singles was won by Malcom G. Chace, who defeated in turn C. M. Pope and H. E.

Avery, but found his most difficult opponent in Matthews in the semi-finals, which he won 4-6, 6-2, 6-1. Foote defeated Wilson, Gordon Mackenzie, and E. S. Griffin. When he came to meet Chace in the final he was ill, and after playing two sets, 6-0, 6-1, he retired, leaving the field to the intercollegiate champion.

The cup final for the Queen's Royal trophy was played on Saturday afternoon between Chace and Fitz Ward of Rochester, the holder. The largest gathering ever seen at a tennis match in Canada witnessed the contest and excitement, and enthusiasm ran high, a large party having come by special car from Rochester to cheer on their champion, whose colors were conspicuous all along the terrace. The sombre brown was found in spots here and there, and formed a contrast to many a bright face. Ward started the first game well, and won by some clever strokes, but Chace captured the next three and it soon became evident that the hopes of the bevies of fair maidens from Rochester were doomed to disappointment. The first two sets fell to Chace rather easily, but Ward made a great burst in the third set and when the score was 3 to 1 Chace, the Rochester crack won four games in succession by brilliant play, but was unable to keep up the pace and after fourteen games the game set and match fell to Chace.

Cup final—M. G. Chace (Yale), challenger, beat Fitz Ward (Rochester), holder, 6-3, 6-1, 8-6.

In the finals of the ladies' singles Miss Osborne, the Canadian champion, after a hard fight beat Mrs. Whitehead, 4-6, 6-3, 6-4.

The men's doubles were won by Chace and Foote from Matthews and Avery in the final, 6-3, 6-1, 6-3.

The handicap had a very large entry and resulted in some very close play, but fell to the lot of F. G. Anderson, a strong young player from Toronto, who defeated A. M. Pope on even terms, both owing half thirty.

The most exciting and the keenest match of the tournament was the final in the mixed doubles, which went over to Monday morning. Chace and Miss Hollister of Buffalo defeated Matthews and Miss Osborne, 3-6, 8-6, 9-7.

There were several special matches in which the excitement ran very high, particularly in the contest between Chace at scratch and Avery receiving 15. It was won by the former after an uphill fight in which he showed great nerve and staying power, by the score, 1-6, 4-6, 6-4, 6-3, 6-2.

Tournament week at Niagara will not soon be forgotten by those who took part in it. The dances, balls, and concerts in the evening added greatly to the enjoyment, and many international friendships were cemented. One result of the pleasant meetings at Niagara was the famous winter trip of the American College Hockey Team to Canada, in which Chace, Foote, and Larned were the moving spirits, assisted by Mr. George Wright of Boston.

M. G. CHACE vs. F. K. WARD

International Championship — Played on the Courts of the Queen's Royal Hotel.

During the coming season two tournaments will be held at Niagara-on-the lake—the Canadian Lawn Tennis Association's championship tournament open to all comers beginning Tuesday, July 9, and the Niagara international tennis tournment beginning Tuesday, Aug. 27, and continuing until Labor Day, Sept. 2. H.

CANADIAN JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP.

At the annual meeting of the Canadian Lawn Tennis Association in July, 1893, it was decided to institute a Junior Championship of Canada, open to boys under 19 years of age, residing in Canada. The object of this was to encourage tennis among the youth of Canada and establish it as one of the regular school games.

The main reason, we take it, that tennis is so inferior in Canada is that the schools and colleges do not afford any systematic training for the game such as they afford to cricket and football. As a rule, a Canadian school tennis club is a very secondary affair, for the reason that little, if any, official support is given to it. The facilities of such a club are most imperfect, both as regards courts and general equipment. The inevitable consequence is play of a very poor class, a total lack of science and a supreme disregard of form.

The prevailing idea seems to be that any one can play the game and that the expert is the ordinary individual plus a "knack." The great essentials,

hard work and careful study of the game, are practically forgotten. But we hope now for a beginning of better things and for an increased interest in the scientific element of lawn tennis in Canada.

Last summer's tournament, as an initial one, was a fair success. It was held in June at Toronto, on the beautiful grounds of Upper Canada College, a school which has always been famed for its cricket and football, and is now setting other schools a good example by laying out good courts and giving great encouragement to tennis. The small number of entries (13) was owing to the fact that all the schools were just on the eve of examinations at the time, and thus boys outside Toronto were prevented from attending.

The play, while not very high class, was interesting, owing to the keenness of competition. The winner, N. S. Robertson, an Upper Canada College boy, reached the final with the loss of only one game; his last competitor, L. Bain, made a splendid fight, but he was at last worn out by Robertson's steady and persistent play. A. A. M.

TENNIS IN MONTREAL.

Canada's commercial metropolis boasts of five large tennis clubs, and contains in all probability more private lawns than any other city in the country, if not in all America.

The largest and most popular association, that connected with McGill University, has a male mem-

N. S. ROBERTSON

Canadian Junior Champion.

bership of about eighty, possesses three excellent grass courts and one cinder court, available for wet weather. A handsome clubhouse, recently erected at large expense, contains numerous lockers, arrangements for bathing, and also refreshment rooms. In the annual tournament Mr. H. McKenzie carried off the honors in singles.

The Cole St. Antonie Club, next in importance, is also a very large club, containing members of both sexes, and has likewise a new clubhouse and four beautiful grass courts, situated most picturesquely beneath the western slope of Mount Royal. Mr. W. Godwin, the champion, easily carried off the first prize in the annual competition.

Of the other clubs, viz., the Cole St. Paul, Lachine and Montreal clubs, it may be said that though much younger, they have good courts, large memberships, and in interclub matches have proved some of the best individual skill in the province.

The first annual provincial championship tournament will take place on July 16, at the McGill University courts, and it is confidently hoped that the "Southern Cracks" will participate in the event on their return from the Western tournament.

THIRD ANNUAL TOURNAMENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD CLUB OF WEST NEWTON.

The tennis courts of the Neighborhood Club of West Newton have been so often described, and the names of the players at its annual invitation tournament are so familiar to every one interested in this fascinating sport that it will be unnecessary to go into details under either of these respective heads. Sufficient to say the third annual invitation tournament of the Neighborhood Club of West Newton, June 25, 1894, was worthy to rank in both players and spectators with the preceding ones: R. D. Wrenn, Harvard; Arthur E. Foote, Yale; Clarence Hobart, New York City; F. H. Hovey, Newton, Mass.; Malcolm G. Chace, Providence, R. I.

The first day in point of weather was not all that might be desired, but had more than its usual number of surprises. Champion Wrenn, who had been severely injured some time previously, and who only played at the urgent request of friends, was badly defeated by Foote of Yale, and withdrew from the contest. Mr. Joseph Whittelsey, secretary of the National Lawn Tennis Association, was referee. The result of the first day's play gave Hovey two victories, Foote one victory and one defeat, and Chace and Wrenn each one defeat.

In the Hovey-Foote match the playing of the latter, who had beaten Hobart at New Haven, was a great treat to the spectators. He played very steadily and in the first set took advantage of Hovey's misplays and won the set, 6-4. In the second and third sets Hovey's smashing was terrific. He kept the Yale champion on the run, and as Foote could do nothing against this style of play, Hovey won the next two sets and match. Score, 6-2, 6-2.

A prettier match than the one between Hovey and Chace in the afternoon could not be asked for; both played a net game. Chace in the second set was at the net all the time and kept Hovey at back of court. The sets were well fought and Hovey only won by 7-5, 8-6.

Summary of the day's play:

Hovey beat Foote, 4-6, 6-2, 6-2.
Hovey beat Chace, 7-5, 8-6.
Foote beat Wrenn, 6-2, 6-0.

The second day of the tournament was a Clarence Hobart day. In the morning he defeated Malcolm Chace, 6-2, 4-6, and 6-3, and in the afternoon he won from the state champion, Fred Hovey, in two straight sets, 6-2, 7-5.

It was a splendid exhibition of tennis, and the men earned every point they made. There was a good attendance all day, both grand stands being given up exclusively to ladies. In the Hobart and Chace game the latter put up a strong game; occa-

sionally he would take the lead by brilliant placing and get the best of Hobart in hot exchanges at short range, but the steady play of Hobart gave him the advantage. Score, 6-2, 6-4, 6-3.

In Hobart's match with Hovey, Dr. Dwight, as referee, both men played in back court with Hobart occasionally running up to the net. Hovey had good luck on the long drives, while Hobart could not keep the ball in the court. The first game went to Hovey, and his friends in the grand stand gave him many rounds of applause. After a brilliantly-contested deuce game, which went to Hobart, Hovey driving twice out of court in succession, Hobart took the next two games. The second set was like the first, each man taking a game at the beginning, and then Hobart worked straight on to victory. Score, 6-2, 7-5.

On the third day Chace, who had lost to Hovey on Monday and Hobart on Tuesday, retrieved his laurels in a large measure by defeating Hobart and Foote in the forenoon. He defeated Foote, 6-3, 1-6, 6-0.. The same large attendance as on the preceding days.

In the Chace-Foote game, the former took a love game by brilliant play. He also won the first set, 6-3. In the next Foote came to the front, winning the set, 6-1. The third was closely contested and resulted in Chace capturing game after game and winning the match. Score, 6-3, 1-6, 6-0.

In the Chace-Hobart match the first game went

to deuce. Hobart drove out of court and Chace smashed into the net. After a second deuce game Chace got his advantage and won by a side line drive. The score was 5-2 in his favor, when he lost the next game, score, 6-3. Chace won the first game of the second set. Hobart added to the excitement by taking the next game. In the third Chace lost by a double fault and Hobart led by one game. Chace tried lobbing and finally got Hobart where he wanted him and smashed in great shape, 6-3, 7-5.

An exhibition in doubles followed between Wrenn and Foote, Hobart and Chace, ten all. Fred Hovey was the especial favorite of the fourth day's play. He won two matches in fine style, and at the end of the day's play was leader of tournament. In the morning he beat Foote, 6-3, 2-6, 6-1, and in the afternoon walked right away from Malcolm Chace in two straight sets, 6-3, 6-0.

In the Hobart-Foote match the former was in great form and won two out of three sets, 3-6, 6-1, 6-3. It was stubbornly fought throughout and though Foote did some exceedingly pretty lobbing he lost the match. Special mention must be made of the match in which Foote defeated Hobart, 9-7, 6-8, 9-7, forty-six games in the match. The battle was a royal one. Hobart played marvelously, but the Yale man even better. This match was said to be one of the most interesting that had been played during the tournament.

In the middle of the ninth game of the Hovey-Hobart match, the contest was declared off, owing to the darkness. The playing between the champions had been long and hard, Hobart showing the effects of the previous severe play, but the next morning did not leave the result of the tournament long in doubt. Hovey won the decisive set, and the Neighborhood Club's tournament championship for the third time. Hobart and Chace were tie for second place and divided the prize. The final showing was as follows:

Hovey won 6 and lost 1.
Hobart won 4 and lost 3.
Chace won 4 and lost 3.
Foote won 2 and lost 5.

Matches were refereed by James Dwight, president United States National Lawn Tennis Association; J. T. Whittelsey, secretary of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association, and H. L. Ayer of the Neighborhood Club. H. L. A.

ANNUAL TOURNAMENT OF ESSEX COUNTY CLUB.

The second annual invitation tournament of the Essex County Club opened July 15, 1894, at Manchester-by-the-Sea, under the most favorable auspices. The grounds and surroundings of the fashionable club never looked prettier to the gaily-gowned spectators, who literally crowded every available bit of space set apart for them. All of the eight players were on time. Fred Hovey of Newton, Malcolm Chace, Clarence Budlong, and George Hinckley of Brown University, Steven C. Millett of New York, Arthur Foote and John Howland of Yale, and Quincy Shaw of the Essex Club.

Every one was disappointed at the withdrawal of Champion Wrenn, who had decided to go into training for football. The first match was between Shaw and Hinckley, and was won by the former. Score, 2-6, 6-2, 6-4. Hovey then defeated Millett in two straight sets, 6-1, 6-4. This match was very interesting, as the latter was a comparative stranger. He made a most favorable impression, and his playing met with many hearty encomiums, and though Hovey out-classed him, Millett put up clean, plucky tennis, and in the second set made some great cross-court drives.

On the second day Budlong defeated Foote in the morning by a score of 7-5, 6-2, and in the after-

noon easily carried away the honors from Howland. Score, 6-4, 6-3. In the other five matches of the day Millett beat Howland, 6-0, 6-8, 6-1. Chace won from Hinckley, 6-1, 6-4. Foote beat Shaw, 6-1, 6-2. Hovey won from Hinckley, 6-0, 6-1. This last was the most satisfactory game of the day. Although sure of his victory, Hovey had to put speed in his game to secure it. Hinckley played with spirit and dash, not giving away any points until they were earned.

Next day the interest centered in the Hovey-Chace match. It was a strong enough attraction to draw people for miles up and down the coast. In the first set Hovey took three games before Chace fairly began to play in his usual form. Points in first set, Hovey 29, Chace 21, games 6-3. Points in second set, Hovey 34, Chace 24, games 6-2. Other matches: Budlong beat Hinckley, 6-2, 6-2; Foote beat Howland, 6-3, 3-6, 6-4; Budlong beat Millett, 6-2, 4-6, 6-2; Chace beat Shaw, 6-1, 6-1; Hovey beat Foote, 7-5, 6-4.

The exciting crisis of the Budlong-Millett match kept the spectators in continued doubt as to the result of the contest. The afternoon tennis was played with the accompaniment of the Salem Cadet Band. This attraction and the beautiful weather brought out the largest and gayest crowd of the week, and the grounds presented a beautiful sight. An exhibition of fireworks and a dance in the club house finished the programme for the day. At the

beginning of the fourth day there was scarcely any difference in the position of the eight men in the tournament.

Hovey	beat	Shaw, 6-1, 6-4.
Howland	"	Hinckley, 6-2, 6-4.
Chace	"	Millett, 6-4, 2-6, 6-3.
Budlong	"	Shaw, 6-3, 6-2.
Hovey	"	Howland, 6-0, 6-4.
Chace	"	Foote, 6-2, 6-0.
Millett	"	Hinckley, 6-1, 6-2.
Howland	"	Shaw, 6-3, 6-1.

Millett, in his match with Hinckley, was particularly brilliant. Howland won golden opinion in his match with Hovey. The defeat of Hovey by Budlong, 6-2, 2-6, 7-5, was the most surprising episode of the tourney. Budlong had made fine showings throughout the week, owing in a great degree to his physical condition, this being his first tournament of the season, while his opponents had had continuous play in several. Foote had hard luck and was thoroughly tired, and only his pluck kept him up. He won much sympathy because of his bearing and conduct in his defeats. Chace's victory over Budlong tied the scores of victories with both Hovey and Budlong. It was decided to draw lots to see which two of the three were entitled to contest for final honors. Chace drew a blank and Hovey and Budlong played off for first and second prizes. The former won, gaining the match and the tournament. Points, first set, 25-8; games, 6-1. Points, second set, 27-19; games, 6-3. W.

LAWN TENNIS IN THE WHITE MOUNTAINS.

The third annual Inter-White Mountain tennis tournament was played on Maplewood, N. H., courts, August 15, 16, and 17, attracting many lovers of the game from the surrounding towns and mountain resorts, and was the most successful tournament in point of entries and brilliancy of play ever seen in these parts.

The players were largely encored by their friends, reminding an impartial looker-on of the great base ball and foot ball games by rival college teams, with their shouts for their respective colleges. At the close of the play the games stood as follows:

MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminaries—James H. Young, Maplewood Hotel, defeated Henry Mollenhaur of Sinclair House, 6-5, 6-1; R. T. Park of Waumbek defeated F. H. Bailey of Turner House, 6-1, 6-1; J. B. Colahan, Jr., of Maplewood Cottage defeated D. Chase of Phillips House, 6-4, 6-5; H. C. Cheney of The Uplands won from P. W. Sprague of Sunnyside Cottage, by default; A. B. Crehore of Highland House defeated C. De Voe of Altemonte, 6-1, 6-0; W. B. Gates of Cleveland Cottage won from C. W. Reymond of Deer Park Hotel, by default.

First Round—Park defeated Young, 6-2, 6-2; Cheney defeated Colahan, 6-0, 6-0; Crehore defeated Gates, 6-0, 6-3.

Semi-Finals—Crehore defeated Cheney, 6-4, 6-2.

Finals—Park defeated Crehore, 6-1, 6-1, 6-2.

William E. Milne of Maple Lodge, winner of tournament in 1893, defended the cup against Park, 3-6, 3-6, 8-6, 6-2, 6-2.

LADIES' SINGLES.

Miss Mary Colahan of Maplewood Cottage defeated Miss Lillian C. Cone of Highland House, 3-6, 6-5, 6-4, 6-0.

MIXED DOUBLES.

Miss Lillian C. Cone and Mr. Albert C. Crehore, Highland House, defeated Miss Mary Colahan and Mr. J. B. Colahan, Jr., Maplewood Cottage, 6-4, 4-6, 6-3, 6-1.

J. B. COLAHAN,
EDWARD O. LECCOMT.
PAUL H. WEBSTER,
THEODORE D. FERDON.
Committee.

TENNIS IN THE ADIRONDACK MOUNTAINS.

A very interesting lawn tennis tournament took place at Lake Placid, Aug. 21 and 22, on the grounds of the Stevens House. Players were present from the different mountain hotels as follows: Ruisseaumont, Mirrow Lake, Grand View, Adirondack Lodge, The Cottage, Undercliff, and Stevens House.

This, with many hotel guest present, caused the tournament to be quite charming, while many of the matches, especially the ladies', proved to be very exciting, as the scores in the finals of the different events show.

MEN'S SINGLES.

Won by E. P. Hamlin of the Mirrow Lake Hotel vs. G. Remark, Jr., of the Stevens House, by a score of 6-4, 6-2.

LADIES' SINGLES.

Won by Miss Larned vs. Miss Harris, by a score of 6-4, 3-6, 6-4.

MENS' DOUBLES.

Won by Hamlin and Hone vs. Chapman and Remark, by a score of 6-4, 6-2.

LADIES' DOUBLES.

Won by Miss Larned and Miss Harris vs. Miss Nichols and Miss Platt, by a score of 6-5, 3-6, 6-1.

TENNIS AT THE WENTWORTH, NEWCASTLE, N. H.

The second open tournament of the Newcastle Outing and Tennis Club took place July 30, on the courts of The Wentworth, this being the thirteenth annual tournament held there.

The picturesque situation of The Wentworth makes it a very inviting place for the players to spend a week. The excellent exhibitions of tennis shown each day is always enjoyed by the guests of the hotel, and visitors who come from neighboring beaches on tally-hos.

The entry list was large, being mostly composed of college players—Harvard, Yale, Brown, Princeton, and Columbia being represented; the intercollegiate and interscholastic champions being among the entries, also the international champion, Fritz Ward of Rochester, N. Y.

As the grass courts have not been giving the best of satisfaction in past years for good tennis, Manager Hill surprised the players by having a dirt court ready for use, and at the end of the tournament one and all of the players pronounced it the best court they ever played on, it being soft and springy to the feet, yet firm. The surprise of the tournament was in the defeat of Foote and Budlong by W. G. Parker, defeating the latter for first prize, 7-5, 7-5, 7-5.

Malcolm Chace did not take part in the singles, but played in the doubles with Foote as a partner, being defeated by Parker and Budlong for first prize, 6 3, 8-6, 3-6, 6-4.

On the evening of the last day of the tournament Manager Hill gave the players, guests of the hotel, and their friends a dance, which was greatly enjoyed by all present.

LADIES SHOULD PLAY LAWN TENNIS.

Miss Hellwig, the champion lady player, says in an article in the February number of the *Official Lawn Tennis Bulletin:*

"Very many good women players of this country are deterred from entering open tournaments for fear of too great publicity. It is true that the accounts of these tournaments are reported in most newspapers, but are not also other social events likewise reported, such as dances, fairs, musicales, and receptions? Are not tournaments social events as well?

"Tennis is purely an amateur sport, which fact alone would bar out all undesirable persons, even if the managers themselves were not most particular in this respect. The players are ladies in every sense of the word; they are imbued with the hope of reaching championship honors and enter these games to win, and work accordingly.

"By taking part in these tournaments the game in general is improved, not only among the participants but also among their friends and club-mates, to whom they will return with new and better ideas of the game, and renewed enthusiasm among the players is bound to follow.

"Let us hope, then, that in the tournaments of the coming year more women will participate than ever before, and thus make possible a more rapid advancement of the game."

LAWN TENNIS vs. GOLF.

I do not think lawn tennis and golf are or need be enemies.

They do not appeal to the same clientele. Golf is much more comprehensive, ranging almost from the child who totters under the weight of the driver to the man who trembles under the weight of age. Ladies can attain excellence in golf without the same effort as required in tennis. Only the active and athletic can succeed in tennis, while the sedentary professional or business man may easily become prominent in golf. Now one reason why lawn tennis practically superseded croquet was because it made its first appeal to exactly the same class, and furnished a game of superior skill. Evidently tennis is not in the same danger from golf.

They do not appeal to the same qualities of mind. Tennis is eagerly competitive. Golf is rather recreative and contemplative. Tennis strives for victory; golf for excellence. Tennis may rouse unpleasant combativeness. If golf does, it directs it generally against our common mother nature, who has borne and can bear so many hard blows. Tennis strengthens; golf soothes. Tennis is for labor; golf for rest. Tennis is disputatious; golf philosophical. And so contrasts might be drawn indefinitely showing that the games are temperamentally different.

They do not require the same abilities. This is apparent when we consider how few tennis players above thirty years of age are in the first rank, and how many golf players above that age are. Perhaps the most essential quality in a tennis player, where so many qualities are essential, is the quick responsiveness of eye and hand to instantaneous judgment. He has no time for deliberation. What is to be done must be done quickly. The exact opposite is true in golf. There is abundant time for careful planning and elaborate execution. Time is, or should be, no object to the golf player. The "slow back" so often heard on the links is typical. The golf player who does not hesitate is lost, just as certainly as the tennis player is who does. The stroke at tennis is generally quick and sharp from wrist or forearm. That of golf is distinctly a longer or shorter swing. To attain excellence then in tennis one must be active of his age, and of the active age. Keenness and accuracy of eye are also absolutely essential in tennis; so, too, are strength of lung and limb, and exceptional endurance. A golf player may succeed without any of these. A first-class tennis player is a rare product of exceptional physical aptitude. He is born. I think the golfer can be made.

They are not played under the same conditions of time, place, and surroundings. The tennis player must always find an antagonist, he can almost always find a court. The golf player can derive

great enjoyment from going over the links alone, if he can only find the links. The seasons for the two are not co-extensive. Tennis is spectacular, and play often grows better under the inspirations of a crowd. If there is anything a golfer dislikes it is a crowd, and he is generally favored by not having one.

If, then, from the above reasons it appears that the two games are not antagonistic, it must be that they will live together, unless they already contain or later contract the seeds of disease. What is necessary to make a sport permanent has always been an interesting question to me; but as well attempt to crush the Himalayas in a nut shell as to discuss the question within a few pages of the Guide. That the sport may be one without being the other is very evident. Expense and lack of opportunities may bar popularity, as in the case of court tennis. Even without these obstacles cricket has never been popular in this country, while base ball has. Twenty-five years ago there was nothing like the popularity of croquet; but twenty-one years ago it died, and the priest who shrived it was the accoucheur of lawn tennis. What is to be the fate of lawn tennis, and what the fate of golf? The first is an infant beside the hoary antiquity of the latter, but it may be played by increasing numbers when the latter is forgotten as a fad. I, however, make no predictions. One thing at least seems to me essential for permanence and popularity. There

must be a theoretical perfection of play, which is practically unattainable, and a practical perfection, which is rarely attainable, and yet engrossingly desirable. The trouble with croquet was that theoretical and practical perfection were co-incident, and not very difficult of attainment. I remember, when expert on grounds of 100 feet in length with wickets but ¼-inch in span greater than the diameter of the ball, many players would compass the wickets in a single play. This, of course, destroyed all enthusiasm. In lawn tennis, theoretical perfection would involve an exchange of strokes until one or both players dropped dead from exhaustion. What is practical perfection; and have we attained it? If so I see nothing in the future of lawn tennis but a grave. But I doubt if we have; and I think that last year Mr. Goodbody cast a similar doubt into the minds of some of our best players. Theoretical perfection in golf would be to do the 18 holes in 18 strokes. How far off from that we are is evident from the fact that anything below 70 is phenomenal, and even that is seldom reached.

Personally, I am very glad that golf has come to this country; and I trust that it has come to stay, so that when stiffening muscles, short breath, and dimming eyes make my efforts at lawn tennis even more ridiculous than they have been in the past, I may still struggle on, an enthusiastic duffer if no more, in "ye ancient and royal game."

E. A. WILKIE.

INDOOR LAWN TENNIS.

The Newton Tennis Club, organized during the past winter to play indoor lawn tennis, proved quite a success from the fact that through the practical experience of Messrs. Fred Hovey and Harry L. Ayer they introduced a new idea or several improvements for indoor lawn tennis, as follows:

They had a large canvas stretched tightly over the floor for the purpose of furnishing a surface similar in elasticity to turf. The experiment has proved most successful and gratifying in that it does away with the quick, unnatural bound of the balls which comes from a polished wood floor, and insures a rebound almost exactly like that on a grass or dirt court. To carry out still further the resemblance to a turf court, the canvas has been painted a grass green, with the lines marked in white, which, with the dark-colored cloth covering the walls at the end of the court, provides a suitable background, in contrast with which the balls can be clearly seen at all times. Another distinct advantage of the canvas is the absolute prevention of slipping—the canvas being stretched so tightly as not to give under the feet.

Large windows on three sides of the hall admit the necessary light for playing during the day, and for evening use the court is brilliantly and satisfac-

torily lighted by means of eight arc lights. By an adjustment made especially to meet the needs of the club, the direct rays are reflected up on to a white sheeting sufficiently above the lights to permit of generous lobbing, from which a soft and steady light is diffused evenly over the entire court. Playing at evening is thus made as pleasant as during the day.

With these various and unique appointmeuts every facility for accurate and enjoyable tennis is provided. The court is in constant use, and its members have reason to be proud of their successful undertaking.

During the month of February an invitation tournamant took place, the following players taking part: Messrs. W. A. Larned, M. G. Chace, Clarence Hobart, A. E. Foote, and Fred Hovey. It lasted two afternoons and evenings, there being large audiences present to witness each and every match, which was as well contested as though played on courts in the open air. The games won and lost by each player at the end of the tournament were as follows:

Hovey won 4, lost 0.
Larned won 3, lost 1.
Chace won 2, lost 2.
Hobart won 1, lost 3.
Foote won 0, lost 4.

LONGWOOD TOURNAMENT.

The annual open tournament of the Longwood Cricket Club in singles, for the season of 1895, will be, as usual, one of the best tournaments of the year, and coming as it does a few weeks before the Newport championship, and on the very best of grass courts, it gives the players who take part every opportunity to test their powers, as all sets are three out of five.

It was at this tournament that Goodbody, the Irish expert, played his first matches upon his visit to this country last year, and created so favorable an impression. Though not winning, it was readily seen that he would make a worthy opponent for our best players, which was shown by his very cool and persistent play at Newport.

The secretary of the club, Palmer E. Presbrey, who has made the tournament so great a success in past years, is now working in the same direction for 1895, and he hopes to have two or more of the best English players visit Longwood the coming season, as well as another visit by Mr. Goodbody.

STATE OF OHIO INTERCOLLEGIATE TOURNAMENT.

The intercollegiate tournament for the State of Ohio was won by C. H. Farber in the singles, and C. H. Farber and R. O. Morrison in the doubles, representing Ohio State University of Columbus. All the state colleges were well represented, and a great deal of interest was shown during the matches.

The Canadian Lawn Tennis Association has decided to hold their annual tournament of 1895 for the championship of Canada, on the grass courts of the Queen's Royal Hotel, Niagara-on-the-Lake, a very fine summer resort, and in the near future hope to make it the Newport of Canada for lawn tennis. It is on these courts the annual international tournament takes place.

All tennis players and those interested in the game of lawn tennis should take an interest in the *Official Lawn Tennis Bulletin*, and encourage its publishers by subscribing for it.

The contents are always well written, especially accounts of championship and club tournaments throughout the United States and Canada, with interesting articles by leading players on the game, and other items of interest.

Subscription price, $1.00 per year. Address W. D. Orcutt, Cambridge, Mass.

Cases and Decisions.

The following Cases and Decisions are intended to meet questions often asked at tournaments, and also to cover points apparently not provided for in the laws. They have been prepared with the advice and assistance of Messrs. W. and E. Renshaw, B. C. Evelegh, N. L. Jackson, and R. D. Sears, to whom the author returns his thanks.

I. A player standing outside the court volleys the ball or catches it in his hand, and claims the stroke because the ball was certainly going out of court.

Decision. — He loses the stroke. It makes no difference where he was standing. The return is presumed good until it strikes the ground outside of the court.

II. A player is struck by the ball served before it has touched the ground, he being outside of the service court. How does it count?

Decision. — The player struck loses the stroke. The service is presumably good until it strikes in the wrong court. A player cannot take the decision upon himself by stopping the ball. If it is going to be a fault, he has only to get out of the way.

III. The service is delivered before the striker-out is ready. He tries to return it and fails. Is he entitled to have it played over again?

Decision. — No. If he attempt to return the service, he is deemed ready.

IV. The striker-out calls "Not ready" for a second service. The ball strikes beyond the service line, and the striker-out claims that the fact that he was not ready makes no difference, since a fault cannot be returned, and therefore that two faults have been served.

Decision. — The second service goes for nothing. A player cannot call "Not ready," and then have the service count, or not, as suits his interests.

V. A ball having been played over the net bounds back into the court from which it came. The player reaches over the net and plays it before it falls. Has he a right to do so?

Decision. — Yes, provided he does not touch the net. He has a right to play the ball at any time from the moment it crosses the net into his court until it touches the ground a second time.

VI. A ball is played into the net; the player on the other side, thinking that the ball is coming over, strikes at it and hits the net. Who loses the stroke?

Decision. — It is simply a question of fact for the umpire to decide. If the player touched the net while the ball was still in play, he loses the stroke.

VII. Can a player follow a ball over the net with his racket, provided that he hit the ball on his own side of the net?

Decision. — Yes. The only restrictions are that he shall not volley the ball until it has crossed the net and that he shall not touch the net or any of its supports.

VIII. A player's racket slips out of his hand and flies into the net. Does he lose the stroke for hitting the net?

Decision. — Yes, if the ball be still in play. It does not matter if the racket be in a player's hand or not.

IX. A player's racket leaves his hand, but meets the ball and returns it over the net. Is it a good return?

Decision. — Yes. There is no law requiring a racket to be in a player's hand when the ball is returned. It would unquestionably be a good return if the racket were held against the ground by a player's feet, and the ball bounded back off of it.

X. A single match is played with a double net and inside posts. A player touches the net beyond the inside posts, and claims that he does not lose the stroke because there should be no net more than three feet outside of the court.

Decision. — He loses the stroke. The net where he touched it is part of the supports of the net. He might perhaps have objected to the arrangement of the net before the match.

XI. A player returns the ball, and, finding that he cannot stop himself before reaching the net, jumps over it. Is it a good return?

Decision. — Law 4 requires that "the players shall stand on opposite sides of the net," and therefore the player invading his opponent's court loses the stroke.

XII. A ball passes outside the post of the net and strikes in court. Is it a good return?

Decision. — Yes.

XIII. A ball going out of court hits the top of the post of the net and bounds into the opposite court.

Decision. — It is a good return. See Law 21.

XIV. The service or the ball in play strikes a ball lying in the court. Can it be returned?

Decision. — Yes, if it be clear to the umpire that the right ball is returned.

XV. The server claims that the striker-out must stand in the court. Is this necessary?

Decision. — No. The striker-out can stand wherever he pleases on his own side of the net.

XVI. A bystander gets in the way of a player who fails to return the ball. May he then claim a let?

Decision. — Yes, if, in the umpire's opinion, he were prevented by an accident beyond his control. For instance, if the ropes or the seats are allowed to be so near to the court that a player is interfered with by them, the stroke should not be played again, because the ropes and seats form part of the arrangements of the ground. If, however, a spectator pass in front of those seats, or place a chair nearer than the original line, and so interferes with a player, the stroke should be played again.

XVII. A player is interfered with as above, and the umpire directs the stroke to be played again. The server had previously served a fault. He claims the right to two services.

Decision. — The fault stands. A let does not annul a previous fault.

XVIII. A return hits the umpire or his chair or stand; the player claims that the ball was going into court.

Decision. — Stroke is lost.

XIX. A player receiving fifteen serves from the left court; his opponent claims a fault.

Decision. — It is a fault. The service starts from the right court under all circumstances.

XX. At fifteen-all the server by mistake serves from the left court; he wins the stroke and serves again (a fault). The mistake is then discovered. Is he entitled to the previous stroke? From which court should he serve next?

Decision. — The previous stroke stands. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service, good or not, is delivered. The next service should be from the left court, the score being thirty-fifteen, and the server has served one fault.

XXI. A player serves from the wrong court, he loses the stroke, and then claims that it was a fault.

Decision. — If the stroke were played in his first service, it is simply a fault; but if he serve twice into the wrong court, he has served two faults, and lost the stroke.

XXII. With the score at thirty-forty the server takes a bisque, and then serves from the right court. His opponent claims a fault.

Decision. — It is a fault. The service must come alternately from the right and left courts.

XXIII. A player takes a bisque after the server has served a fault. Which court does the server next serve from?

Decision. — From the same court.

XXIV. The score is five games all, and the umpire directs the players to play an advantage set. The advantage game has been won, when it is discovered that no advantage sets are to be played. What is to be done?

Decision. — The set is won at the eleventh game. It is no part of the umpire's duty to decide on the conditions of the matches.

XXV. A player serves. He hears the umpire call, but cannot hear what he says. He knows that the only two things that the umpire should call are "fault" and "let," and that in neither case can the ball be in play. He therefore does not return it, only to find that the umpire has called "play." Has he any redress?

Decision. — No.

XXVI. The umpire calls "Fault" and then instantly changes and says "Play." The striker-out fails to return the ball, and he claims that he was prevented by the umpire, and also that the umpire cannot change his decision.

Decision. — The umpire should call a let and the service be taken again.

XXVII. A ball drops near a line, the player appeals, and the umpire calls "Play." The player misunderstands the call, and lets the ball fall. He then claims to have the stroke played again.

Decision. — The stroke stands.

XXVIII. A ball strikes the ground close to a line, the scorer scores the stroke against the striker. On appeal to the linesman, the latter decided that the ball was not out. Which decision stands?

Decision. — The scorer has no right to consider a ball out until the linesman has called to that effect; therefore the decision of the latter must be accepted. The decision of a linesman affecting his own line is final.

XXIX. A return strikes the cord running along the bottom of the net and bounds over. Is it a good return?

Decision. — Yes.

XXX. During play a ball is thrown into the court and the ball in play strikes it or a player steps on it. May a let be claimed?

Decision. — Yes.

XXXI. In a four-handed competition one player does not appear in time to play, and his partner claims to be allowed to play single-handed against the opposing pair. May he do so?

Decision. — No.

JAMES DWIGHT.

The Bagnall-Wild System of Drawing.

The object of this method of drawing is to eliminate all the byes in the first round, both for convenience and still more because a bye is of less value in the first round than later in the tournament.

If the number of entries is a power of 2, for example, 4, 8, 16, 32, or 64, there need be no byes. In other cases a preliminary round must be played, in which there shall be as many matches as the number of entries exceeds the power of 2 next below, all the other contestants having byes.

For example, suppose that there are 37 entries. The power of 2 next below is 32, therefore there must be 5 matches and 27 byes.

In this way the five losers go out and the number of contestants is reduced to 32, which will always divide by 2 (16, 8, 4, 2, 1).

The names should be written on slips of paper and the slips carefully folded and put in a hat. They are then drawn one by one and written one below the other, the pairs that are to play together being bracketed. One half the byes should come first, next the matches, last the remaining byes. Should there be an uneven number of byes, the odd one goes at the bottom. One example will suffice. There are 19 entries; three matches must be played to reduce the number to 16; that will leave 13 byes, 6 at the top of the list and 7 at the bottom, as follows: —



P takes first prize; G second; D and O equal thirds.

JAMES DWIGHT.

Rules for Handicapping.

In handicap matches the competitors shall be handicapped by the Committee or by a Handicapper appointed by the Committee.

The handicap shall be by classes as below: —

CLASS 0 (SCRATCH).

Class 1	receives	1 quarter of 15		Class 7	receives	15 and 3 quarters
,, 2	,,	2 quarters of 15		,, 8	,,	30
,, 3	,,	3 quarters of 15		,, 9	,,	30 and 1 quarter
,, 4	,,	15		,, 10	,,	30 and 2 quarters
,, 5	,,	15 and 1 quarter		,, 11	,,	30 and 3 quarters
,, 6	,,	15 and 2 quarters		,, 12	,,	40

When two players in different classes below scratch meet, the superior player shall start from scratch, and the odds received by the inferior player are as shown by the annexed table, No. 1.

To use the table, find in the diagonal line of figures the number representing the class of the superior player, then travel along the corresponding horizontal column until the vertical column is reached which bears at the top the number of the class of the inferior player. The odds specified at the intersection of the two columns are the odds required.

Example. If class 3 has to meet class 9, start from the figure 3 in the diagonal line of figures, and look horizontally until the vertical column is reached headed by the figure 9. The odds given at the point of intersection of the two columns (namely, 15 and 3 quarters) are the odds required.

OWED ODDS.

When the difference between the best and the worst players entered is great (say more than 30), it is desirable to handicap the best players at *owed odds*. The players above scratch (that is, owing odds) should be classified as follows: —

Class	1	owes	1 quarter of 15	Class	7	owes	15 and 3 quarters
,,	2	,,	2 quarters of 15	,,	8	,,	30
,,	3	,,	3 quarters of 15	,,	9	,,	30 and 1 quarter
,,	4	,,	15	,,	10	,,	30 and 2 quarters
,,	5	,,	15 and 1 quarter	,,	11	,,	30 and 3 quarters
,,	6	,,	15 and 2 quarters	,,	12	,,	40

When two players in different classes above scratch meet, the inferior player shall start from scratch, and the odds owed by the superior player are as shown by the annexed table, No. 2. The class of the superior player is to be looked for in the horizontal line of figures at the top and the class of the inferior player in the diagonal line at the left.

Example. If class 12 (owe 40) meet class 6 (owe 15 and 2 quarters), the former must give the latter the odds of owe 15 and 1 quarter.

A difficult point arises if two players in a handicap fail to learn from the Referee, or, having so learnt, forget the odds at which they should play and play at wrong odds.

The general practice has hitherto been to order the match to stand, but the best authorities consider that the match is legally void. The loser may, therefore, claim to have the match replayed, and it rests with the Referee or Committee either to allow the claim or if the time allowed for the round has expired to scratch both the competitors. If the loser does not imme-

diately appeal, the match stands. If the players are wrongly instructed by the Referee, the loser may always claim to have the match replayed. Of course the loser cannot appeal if the mistake in the odds has been in his favor.

"100"-up Scoring.

The common method of scoring, by sets and games, is derived from the ancient game of tennis. Although it has been universally adopted, it has some disadvantages; for instance, it may easily happen that a player may score in a match more strokes or even more games than his antagonist and yet be beaten. For handicaps in which more than two players are competing, the complex and unsatisfactory system of adjusting the odds required by tennis scoring is a serious drawback. The method of scoring by points, known as the "Pastime" System, has been devised to meet these defects, and has, during the past few seasons, attained a considerable amount of popularity. Its rules are as follows: —

RULES FOR THE "PASTIME" SYSTEM OF HANDICAPS BY POINTS.

1. The player first serving shall serve six successive times, and then his opponent shall serve six successive times, and so on alternately, the service always changing after any one player has served six times consecutively. One good service, or one fault, and one good service, or two faults, to count as one service.

2. Players shall change courts, after the first, third, fifth, and every alternate series of services shall have been completed. Each six successive services shall be one series of services.

3. When players both receiving odds are drawn together they shall start at their respective odds, and the player giving points shall not start from scratch.

4. The player first obtaining 100 * (one hundred) points shall be declared the winner of the game, unless the score shall have been called "99 all," in which case the game shall proceed until one of the players shall have a majority of two points, when the player having that majority shall be declared the winner. No game shall be won by a less majority than two points.

5. With the above exceptions the laws of lawn tennis adopted by the Lawn Tennis Association shall apply.

TABLE OF EQUIVALENT ODDS.

1 quarter of 15	= 5	points per 100	15.3	= 38	points per 100
2 quarters of 15	= 11	,, ,,	30	= 43	,, ,,
3 quarters of 15	= 16	,, ,,	30.1	= 49	,, ,,
15	= 22	,, ,,	30.2	= 54	,, ,,
15.1	= 27	,, ,,	30.3	= 59	,, ,,
15.2	= 32	,, ,,	40	= 65	,, ,,

* The number of points may be altered as the committees of clubs or tournaments may deem desirable.

Odds.

ON THE ONE-QUARTER–FIFTEEN SYSTEM.

In the case of *received* odds.

(*a*) One quarter of fifteen is one stroke, given at the beginning of the second and every subsequent fourth game of a set.

(*b*) Two quarters of fifteen is one stroke, given at the beginning of the second and every subsequent alternate game of a set.

(*c*) Three quarters of fifteen is one stroke, given at the beginning of the second, third, fourth, and the three last of every subsequent four games of a set.

(*d*) One, two, or three quarters of fifteen may be given in augmentation of other odds.

(*e*) Fifteen is one stroke, given at the beginning of every game of a set.

(*f*) Thirty is two strokes, given at the beginning of every game of a set.

(*g*) Forty is three strokes, given at the beginning of every game of a set.

In the case of *owed* odds.

(*a*) One quarter of fifteen is one stroke, owed at the beginning of the first and every subsequent fourth game of a set.

(*b*) Two quarters of fifteen is one stroke, owed at the beginning of the first and every subsequent alternate game of a set.

(*c*) Three quarters of fifteen is one stroke, owed at the beginning of the first, third, and fourth of the first four and every subsequent four games of a set.

(*d*) Fifteen is one stroke, owed at the beginning of every game of a set.

(*e*) Thirty is two strokes, owed at the beginning of every game of a set.

(*f*) Forty is three strokes, owed at the beginning of every game of a set.

HANDICAPS. TABLE No. 1.

When two players, both in receipt of odds, meet, the player receiving the smaller odds is put back to scratch. The following table shows the point at which the other should then start. The number at the left of the horizontal columns denotes the player who goes back to scratch, those at the head of the vertical columns the player who still receives odds; and the numbers within the columns show the odds to be received by the player whose number stands at the head of the column.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.	30.1	30.2	30.3	40.
1	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.	30.2	30.3	40.
	2	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.2	15.3	30.	30.1	30.2	30.3
		3	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.	30.2	30.3
			4	One quarter of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	30.	30.1	30.2
				5	One quarter of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.3	30.	30.2
					6	One quarter of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.2	15.3	30.1
						7	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.1	15.2	30.
							8	Two quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.3
								9	Two quarters of fifteen	15.	15.2
									10	Two quarters of fifteen	15.
										11	Two quarters of fifteen

TABLE NO. 2 (OWED ODDS).

When two players meet who are handicapped to *owe* odds, the player owing the lesser odds is placed at scratch. This table shows the odds the other will still owe.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.	30.1	30.2	30.3	40.
1	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.	30.1	30.1	30.2
	2	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.	30.1
		3	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	30.
			4	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3
				5	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1	15.2
					6	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.	15.1
						7	One quarter of fifteen	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen	15.
							8	One quarter of fifteen	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen	Three quarters of fifteen
								9	One quarter of fifteen	One quarter of fifteen	Two quarters of fifteen
									10	One quarter of fifteen	One quarter of fifteen
										11	One quarter of fifteen

In using this table supply throughout the word "owe" before the odds specified.

How to Make a Lawn Tennis Court.

In making a Lawn Tennis Court there should be, if possible, a clear margin of at least 12 feet on each side and 21 feet at each end of the court, or, between adjacent courts, 18 feet on each side; but should the courts be placed end to end, or end to side, there should be spaces of 42 feet or 33 feet respectively, and a back-net at least 8 feet high between.

The cheapest and most easy court to make is a

CLAY COURT.

It is done by removing the sward from the lawn, taking care that the same is cut deep enough not to allow any of the grass roots to remain; rake off all the small stones and get the surface as level as possible, then cover the same with from three to five cartloads of binding gravel (free from small stones), roll well with a heavy roller, sprinkling water from a sprinkling-can over the surface during the rolling; the best time to roll the court is shortly after a rain storm.

GRASS COURTS.

These can be made in three ways: namely, first, by improving an existing stretch of turf until it is level and smooth enough; second, by leveling the ground, preparing the soil, and sowing grass seeds; and third, by laying fresh turf. Of these the former is of course

the simplest; but as the requisite piece of grass land is rarely available, particulars for preparing it are seldom required. In improving such a piece of turf care should be taken to remove all weeds or coarse grasses, and to freely use the spirit-level. To raise hollow places the turf should be cut out, lifted, and fine soil placed underneath until the proper height has been obtained, when the turf should be replaced and well beaten down with the flat side of a spade. On the other hand, small mounds should be removed by taking the soil away from under the grass, some fine mould being placed underneath the turf before it is replaced. To prepare a court for sowing seeds the same instructions may be followed as for making a turf-laid one, except that the seed will be sown instead of the turfs laid. Take care that the seed is good by purchasing from a first-class seedsman, and, when ordering, it will be well to state what sort of soil is to be sown. By far the larger number of courts are made by laying fresh turfs.

When preparing the ground for a court, it is desired to level a portion measuring 100 x 50 feet, which allows an ample margin; but for the playing portion itself only 78 x 36 feet is necessary. If economy must be strictly considered only the latter need be carefully prepared, but it is better to have the whole done if possible. In making the preliminary arrangements much depends upon the subsoil. If this is very light a little clay should be worked in with it; but if very heavy a better court may be made by excavating to the depth of three or four inches and filling in with gravel and mould. In every case a thin layer of fine mould, which has been sifted through a sieve with a ½ in. mesh, should

be laid to the depth of an inch or so, and on this layer the turfs should be placed. Before this thin layer is put on, the ground should be rolled with a very heavy roller, so as to ensure its being thoroughly levelled. The superficial measurement of each turf is 3 ft. x 1 ft., and these can be obtained at prices varying from $1.25 to $2.00 per 100, according to the locality. For the court only about ten hundred are necessary; but if the margin is relaid twenty-four hundred will be used. If the selected ground is covered with good turf, but is uneven, the turfs can be cut and taken off and relaid after the ground has been prepared as directed. The cost of the turfs can easily be ascertained, or can be estimated from the particulars given above. The amount of labor required varies according to the nature of the soil; but, roughly speaking, it would take three men four or five days to prepare the ground and cut and relay the turfs. If, however, there is some excavating to be done, the cost will be increased by the value of another four days' labor. Therefore, under favorable circumstances, with the turf already provided, the cost of a good grass court, with full sized margin, would be from sixty-five (65) to seventy-five (75) dollars. If the turfs have to be purchased the cost would be about one hundred and twenty-five (125) dollars, and if in addition it is necessary to excavate and relay the soil, a further amount of twenty (20) dollars must be expended.

How to Mark Out a Court.



As a double court practically includes every line to be found in a single court, it is best to take first the measure for the latter. Having determined the position of your net, plant in the ground, in the line chosen, two pegs, 27 feet apart (at the points A and B in the diagram). Then take two measures and attach their respective ends to the pegs A and B. On the first, which will measure the diagonal of the court, take a length of 47 ft. 5 in.; on the other 39 ft.; pull both taut in such directions that at these distances they meet in a point C. This will give one corner of the court. At that point F, 21 feet from B, put in a

peg to mark the end of the service-line. The other corner, D, and the other end of the service-line G, may be found by interchanging the measures and repeating the process. The same measurements on the other side of the net will complete the exterior boundaries of the court. By prolonging the base-line 4 ft. 6 in. in each direction, and joining the four new points thus obtained, we can make the side-lines of a double court. It only remains to mark the central line. This is done by joining the middle points of the service-lines. If a double court alone be required, the interior side-lines need not be prolonged to meet the base-lines. Remember that in all cases the net-posts must stand at a distance of three feet from the side-lines.

oston, Mass., Sept. 28, 1894.

. A., New Haven.

ly received and contents care
our Championship balls this sea
l, and the desired standard, the
e fire which occurred at our
the season, leaving us without a
iately after the fire we want
ry best material we could find,
tely it was not fully adapted to
In addition to this we placed
cturers, but as experience and a
site to produce proper cloth for
in giving relief. No one can
airs more than we; but accidents
occur in any business, and this
ever had, putting us to immense
rs of the country to considerable
arrangements for manufacturing
to meet all demands, and of a
which cannot fail to give satis-

od all 1894 balls returned to us
ue to do so.
this due consideration the
anted in recommending our ball
st.
truly,

Wright & Ditson

Mr. Joseph T. Whittelsey.
Sec. U. S.

Dear Sir:

Your letter of 23d Au
fully noted We seriously r
son have not been up to the
cause of which is due mainly
cloth mill in the busiest pa
yard suitable for covering.
into the market and bought t
regardless of cost, but unfo
the purpose; hence the troub
contracts with two leading
special quality of wool are
covering, this move has been
possibly regret this state o
beyond human control are lia
has been the most severe we
loss and worriment, and the
inconvenience. We have perf
Championship balls in quali
quality better than ever bei
faction.

We have cheerfully m
as unsatisfactory and shall

We trust that after g
executive committee will fee
for adoption in 1895 as in t
Y

TENNIS BALLS.

The annexed is a copy of our reply to a letter received from the secretary of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association, which he wrote under instructions from the executive committee, in reference to the unsatisfactory quality of our 1894 "Championship" Ball.

Having learned that a great many tennis players did not receive the circular we sent out last year explaining the cause of our trouble with the ball, we publish this letter in hopes that it will be the means of placing us in a just light before them, and those interested in tennis generally. We take great pleasure in stating that we have overcome all difficulties and are producing for 1895 a finer ball than ever before.

WRIGHT & DITSON.

Boston, Mass., April 15, 1895.

U. S. NATIONAL LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.

FIXTURES FOR 1895.

Schedule of Tournaments to be held under the auspices of U. S. N. L. T. A.

May 4. Harvard Univ., Cambridge, Mass., Harvard Interscholastic Championship.

May 4. Yale University, New Haven Conn., Yale Interscholastic Championship.

May 4. Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., Princeton Interscholastic Championship.

May 4. Columbia College, New York, Columbia Interscholastic Championship.

May 21. Southern L. T. A., Washington, D. C., Southern Championship.

May 28. New Haven L.C., New Haven, Conn., New England Championship.

June 10. West Side L. T. C., Open Tournament.

June 15. Longwood Cricket Club, Massachusetts State Championship.

June 17. Crescent Athletic Club, Brooklyn, Invitation.

June 17. Hartford Lawn Club, Connecticut State Championship.

June 17. Hyde Park Country Club, Missouri State Championship.

June 17. Detroit Athletic Club, Michigan State Championship.

June 17. Merion C. C., Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania State Championship.
June 17. Hohokus Valley L. T. C.,
New Jersey State Championship.
June 19. Kings County L. T. C., Brooklyn,
Open Tournament.
June 24. Neighborhood Club, West Newton,
Mass., Invitation Tournament.
June 25. Philadelphia C. C., Wissahickon
Heights, Philadelphia,
Ladies' Single Championship.
Ladies' Double Championship.
Mixed Double Championship.
June 26. Orange L. T. C., Orange, N. J.,
Middle States Championship.
July 1. Tuxedo L. T. C., Tuxedo, N. J.,
Invitation Tournament.
July 1. Pacific States L. T. A., San Rafael,
Cal., Pacific Coast Championship.
Singles, 8th Annual.
July 8. Essex County Club, Mass.,
Invitation Tournament.
July 9. U. S. N. L. T. A., Chicago,
Western Championship Doubles.
July 9. Western Pennsylvania L. T. A., Pitts-
burgh, Pa., Open Singles.
July 15. Rochester L. T. C., N. Y.,
Open Tournament.
July 15. Seabright L. T. C., Seabright, N. J.
Open Tournament, Cup.
*July 22. Longwood C. C., Boston, Mass.,
Open Singles, Cup.

July 29. Saratoga A. A., New York,
New York State Championship.
*July 29. Meadow Club, Southampton, L. I..
Long Island Championship.
July 29. Kebo Valley L. T. C., Bar Harbor, Me., Open Tournament.
*July 30. Newcastle, N. H., Outing and Tennis Club, The Wentworth Courts.
Aug. 5. Bar Harbor L. T. C., Bar Harbor.
Open Tournament, Mossley Hall Cup.
Aug. 5. Norwood Park,
Invitation Tournament.
Aug. 12. U. S. N. L. T. A., Narragansett Pier, R. I., East vs. West Doubles.
Eastern Championship Doubles.
Aug. 15. Tacoma L. T. C.,
Championship Pacific Northwest, 5th Annual.
Aug. 20. U. S. N. L. T. A., Newport,
Championship Singles.
Championship Doubles.
Interscholastic Championship.
Aug. 20. Ampersand L. T. C., Saranac Lake, N. Y., Open Tournament.
Aug. 20. Omaha L. T. C.,
Interstate Tournament.
Aug. 20. West Pennsylvania L. T. A., Altoona, Pa., Double Championship.
Aug. 27. Niagara L. T. C. (Canada),
International Tournament.
Sept. 2. Hudson River L. T. A., (Place to be announced),
Association Tournament.

Sept. 2. South Orange Field Club, Open Tournament.
Sept. 3. Buffalo L. T. C., Buffalo, N. Y., Open Tournament.
Sept. 7. Pacific States L. T. A., San Rafael, Cal., Double Championship, 16th Annual. Ladies' Single Championship, 16th Annual.
Sept. 10. Hyde Park Country Club, Kansas City, Mo., Interstate Tournament. (Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri).
Oct. 1. Intercollegiate, New Haven, Championship.

CANADIAN TOURNAMENTS.

June —. Junior Championship.
July 9. Canadian Championship at Niagara-on-the-Lake, Courts of the Queen's Royal Hotel.
July 16. Toronto Club Tournament, Toronto, Can.
July 16. Provincial (Open Tournament) Montreal Courts of McGill University.
Aug. 27. Niagara International Open Tournament, Niagara-on-the-Lake.

ENGLISH CHAMPIONSHIP, 1895.

July 8. Open Championship Singles at Wilbendon.
July 15. Open Championship Doubles at Wilbendon.

---

* Perhaps a change of date, which information can be had of the club secretary.

LIST OF MEMBERS

OF THE

U. S. NATIONAL LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.

APRIL, 1895.

Please notify the Secretary of any change in the name or address of the Secretary of your Club.

CLASS I.

ALLEGHENY ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION—Edwin L. Allen, Secretary, 153 Fourth Ave., Allegheny, Pa.

AMPERSAND LAWN TENNIS CLUB—George E. Shepard, Secretary, Saranac Lake, N. Y.

BAR HARBOR LAWN TENNIS CLUB—V. G. Hall, Secretary, 11 West 37th Street, New York.

BELMONT CRICKET CLUB—Milton C. Work, Secretary, Girard Bldg., Philadelphia.

BERGEN POINT LAWN TENNIS CLUB—C. M. Hall, Secretary, Bergen Point, N. J.

BOSTON ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION—George W. Beals, Secretary, Boston, Mass.

BRIDGEPORT LAWN TENNIS CLUB—R. W. Coggswell, Secretary, P. O. Box 172, Bridgeport, Conn.

BROOKLYN TENNIS CLUB—W. A. Griffith, M. D., Secretary, 669 Willoughby Ave., Brooklyn, N. Y.

BUFFALO TENNIS CLUB—F. A. Aidway, Secretary, Erie Co. Bank Bldg., Buffalo, N. Y.

CAMBRIDGE CASINO—R. H. Dana, Secretary, Cambridge, Mass.

CENTRAL ATHLETIC CLUB OF DORCHESTER—Henry B. Callender, President, 53 State Street, Boston.

CHESTNUT HILL LAWN TENNIS CLUB—Edward T. Price, Secretary, Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.

CHICAGO LAWN TENNIS CLUB—O. W. Hull, Secretary, cor. 29th Street and Indiana Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

CLIFTON LAWN TENNIS CLUB—Harcourt Bull, Secretary, 16 Exchange Place, New York.

COOPERSTOWN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION—L. P. Warrin, Secretary, Cooperstown, N. Y.

COUNTRY CLUB OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY—Edward Haight, Secretary, 26 Broad Street, New York.

CRESCENT ATHLETIC CLUB OF BROOKLYN—William B. Hill, Secretary, 71 Pierrepont Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.

DAYTON LAWN TENNIS CLUB—E. W. Pond, Secretary, 229 North Jefferson Street, Dayton, O.

DELAWARE FIELD CLUB—John P. Nields, Secretary, 826 Market Street, Wilmington, Del.

DETROIT ATHLETIC CLUB—John S. Sweeney, Secretary, 42 East Forest Avenue, Detroit, Mich.

DYKINGTON TENNIS CLUB—C. H. R. McElroy, Secretary, Room 506 New England Bldg., Kansas City, Mo.

EAST ORANGE LAWN TENNIS CLUB—S. Van Buren Brewster, 107 Walnut Street, East Orange, N. J.

EAST SIDE TENNIS CLUB—William H. Wing, Secretary, 10 South Water Street, Providence, R. I.

EDGEWOOD CLUB OF TIVOLI-ON-HUDSON—Clermont L. Clarkson, Secretary, 55 Liberty Street, New York.

ELIZABETH ATHLETIC CLUB—M. S. Charlock, Secretary, Elizabeth, N. J.

ELMIRA TENNIS CLUB—H. R. Loring, Secretary, Elmira, N. Y.

ELMWOOD LAWN TENNIS CLUB—C. E. Godfrey, Secretary, P. O. Box 517, Providence, R. I.

ENGLEWOOD FIELD CLUB—Stewart Lyman, Secretary, Englewood, N. J.

ESSEX COUNTY CLUB—H. W. Cunningham, Secretary, 89 State Street, Boston.

GERMANTOWN CRICKET CLUB—S. V. Merrick, Secretary, Mannheim, Germantown, Philadelphia, Pa.

HAMILTON LAWN TENNIS CLUB—E. Kinnard McEnroe, Secretary, 268 West 130th Street, New York.

HARTFORD LAWN CLUB—F. R. Cooley, Secretary, Hartford, Conn.

HILLSIDE TENNIS CLUB OF PLAINFIELD, N. J.—James P. Murray, Secretary, Plainfield, N. J.

HOHOKUS VALLEY TENNIS CLUB—D. C. Cox, Secretary, Ridgewood, N. J.

HYDE PARK COUNTRY CLUB—Oliver C. Hutchinson, Secretary, 620 Wyandotte St., Kansas City, Mo.

JERSEY CITY LAWN TENNIS CLUB—A. E. Woolsey, Secretary, 62 Highland Avenue, Jersey City, N. J.

KEBO VALLEY TENNIS CLUB, MT. DESERT, ME.—Ogden Codman, Secretary, Somerset Club, Boston.

KINGS COUNTY TENNIS CLUB OF BROOKLYN, N. Y.—George W. Tuniston, Secretary, 193 Gates Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y.

KNICKERBOCKER TENNIS CLUB—Edward M. Smith, Secretary, 150 Fifth Avenue, New York.

LENOX TENNIS CLUB OF NEW YORK CITY—J. R. F. Savage, Secretary, 344 West 123d Street, New York.

LITCHFIELD CLUB—H. W. Wessetts, Secretary, Litchfield, Conn.

LONGWOOD CRICKET CLUB—Palmer E. Presbrey, Secretary, Nat'l Bank of Redemption, Boston.

LUZERNE LAWN TENNIS CLUB—William Henry Warren, Secretary, care of Fuller-Warren Company, Troy, N. Y.

MEADOW CLUB OF SOUTHAMPTON—Charles R. Henderson, Secretary, Southampton, N. Y.

MERION CRICKET CLUB—Edward S. Sayres, Secretary, 217 South 3d Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

MINNEAPOLIS TENNIS CLUB—Charles W. Folds, Secretary, N. W. Nat'l Bank, Minneapolis, Minn.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY LAWN TENNIS CLUB—W. J. Haines, Secretary, University Club, Philadelphia, Pa.

MORRISTOWN FIELD CLUB—W. S. Van T. Sutphan, Secretary, 24 Franklin Street, Morristown, N. J.

MORRIS PARK TENNIS CLUB OF WESTCHESTER N. Y. —W. L. Savage, M. D., Secretary, 308 West 59th Street, New York.

MURRAY HILL TENNIS CLUB—L. W. Lienan, Secretary, P. O. Box 197, New York.

NARRAGANSETT LAWN TENNIS CLUB—Henry B. Kane, Secretary, 253 West 76th Street, New York.

NEIGHBORHOOD CLUB OF WEST NEWTON, MASS.—H. L. Ayer, Secretary, Box 305 West Newton, Mass.

NEWCASTLE OUTING AND TENNIS CLUB—W. H. Marshall, Secretary, care of W. R. Hill, Portsmouth, N. H.

NEW HAVEN LAWN CLUB—Frank L. Bigelow, Secretary, New Haven, Conn.

NEWPORT TENNIS CLUB—W. R. Travers, Secretary, Newport, R. I.

NEW YORK ATHLETIC CLUB—Frank D. Sturges, Secretary, 104 West 55th Street, New York.

NEW YORK TENNIS CLUB—Clarence Hobart, Secretary, 731 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York.

NIAGARA LAWN TENNIS CLUB, NIAGARA-ON-THE LAKE, CANADA—Stewart Houston, Secretary, Toronto, Can.

NORWOOD PARK LAWN TENNIS CLUB—B. F. Goodspeed, Secretary, Long Branch, N. J.

NORWALK LAWN TENNIS CLUB—E. P. Weed, Secretary, 65 Wall Street, Norwalk, Conn.

NUTLEY FIELD CLUB—W. M. Gifford, Secretary, Nutley, N. J.

NYACK COUNTRY CLUB—F. B. Van Vorst, Secretary, Nyack, N. Y.

OMAHA LAWN TENNIS CLUB—G. E. Haverstick, Secretary, United States Nat'l Bank, Omaha, Neb.

ORANGE ATHLETIC CLUB—Leroy C. Fairchild, Secretary, East Orange, N. J.

ORANGE LAWN TENNIS CLUB—R. A. Griffin, Secretary, 18 Cortlandt Street, New York.

PASTIME TENNIS CLUB—William B. Mackey, Secretary, 122 East 2d Street, Sedalia, Mo.

PAWTUCKET CRICKET CLUB—John W. Meiklejohn, Secretary, P. O. Box 64, Pawtucket, R. I.

PHILADELPHIA CRICKET CLUB—John H. Whittaker, Secretary, 16 South 5th Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

RIVERSIDE LAWN TENNIS CLUB—R. W. Day, Secretary, Riverside, Ill.

ROCHESTER LAWN TENNIS CLUB—L. S. Ward, Secretary, Rochester, N. Y.

ROCKAWAY HUNTING CLUB—Newbold Lawrence, Secretary, 21 Broad Street, Mills Bldg., NewYork.

SANTA MONICA LAWN TENNIS CLUB—W. E. Lester, Secretary, Santa Monica, Cal.

SARATOGA ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION—H. B. Bullard, Secretary, P. O. Box 1672, Saratoga Springs, N.Y.

SEABRIGHT LAWN TENNIS AND CRICKET CLUB—B. F. Romain, Jr., Secretary, 20 Nassau Street, New York.

SORRENTO LAWN TENNIS CLUB OF SORRENTO, ME.—I. S. Adams, Secretary, Harvard University, Cambridge.

SOUTH ORANGE FIELD CLUB—W. W. Palen, Secretary, South Orange, N. J.

SPRINGFIELD TENNIS COMPANY—H. G. Chapin, Secretary, Springfield, Mass.

ST. AUGUSTINE TENNIS CLUB—W. M. Wright, Secretary, St. Augustine, Fla.

STATEN ISLAND ATHLETIC CLUB—E. W. Gould, Secretary, West New Brighton, S. I.

STATEN ISLAND CRICKET AND BASE BALL CLUB—F. S. Wonham, Secretary, 10 Wall Street, New York.

STATEN ISLAND LADIES' CLUB—O. S. Critten, Secretary, New Brighton, S. I.

TACOMA LADIES' CLUB—Rosalie M. Hurley, Secretary, 924 North Yakima Avenue, Tacoma, Wash.

TACOMA LAWN TENNIS CLUB—Morton Ramsdell, Secretary, Tacoma, Wash.

THOMASVILLE LAWN TENNIS CLUB—H. W. Hopkins, Secretary, Mitchell House, Thomasville, Ga.

TIOGA ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION—Oscar Leser, Secretary, 229 South Sixth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

TRINITY COLLEGE LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—Samuel Ferguson, Secretary, Trinity College, Hartford, Conn.

TUXEDO CLUB—William Kent, Secretary, 59 Liberty Street, New York.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAWN TENNIS CLUB—G. B. Hussey, Secretary, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.

WATERBURY LAWN TENNIS CLUB—Samuel P. Williams, Secretary, Waterbury, Conn.

WEST SIDE LAWN TENNIS CLUB—C. X. Cordier, Secretary, 19 Murray Street, New York.

WORCESTER ATHLETIC CLUB—Henry L. Dadmun, Secretary, Worcester, Mass.

WYANDOT LAWN TENNIS CLUB—John A. Ryerson, Secretary, 35 Borden Block, Chicago, Ill.

CLASS II.

COLUMBIA INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—Archibald Douglas, Secretary, Tarrytown, N. Y.

HARVARD INTERSCHOLASTIC ASSOCIATION—A. Codman, Secretary, Read's 32, Cambridge, Mass.

HUDSON RIVER LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—R. M. Ferris, Secretary, Poughkeepsie, N. Y.

INTERCOLLEGIATE LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—G. P. Herrick, Secretary, 5 East 56th Street, New York (Princeton).

PACIFIC STATES LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—G. E. Stoker, Secretary, San Francisco, Cal.

PRINCETON INTERSCHOLASTIC ASSOCIATION—Harold McCormick, Secretary, Princeton, N. J.

SOUTHERN LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—Yates Pennington, Secretary, 844 Equitable Bldg., Baltimore, Md.

WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—S. W. B. Moorehead, Secretary, P. O. Box 720, Pittsburgh, Pa.

YALE INTERSCHOLASTIC ASSOCIATION—N. A. Smyth, Secretary, 328 Temple Street, New Haven, Conn.

TENNIS PLAYERS' DIRECTORY.

A

Allen, Edwin L., 153 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pa. (Allegheny A. A.).
Allen, Rev. Alex., Sedalia, Mo. (Pastime).
Allen, Yorke S., South Orange, N. J. (S. O. F. C.).
Anderson, James H., Springfield, Mass. (Williams).
Anderson, J. C., Tacoma, Wash. (Tacoma).
Angel, Lawrence, Rochester, N. Y. (Secretary, Cornell).
Austin, De Roy, 509 South 20th Street, Omaha, Neb. (O. T. C.).
Avery, H. E., Detroit, Mich. (D. A. C.).
Ayers, Harry L., West Newton, Mass. (Neighborhood).

B

Baldwin, W. B., Nyack, N. Y. (Nyack).
Beach, Francis G., New Haven, Conn. (New Haven).
Beach, R. V., New Haven, Conn. (New Haven).
Belden, G. K., 1700 5th Avenue, South Minneapolis, Minn. (M. L. T. C.).
Betts, H. D., Newburgh, N. Y. (Powlton).
Biddle, L., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia (Philadelphia C. C.).
Billings, H. M., 55 West 33d Street, New York City (N. Y. A. C.).
Bixby, H. G., Nashua, N. H. (Longwood).
Bogart, S. A., Yonkers, N. Y. (H. R. L. T. A.).
Bostwick, O. M., 44 East 106th Street, New York City (Lenox).
Bradley, S. R., Jr., Nyack, N. Y. (Nyack).
Bradley, W. C., Nyack, N. Y. (Nyack).
Brewster, S. V., East Orange, N. J. (E. O. L. T. C.).
Brinley, G. M., St. Paul's, Concord, N. H.
Bristol, W. T. G., 2102 Tioga Street, Philadelphia (Tioga).
Brooks, Lieut. J. C. W., West Point, N. Y. (H. R. L. T. A.).
Brown, H. C., 921 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia (Belmont).
Bryan, G. S., 296 State Street, Bridgeport, Conn. (Bridgeport).
Budlong, C. R., 189 Governor Street, Providence (Brown).
Bull, F. S., Tacoma, Wash. (T. L. T. C.).
Bull, W. A., Washington Block, Tacoma, Wash.
Burchard, Ross, South Norwalk, Conn. (Norwalk).
Burke, E. A., 585 West 131st Street, New York (Hamilton).
Burr, G. I., 513 West 144th Street, New York (Hamilton).

C

Cady, Clarence W., 252 North Street, Buffalo, N. Y. (Buffalo).
Campbell, O. S., 18 Remsen Street, Brooklyn, N. Y. (Crescent A. C.).
Candler, Duncan W., 11 Monroe Place, Brooklyn, N. Y. (Columbia).

Carpenter, T. R., Jr., Quaker City Bank, Philadelphia (Ger. C. C.).
Carver, C. W., Chicago, Ill. (Riverside).
Case, George B., 106 West 10th Street, Kansas City, Mo. (Dykington).
Chace, M. G., 40 Angel Street, Providence, R. I. (Brown).
Chapin, C. B., 417 West 145th Street, New York (Hamilton).
Chase, J. Henry, 31 College House, Cambridge, Mass. (Harvard).
Chase, M. A., Portsmouth Terrace, Rochester, N. Y. (R. T. C.).
Chase, S. T., 1945 Belmont Avenue, Chicago (Riverside).
Childs, F. T., New England Building, Kansas City, Mo. (Hyde Park).
Clark, Joseph S., Bullitt Building, Philadelphia (Ger. C. C.).
Cluett, E. Harold, Saratoga Springs, N. Y. (S. A. A.).
Cluett, W. H., Saratoga Springs, N. Y. (S. A. A.).
Codd, G. P., 2 City Hall, Detroit, Mich. (Detroit A. C.).
Codman, A., Cambridge, Mass. (Secretary, Harvard L. T. C.).
Cogswell, R. W., P. O. Box 172, Bridgeport, Conn. (B. T. C.).
Cole, Harry T., 113 Randolph Street, Detroit, Mich. (D. A. C.).
Cook, Ray T., 660 Temple Court, Minneapolis (M. T. C.).
Cooley, F. R., Hartford, Conn. (H. L. C.).
Coster, M. K., 170 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pa. (Allegheny A. A.).
Cravens, J. D., Kansas City, Mo. (Dykington).
Crawford, E., 120 Broadway, New York (Hamilton).
Critchlow, S. W., New Brighton, Pa. (New Brighton).
Crocker, G. H., 75 Prospect Street, Fitchburg, Mass. (Longwood).
Cummins, B. F., 4928 Washington Avenue, Chicago (Kenwood).
Cunningham, William, 93 Maiden Lane (Nyack N. T. C.).

D

Davidson, John C., 1338 F. Street, Washington, D. C. (Southern L. T. A).
Davis, Lieut. R. P., West Point, N. Y. (H. R. L. T. A).
Deacon, G. P., Wayne Avenue, Germantown, Philadelphia (G. C. C.).
DeLong, G. B., Hotel Stewart, Pine and Jones Streets, San Francisco, Cal. (Cal. T. C.).
Deming, S. C., Williamstown, Mass. (Williams).
Dodge, H. P., 1130 Huron Street, Toledo, O. (Outing T. C.).
Douglas, Archibald, Tarrytown, N. Y. (Secretary, Columbia).
Dunnell, J. W., Ridgewood, N. J. (Hohokus Valley L. T. C.).
Dunning, R. B., 6 East 58th Street, New York City (N. Y. A. C.).
Dwight, James, Somerset Club, Boston (Longwood C. C.).
Dwight, Winthrop, New Haven, Conn. (Litchfield Club).

E

Ewing, Thomas, 156 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pa. (West Pennsylvania L. T. A).

F

Farnum, C. S., Philadelphia (Merion C. C.).
Ferguson, S., Trinity College, Hartford, Conn. (Trinity).
Ferris, R. M., 130 Pearl Street, New York (H. R. L. T. A.).
Fielding, Mantel, West Walnut Lane, Germantown, Pa. (Ger. C. C.).
Floyd, William, 102 William Street, New York City (Princeton).
Folds, C. W., Northwest National Bank, Minneapolis (M. L. T. C.).
Foote, A. E., 19 Howe Street, New Haven, Conn. (Yale).
Fowler, W. K., 255 L (Yale), New Haven, Conn.
Fowler, J. A., Box 566, Providence, R. I. (Elmwood L. T. Club).

G

Gallien, Henry, National Exchange Bank, Albany, N. Y. (Ridgefield).
Gillett, William K., Pelham Manor, New York City (N. Y. A. C.).
Godfrey, C. E., P. O. Box 517, Providence, R. I. (Elmwood).
Goodbody, M. F., 30 Broad Street, New York.
Gorham, R. S., 50 State Street, Boston, Mass. (Neighborhood).
Gosline, William A., 1541 Summit Street, Toledo, O. (Outing).
Gould, C. A., South Orange, N. J., (S. O. F. C.).
Grant, Charles F., 56 Broadway, New York City (Crescent A. C.).
Griffin, R. A., 18 Courtland Street, New York City (Orange).
Griffith, W. A., 669 Willoughby Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y. (Brooklyn).

H

Hague, H. W., East Orange, N. J. (E. O. L. T. C.).
Hague, Frank, East Orange, N. J. (E. O. L. T. C.).
Hall, E. L., 11 West 37th Street, New York City (Edgewood).
Hall, Joseph, Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Conn. (H. L. C.).
Hall, V. G., 11 West 37th Street, New York City (Tuxedo).
Halpin, Paul, 2314 Grand Boulevard, New York (Hamilton).
Hamlin, E. P., 38 Jarvis Hall, Trinity College, Hartford, Conn. (Trinity).
Hart, E. T., Barker Hotel, Omaha, Neb. (O. L. T. C.).
Hastings, H. O., 819 Filbert Street, Philadelphia (Merion).
Haverstick, G. E., United States National Bank, Omaha, Neb. (O. L. T. C.).
Hedstram, A. E., White Building, Buffalo, N. Y. (B. L. T. C.).
Herrick, G. P., 5 East 56th Street, New York (Princeton).
Hincks, W. T., 11 Franklin Building, Bridgeport, Conn. (Bridgeport).
Hobart, Clarence, 731 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York City (N. Y. T. C.).
Hobart, John F., 731 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York City (Ariel).

Hone, F. M., South Clinton Street, Rochester, N. Y. (R. T. C.).
Hood, J. Parke, 715 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia (Phila. C. C.).
Hoopes, Edward, New Brighton, Pa. (New Brighton).
Hoppin, Tracy, 99 Power Street, Providence, R. I. (Harvard).
Hovey, F. H., Newton Centre, Mass. (Longwood).
Howland, John, 222 Durfee Street, New Haven, Conn. (Yale).
Hubbard, C. P., San Francisco, Cal. (Cal. T. C.).
Huntington, R. P., Jr., Drexel, Morgan & Co., New York City.
Hutchinson, O. C., 620 Wyandotte Street, Kansas City (Hyde Park).

J

Jayne, T. N., 660 Temple Court, Minneapolis (M. L. T. C.).
Jeffares, B., Brooklyn, N. Y. (B. L. T. C.).
Jessup, F. N., South Orange, N. J. (S. O. F. C.).
Jessup, S. D., South Orange, N. J. (S. O. F. C.).
Jewitt, H. E., National Bank of Kansas City, Kansas City, Mo. (Hyde Park).
Johnson, L. C., Ridgewood, N. J.
Johnson, W. V., Orange, N. J. (Princeton).
Jones, J. D. E., 104 Providence Street, Worcester, Mass.

K

Kendall, Hiram, Wickford, R. I.
Knapp, W. P., 170 Broadway, New York City (S. I. C. and B. B. C.).
Knickerbocker, Charles, 377 Superior Street, Chicago (Osceola).

L

Larned, W. A., Summit, N. J. (Columbia).
Lockwood, Fred'k A., Norwalk, Conn. (Norwalk T. C.).
Lowrie, F. H., 70 Franklin Street, Boston, Mass. (Ariel).
Ludlow, Wm. O., East Orange, N. J. (E. Orange T. C.).
Lyman, Willis, 24 Felton Hall, Cambridge, Mass. (Harvard).

M

Mackey, W. B., 214 East 2d Street, Sedalia, Mo. (Pastime).
MacMullen, E. P., 521 West 161st Street, New York (Columbia).
Mason, L. J., Brattleboro, Vt. (Secretary, Dartmouth).
McClelland, E. W., 4928 Washington Avenue, Chicago (Kenwood).
McCormick, Harold F., 16 Middle Dod Hall, Princeton, N. J. (Secretary, Princeton T. C.).
McCormick, Stanley R., 16 Middle Dod Hall, Princeton, N. J. (Princeton T. C.).
McElroy, C. H. R., Kansas City, Mo. (Dykington).
McEnroe, E. Kinnard, 268 West 130th Street, New York (Hamilton).

McMahon, C. E., Brooklyn, N. Y. (B. L. T. C.).
McMahon, J. T., Brooklyn, N. Y. (B. L. T. C.).
Merion, Adrian E., 274 Henry Street, Brooklyn, N. Y. (Brooklyn L. T. C.).
Merrick, S. V., Germantown, Phila., Pa. (Ger. C. C.).
Merrill, J. M., East Orange, N. J. (E. Orange T. C.).
Miles, G. H., South Orange, N. J. (S. O. F. C.).
Miles, R. M., Jr., South Orange, N. J. (S. O. F. C.).
Millett, S. C., 57 West 94 Street, New York (N. Y. T. C.).
Montgomery, Lee, Sedalia, Mo. (Pastime).
Moorehood, S. W. B., Pittsburgh, Pa. (West Penn. Asso.).

N

Nichols, John W., Jr., New Haven, Conn. (New Haven L. C.).
Neel, C. B., 3718 Ellis Avenue, Chicago (C. L. T. C.).
Neel, S. R., 3718 Ellis Avenue, Chicago (C. L. T. C.).
Newhall, E. S., 263 Lakewood Avenue, Buffalo (B. T. C.).
Newhall, G. T., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia (Phila. C. C.).

O

Orcutt, Wm. Dana, Cambridge, Mass.

P

Paddock, Lewis H., Psi U House, Ann Arbor, Mich. (D. A. C.).
Palen, W. W., South Orange, N. J. (So. Orange T. C.).
Palmer, Richard, Ridgewood, N. J. (Hohokus Valley L. T. C.).
Palmer, Richard H., Ridgewood, N. J. (Hohokus).
Parker, A. J., Jr., 143 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York (Ridgefield).
Paret, J. Parmly, 119 West 93d Street, New York (Hamilton).
Parker, L. R., 143 Washington Avenue, Albany, N. Y. (Ridgefield).
Parker, W. G., 22 West 87th Street, New York City (Brooklyn L. T. C.).
Pennington, Yates, 844 Equitable Building, Baltimore, Md. (S. L. T. A.).
Perine, W. A., American National Bank, Kansas City, Mo. (Hyde Park).
Pierpont, George W., 411 Superior Street, Chicago (Osceola).
Pilat, O. I., 608 East 140th Street, New York City (Ariel).
Post, Carroll J., Jr., 140 Nassau Street, New York City (Crescent A. C.).
Presbrey, Palmer E., National Bank of Redemption, Boston (Longwood).
Price, E. T. Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia (Phila. C. C.).

R

Ramsdell, Morton, Tacoma, Wash. (T. L. T. C.).
Reed, J. B., Cambridge, Mass. (Secretary, Harvard).
Reed, Robert R., Pittsburgh, Pa. (West Penn. Asso.).

Reed, Silas D., Taunton, Mass. (Amherst).
Rice, W. A., 112½ South 10th Street, Tacoma, Wash. (T. T. C.).
Richards, George, 62 Wall Street, New York City (Litchfield Club).
Roberts, W. R., 1200 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia (Tioga).
Runyon, A., 25 Fifth Avenue, New York City (H. R. L. T. A.).
Runyon, Carmen R., 25 Fifth Avenve, New York City (H. R. L. T. A.).
Russell, A. B., 27 West Avenue, Norwalk, Conn. (Norwalk).
Ryerson, J. A., 35 Bordon Block, Chicago, Ill. (Riverside).

S

Sanford, L. J., New Haven, Conn. (N. H. L. C.).
Sayre, E. S., 217 South 3d Street, Philadelphia (Merion C. C.).
Schwarte, J. A., Saratoga Springs, N. Y. (S. A. A.).
Scudder, W. M., 106 Pine Street, Chicago (Osceola).
Sears, P. S., Boston Athletic Association, Boston, Mass. (Longwood).
Shaw, Q. A., Jr., Jamaica Plain, Boston (Longwood).
Slocum, H. W., 111 West 41st Street, New York City (S. I. C. and B. B. C.).
Smith, Fred C., Ridgewood, N. J. (Hohokus Valley L. T. C.).
Smith, M. D., 813 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia (Bel. C. C.).
Stearns, Alfred E., Amherst, Mass. (Secretary, Amherst).
Steelman, Jonas, 2101 Tioga Street, Philadelphia (Tioga).
Stetson, Henry N., 1801 Van Ness Street, San Francisco, Cal. (Cal. T. C.).
Stevens, Richard, Hoboken, N. J. (N. Y. A. C.).
Stoddard, S., 13 Jarvis Hall, Trinity College, Hartford, Conn. (Trinity).
Stuart, C. W., 47 Erie Co. Bank Building (Buffalo B. T. C.).
Suydam, H. W., Grand Avenue, Toledo (Outing).
Sweeney, John S., 42 East Forest Avenue, Detroit, Mich. (D. A. C.).

T

Tanner, Edward E., 418 Main Street, Buffalo, N. Y. (B. T. C.).
Taylor, Howard A., 45 William Street, New York City (Westchester).
Taylor, William H., Jr., Buchannon and California Streets, San Francisco, Cal. (Cal. T. C.).
Terry, James, Collins Street, Hartford, Conn. (H. L. C.).
Tete, C., Jr., Secane, Pa. (Belmont C. C.).
Thayer, John B., Jr., Philadelphia, Pa. (Merion C. C.).
Thayer, J. E. R., Hanover, N. H. (Dartmouth).
Thomson, E. A., Univ. Club, New York.
Thorne, W. V. S., Minneapolis, Minn.
Thurber, Howard F., 49 Sidney Place, Brooklyn, N. Y. (Lenox).
Thurber, Ray D., 49 Sidney Place, Brooklyn, N. Y. (Lenox).
Torrance, Alexander, Tenafly, N. J.
Torrance, Henry, Jr., The Hendrick Mfg. Co., Carbondale, Pa.
Travelli, C. I., West Newton, Mass. (Neighborhood).

V

Van Buren, Robert, Jr., 80 West Avenue, Norwalk, Conn. (Norwalk).

W

Wales, G. F., Newton Centre, Mass. (Amherst).
Wallace, T. F., 1700 Fifth Avenue, South Minneapolis, Minn (M. L. T. C.).
Walton, Walter, Ridgewood, N. J. (Hohokus).
Ward, F. K., 11 West Main Street, Rochester, N. Y. (R. L. T. C.).
Ward, H., South Orange, N. J. (Orange).
Ward, L. S., 11 West Main Street, Rochester, N. Y. (R. L. T. C.).
Ward, Stanley, South Orange, N. J. (S. O. T. C.).
Weed, E. P., 65 Wall Street, Norwalk, Conn. (Norwalk).
Wendt, J. S., 170 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pa. (New Brighton L. T. C.).
Wessells, H. W., Litchfield, Conn. (Litchfield).
Wheeler, John W., cor. State and Park Avenue, Bridgeport, Conn. (Bridgeport).
White, Ernest I., Syracuse, N. Y. (Cornell).
White, J. Du Pratt, Nyack, N. Y. (Nyack).
Whitman, R. R., 620 Wyandotte Street, Kansas City, Mo. (Hyde Park Country Club).
Whittaker, J. H., 16 South 5th Street, Philadelphia (Phila. C. C.).
Wilcox, H. D., Providence, R. I. (Elmwood).
Wilde, M. C., New Brighton, Pa. (New Brighton).
Willis, G. A., Arrochar, S. I. (S. I. C. & B. B. C.).
Wilson, Robert U., Jr., 2226 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, Pa. (Univ. of Penn.).
Wing, W. H., 10 South Water Street, Providence, R. I. (East Side L. T. C.).
Winslow, F. B., 264 Newbury Street, Boston (Harvard).
Wonham, F. S., 10 Wall Street, New York (S. I. C. & B. B. C.).
Woods, E. F., West Newton, Mass. (Neighborhood).
Woodward, Oscar, U. S. Post Office, Washington, D. C. (Southern L. T. A.).
Work, M. C., Girard Building, Philadelphia (Belmont C. C.).
Wrenn, R. D., 85 Dearborn Street, Chicago (Harvard).
Wright, A. E., New York Athletic Club, New York City (N. Y. A. C.).
Wyckoff, C. R., Almira, N. Y. (Cornell).

Y

Yeates, S. C. E., Sedalia, Mo. (Pastime).
Yeator, C. E., Sedalia, Mo. (Pastime).
Young, C. H., 1624 Capitol Avenue, Omaha, Neb. (O. L. T. C.).

JOSEPH T. WHITTELSEY, SECRETARY,
P. O. BOX 1672 NEW HAVEN, CONN.

VALENTINE G. HALL, TREASURER.
11 WEST 37TH STREET N. Y.

UNITED STATES
NATIONAL LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.

JAMES DWIGHT, PRESIDENT,
SOMERSET CLUB, BOSTON

February 9th 1895

Messrs Wright & Ditson
Boston Mass

Gentlemen

At the Annual
meeting of this association
the Wright & Ditson
Championship Ball
was adopted as the
Regulation Ball for
the season of 1895

Yours truly
Joseph T. Whittelsey
Secretary

Our Tennis Goods

Are carried in stock by all first-class dealers in Athletic Goods in the United States and Canada, or can be procured from us direct.

WRIGHT & DITSON

Retail, 344 Washington St.

Wholesale, 95 Pearl St.

.... BOSTON, MASS.

WRIGHT & DITSON'S TENNIS BALLS.

Championship.

1895.

ADOPTED BY THE

United States National Lawn Tennis Association,
Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association,
Southern Lawn Tennis Association,
Canadian Lawn Tennis Association,
and other
Associations of the U. S. and Canada.

Per doz., **$5.00**

"Club."

Regulation size and weight,
cloth covered and undersewn,

Per doz., **$4.00**

Handicap.

Covered with cloth and a good ball for ordinary use.

Per doz. **$3.00**

Plain Rubber.

Selected quality for use in wet weather ....Per doz., **$2.50**

WRIGHT & DITSON'S

CELEBRATED TENNIS RACKETS.

"The Campbell."

Modeled after plans and specifications furnished us by Mr. O. S. CAMPBELL, and is a duplicate in design of the one with which he won the championship of the United States at Newport. The racket throughout is hand made, the frame of finest seasoned ash, with reinforced throat, which prevents it from cracking, and adds greatly to its appearance. The stringing is of best white English gut, obtained direct from a celebrated London maker, which, while very firm when placed in the racket, possesses resiliency, driving power and durability unequaled by any other make. The greatest possible care is taken in all the details of its construction. .....Price, **$8.00**

"The Sears Special."

The framework of this racket is made of selected and thoroughly seasoned second growth white ash, with mahogany throat, handsomely finished in gold; the stringing of the very highest grade of *genuine English* white and red gut, and the handle finely checkered.

Checkered Handle.....**$7.00**

Cork Handle..... **7.50**

WRIGHT & DITSON'S TENNIS RACKETS.

"The Country Club."

The frame is made of best selected second growth ash, with ebonized throat, handsomely lettered in gold, and stringing of best quality all white *Oriental* gut. The handle is finely checkered, and racket of best quality and finish throughout, making it first-class at a popular price.

Checkered Handle.....**$6.00**
Cork Handle..... **6.50**

"Pettit Tournament."

The frame is made of best quality white ash, the stringing of red and white imported gut, and the handle nicely checkered. Although greatly improved in quality, we have placed it on the market at a popular price, and guarantee it equal to the best rackets of other makers.

Checkered Handle.....**$5.00**
Cork Handle..... **5.50**

"Interscholastic."

New this year; very handsome and guaranteed equal, if not superior, to $7.00 rackets produced by other makers. Made of first-class materials throughout; handle of black walnut, checkered and finely polished; strung with fine quality red and white foreign gut.

Price.....**$5.00**

WRIGHT & DITSON'S TENNIS RACKETS.

"The Longwood."

The frame of this racket is made of selected white ash with walnut throat, checkered handle and strung with a fine quality *Oriental* white gut. Nicely finished throughout.

Checkered Handle.....**$4.00**
Cork Handle..... **4.50**

"The Park."

A full sized racket and a very popular shape. The frame is made of selected white ash with a white holly throat, checkered handle, and strung with a good quality red and white gut. Well finished throughout **$3.00**

The Hub. **Surprise.** **Star.**

The above rackets for this season have been greatly improved, being larger in size and of the latest and most popular shapes. The handles of all are checkered, the frame nicely made and the stringing of red and white gut, making them the best low priced rackets on the market at the reduced prices.

"The Hub," checkered handle **$2.00**
"The Surprise," " " **1.50**
"The Star," " " **1.00**

Rackets Restrung.

We make a specialty of this branch of our business, and are constantly in receipt of rackets to be restrung, of every known make, from all parts of the United States and Canada. This work is done by our most scientific stringers and none but first quality gut is used.

Good American gut, white only.....Each, $1.25
Best American Gut, White, Red, or Red and White..... " 1.75
Best English Gut, White, Red, or Red and White..... " 2.75

Racket Cases.

TO FIT ANY RACKET.

No. 0, Fine Felt, in Navy Blue, Black, Brown, Bottle Green, Royal Blue, Old Gold, Crimson, etc., $ .50
No. 2. American Mackintosh, with handle..... 1.00
To. 3. Brown English Canvas, leather trimmed... 1.00
No. 4. Brown Mackintosh, imported, trimmed with leather, waterproof..... 1.50
No. 5. Soft Pebbled Russet Grain Leather, nicely bound with calfskin 2.00
No. 7. Fine Stiff Bridle Leather, made by hand, banjo case style 4.50

Rubber Covers.

FOR RACKET HANDLES. EACH

Black Rubber.....$ .25
Red Rubber..... .25

Electric Tape.

For winding the Handles of Rackets to insure a firm grasp.
A roll is sufficient for one Racket . . . . . Price, per roll, 10c.



Tennis Counters.

This little contrivance, which is to be placed on the throat of the racket, is intended to relieve the player's mind of the necessity of keeping account of the points and games scored. The upper half of the disk is marked to represent the points of the games, and the lower half is devoted to the number of games scored .....Price, by mail, 25c.



The Obear Glove.

OF CORRUGATED RUBBER.

Especially adapted for Tennis players. The lighest and coolest glove for Polo, Hand-ball, Rowing, etc. It holds with the grip of a vice. It protects the hands and prevents blistering.

In ordering, state whether right or left hand glove is required, and give your kid glove size .....Price, per Glove, $1.00

Racket Inscription Plates.

Coin Silver..... Each, $1.25
Engraving, extra... ..... Per letter, .03

Regulation Tennis Nets.

HERCULES BRAND.

No. 100.	27 feet,	12	thread,	Single	Court...	$1.00
No. 106.	36 "	15	"	Double	Court...	2.00
No. 107.	36 "	21	"	"	" ...	2.75
No. 109.	42 "	15	"	"	" ...	2.25

Regulation Tennis Nets—Bound.

HERCULES BRAND.

No. 106 B.	36 feet,	15	thread,	Double	Court	$2.50
No. 107 B.	36 "	21	"	"	"	3.25
No. 109 B.	42 "	15	"	"	"	3.00
No. 110 B.	42 "	21	"	"	"	3.75

White Hand-Made Nets—Bound.

No. 156.	36 feet,	15	thread,	Double	Court.....	$3.00
No. 157.	36 "	21	"	"	"	3.50
No. 159.	42 "	15	"	"	"	3.25
No. 160.	42 "	21	"	"	"	4.00
Tanned, extra.....					.....	.50

Hand-Made Nets.

TARRED AND BOUND.

No. 156 X.	36 feet,	15	thread,	Double	Court.....	$3.50
No. 157 X.	36 "	21	"	"	"	4.00
No. 159 X.	42 "	15	"	"	"	3.75
No. 150 X.	42 "	21	"	"	"	4.50

Taylor's Patent Double-Centre Nets—Bound.

No. 206.	36 feet,	15	thread,	Double	Court.....	$5.00
No. 207.	36 "	21	"	"	"	6.00
No. 209.	42 "	15	"	"	"	6.00
No. 210.	42 "	21	"	"	"	7.00



Intercollegiate Nets.

TANNED.

Unlike other canvas bound nets, the netting is attached to the bottom of the canvas. The rope entirely separate from the netting, passes through the canvas, and thus receives all the strain from the stretching of the net, so that neither the netting nor canvas can be broken or pulled out of shape.

No. 2 E.	36 feet,	21	thread	... .	$5.50
No. 3 E.	42 "	21	"	....	6.50



Back Stop Nets.

No. 119.	50x7 feet, 9 thread,	$3.00
No. 120.	50x7 " 12 "	4.00
Tanned or Tarred, extra.....		.50

Improved Tennis Ball Carrier and Holder.

Fine linen netting, folding frame.....	500
Cotton netting, folding frame.....	250

THE TENNISCOPE.



The Tenniscope is a new and simple device for laying out new tennis courts, or for testing the accuracy of those already laid out.

It is set about the middle of the proposed court and the four outside corners are quickly and accurately found, after which the rest of the court can be easily laid out. No tennis club or devotee of tennis should be without it. Any boy who can aim a target gun will find no difficulty in laying out his own or his friend's court with this interesting and instructive device. The rules which accompany each tenniscope are full and easy to understand.

Packed in box, with everything complete, price, **$4.00**

Wright & Ditson, Sole Agents.

Tennis Court Measures.

The full diagram and dimensions of the court are marked on one side of each of the following measures, except Nos. 0 and 1.

No. **0.** Fifty-foot tape.....**$ .50**
No. **1.** Fine 100-foot tape **1.00**
No. **2.** One-half inch tape, handsomely encased in polished and enameled brass **2.00**

WRIGHT & DITSON'S

Official Lawn Tennis Guide

... FOR 1895 ...

MORE COMPLETE THAN EVER.

Postpaid, 15 Cents.

Wright & Ditson's Dry Tennis Court Marker.

This Marker requires no mixing of material with water to get ready for use. Put in any powdered material, such as marble dust, air-slacked lime, plaster of paris, or ground plaster, such as is used for fertilizing purposes. By sprinkling immediately after marking, or by marking at evening before the dew falls, the lime is rendered more permanent. Fill the wheel about two-thirds full, which is sufficient for once marking the court..... .....**$2.00**

Wright & Ditson's Columbia Lawn Tennis Marker.

The Columbia Marker is made of heavy tin and iron, nicely painted, and neat, compact and durable. The parts are of simple construction, easy to operate, and makes a clean, even ribbon line with contact in full view. It has no valves or cocks to adjust or get out of order; will mark or not, as desired, as it delivers only while running. Packed in crate for safe transportation, and warranted to give perfect satisfaction. Complete..... ....**$6.00**

Wright & Ditson's "Clipper" Marker.

After long trial, it gives us pleasure to put on the market a Lawn Tennis Marker which marks perfectly on both grass and dirt courts. Easy of manipulation and inexpensive.

Crated ready for shipment, **$7.00**



Wright & Ditson's Newport Tournament Poles.

Patented October 20, 1891.

These Poles are used at the United States National Lawn Tennis Association Tournaments at Newport, and have given the best of satisfaction. The iron sockets are permanently driven into the ground and held firmly in place by curved iron pins; the poles dropped into place and the net tightened by crank at side. No guy ropes are necessary, the poles are rigid and firm and quickly removed when not in use. Complete with Pins.

Per pair, **$7.00**

Showing Curved Iron Pin accompanying each socket.



Wright & Ditson's Improved Tennis Poles.

These poles do away with guy ropes, are easily and quickly adjusted, and are strong and durable, being made of solid ash finely finished, and heavy iron base. The net is adjusted by the small ratchet crank illustrated in cut.

Price of Poles, complete, **$5.00**

Taylor's Tennis Poles.



No. **0.** Made of hard wood, highly polished and oil finished, including sockets, Pair, **$6.00**

No. **1.** Same as No. 0, only with one pole shod with iron, especially adapted for driving the socket into the ground, including sockets, Per pair, **$7.50**

Regulation Tennis Poles

Jointed Net Poles.

No. **10.** Hard wood, polished, with brass ferrules, 1¼-inch diameter ..... PAIR. **$1.00**

No. **11.** White ash, polished and painted, brass ferrules, fancy turned tops, 1½-inch diameter, **2.00**

Solid Net Poles.

No. **13.** "The Longwood," rock maple, polished, 1½ inch diameter, with cross bar at bottom and screw eye at top to keep net at regulation height, PAIR. **$1.25**

No. **13½.** Same as No. 13, without cross bar and screw eye, **1.00**

No. **14½.** Rock maple, polished, with brass ferrule and steel spike at bottom and screw eyes for attaching net, especially adapted to board courts..... **1.50**

No. **16.** White ash, fancy painted tops, 1⅞-inch diameter **1.50**

No. **20.** Back net poles, 8 feet long, **1.75**



10. 11. 16. 13. 14½.

0. 2.



No. 3/0.

Canvas Centre Straps

No. **2/0.** This is a new device for holding centre of net at regulation height, 3 feet, and is vastly superior to the ordinary centre iron. It serves the purpose intended perfectly, does not chafe the net, and cannot possibly cause the ball to glance off and strike out of court, as is occasionally the case with a centre iron, **$1.00**

No. **3/0.** Tournament Pattern. (See cut.) Same as above, only fitted with a turnbuckle with which height of net can be adjusted to a hair. Very desirable for tournament or match games. .....Price, **$1.50**

Centre Irons.

EACH.

No. **0.** Regulation, heavy galvanized iron..... **$0.75**

No. **2.** Patent stirrup centre iron..... **1.00**

Wright & Ditson's Star Racket Press.

This press is cheap, simple and durable. Every player should keep his racket in one of them when not in use, to prevent warping, especially when it has been exposed to moisture or used at the seashore.

No. **1.** Polished whitewood.....**$1.00**

Large Racket Press, to hold six rackets, **$5.00**

Wright & Ditson's Tennis Marking Plates.

These plates are very useful for marking out courts; for after once being placed in position, they do away with the necessity of measuring the court every time it is marked out. A set consists of eight corner and two T pieces.

No. 1 Set, with plate and pins in one piece.....$1.50
No. 2 Set, with loose pins 1.00

Portable Tennis Court Tapes.

Consisting of strong pieces of webbing for marking out court correctly. They are fastened to ground with pins and staples and are adapted for clay, cinder or grass courts. Light and compact and quickly set out.

Double Court, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins.....$5.00
Single Court, complete with 100 staples and 10 pins..... 4.00
Extra Galvanized Staples, Per 100, .50

Guy Ropes, Runners and Pegs.

		Per Set.
No. 0.	Fine hemp rope, plain pegs.....	$0.25
No. 1.	Medium sized cotton ropes, plain pegs.....	.50
No. 2.	Large cotton ropes, polished maple pegs....	.75
No. 3.	Large braided ropes, polished ash pegs....	1.00

Mallets.

No. 1.

		Each.
No. 1.	Plain ash.....$0.10	
No. 2.	Polished maple.	.20
No. 3.	Polished lignum vitæ or box-wood.....	30

Wright & Ditson's Lawn Tennis Sets.

No. 1. Two Hub Rackets, two Surprise Rackets, one single Court Net, one pair No. 10 Poles, four Plain Balls, one set No. 1 Guys, one No. 1 Mallet, one Tennis Guide, one No. 1 Box.....$10.00

Each.

No. 2. Four Hub Rackets, one Double Court Net, one pair No. 11 Poles, one set No. 2 Guys, one No. 3 Mallet, one Dry Marker, one Tennis Guide, one No 2 Box, six Club Balls, 15.00

No. 3. Two Longwood and two Park Rackets, one Double Court Net, one pair No. 11 Poles, one set No. 2 Guys, four Championship Balls, one No. 3 Mallet, one Tennis Guide, one Dry Marker, one No. 2 Box..... .... 20.00

No. 4. Four Petit Tournament Rackets, six Championship Balls, one Double Court Net, one pair No. 11 Poles, one set No. 2 Guys, one No. 3 Mallet, one Tennis Guide, one No. 3 Box 25.00

No. 5. Four Country Club Rackets, six Club and six Championship Balls, one Double Court Net, one pair No. 11 Poles, one set No. 3 Guys, one No. 3 Mallet, one Tennis Guide, one No. 3 Box, 30.00

No. 6. Four Sears Rackets, one dozen Championship Balls, one Double Court Net, bound, one Tennis Guide, one pair Tournament Poles, one No. 0 Centre Iron, one No. 3 Box..... .. .... 40.00

OUTING AND TENNIS CLOTHING.

TENNIS CAPS.

Eton Style.

No. 0. Cashmere, Black, Navy and Maroon $1.00
No. 1. Flannel, extra quality. 1.00
No. 2. " best " ..... .75
No. 3. " medium " ..... .50

Eton Style, 3-inch Visor.



No. 10. Cashmere, Black, Navy and Maroon..... $1.25
No. 11. Flannel, extra quality. 1.25
No. 12. " best " 1.00
No. 13. " medium " .75
No. 14. White Duck..... 1.25

Yale Etons.

No. 20. White Duck $1.00
No. 21. Cashmere, Black, Navy and Maroon 1.00

YACHT CAPS.

No. 30. Blue Cloth..... $1.00
No. 31. White Duck..... 1.00
No. 32. Cashmere, Black, Navy and Maroon..... 1.00

GOLF CAPS.

No. 40. Extra Quality Imported Scotch Goods..... $2.00

LAWN TENNIS PUBLICATIONS.

PRACTICAL LAWN TENNIS. By JAMES DWIGHT, M. D. Cloth..... $1.25
SLOCUM ON TENNIS. Cloth..... 1.00
SLOCUM ON TENNIS. Paper..... .50
DWIGHT'S POCKET SCORE BOOK..... .10

OFFICIAL LAWN TENNIS SCORE BOOK.

As adopted by the United States National Lawn Tennis Association. Especially compiled for the use of Tennis Reporters and Official Scorers. By JOSEPH T. WHITTLESEY, Secretary U. S. N. L. T. A..... Price, 50c.

OUTING AND TENNIS SUITS.

Coats.

No. **100.**	English Serge	**$10.00**
No. **101.**	All Wool Tennis Cloth...	**7.50**
No. **102.**	Fine Serge.....	**6.00**

Pants.

No. **100.**	English Serge.....	**$8.00**
No. **101.**	All Wool Tennis Cloth...	**6.00**
No. **102.**	Fine Serge..... ...	**5.00**

White Duck Pants.

No. **A.**	Cotton Parade	**$1.00**
No. **B.**	Cotton, fine quality.....	**1.50**
No. **C.**	Linen, " "	**3.00**

TENNIS SHOES.

No. 1/0.

No. **1/0.**	Low Cut, Russia Calf, flat red rubber sole	**$6.00**
No. **1.**	Same, High Cut.....	**7.00**
No. **2.**	Low Cut, Russet Leather, with best flat red rubber sole,	**5.00**
No. **3.**	High Cut, Russet Leather, with best flat red rubber sole,	**5.50**
No. **4.**	Low Cut, Best Brown Canvas, fine quality rubber sole..	**2.50**
No. **XX.**	White Duck, Oxford, leather soles, with spikes.....	**4.50**
No. **X.**	Fine White Duck, High Cut, copper spiked in heel and sole,	**5.00**
	White Duck Shoes, rubber sole.....	**1.25**

No. AA. "Tournament."

No. **AA.** Low Cut, finest Russet Calf, hand made, with spikes, **$6.00**

WRIGHT & DITSON'S GOLF CLUBS.

WOOD CLUBS.



ORDINARY DRIVER. BRASSY NIBLICK. BRASSY BULGER. BRASSY SPOON. PUTTER.

IRON CLUBS.



IRON DRIVER. IRON NIBLICK. IRON CLEEK. IRON LOFTER. IRON PUTTER. IRON MASHY.

Our Golf Clubs are made of the choicest wood (selected for this special purpose); are nicely made, well balanced, and are in all respects the finest Golf Sticks made. The wood clubs are made in three lengths, *Long*, *Medium* and *Short*. We have a very fine line of Ladies' Clubs. The sticks most generally used are the ordinary Wood Driver, Wood Bulger, Brassy Driver and Brassy Bulger, Iron Lofter, Cleek, Niblick and Putter.

No. 1. Wright & Ditson's Golf Clubs, made in the finest manner by hand; extra selected wood. Any style Wood or Iron Clubs..... Each, **$2.00**

WRIGHT & DITSON'S GOLF BALLS.

No. **0.** "SILVERTOWN" Golf Ball, imported, made of the finest gutta percha, and can be driven further than any other imported ball. Per Doz., **$5.00**

No. **2.** The most popular ball in the market. Can be used with safety near water as it floats readily..... .Per Doz., **$4.00**

No. **3.** "PRACTICE" Golf Ball, used generally by beginners, Per Doz., **$3.00**

No. **4.** "CAPTIVE" Golf Ball, with rubber attachment, for practice, Each, **$1.50**

One **Book of Rules**, with hints on the game, sent free to any address.



BALL PAINTING MACHINE.

To hold ball when repainting same.

No. 35.

Price each **$2.50**

GOLFING GLOVE.

Golfing Glove.

No. **2.** Dogskin, Open Back, Left Hand, Rubbered, Short Fingers.....Per pair, **$3.00**

No. **3.** Buckskin, Open Back, Left Hand, Rubbered, Short Fingers.. .....Per pair, **4.00**

Golf Tees.

Used for elevating ball for drive from Tee or starting point.

No. **2.** Regulation Style.....Each, **25c.**

Home Golf Ball Press.

For Remolding Damaged Balls.

No. **30**..... ..... Each, **$5.00**

GOLF SUNDRIES.

Edinburgh Ball Cleaner.

No. **25.** Metal Case, with Sponge enclosed ....Each, **$1.25**

Pocket Score Cases.

No. **1.** Leather Cases..... ...Each, **$ .50**

No. **2.** Leather Cover, extra quality.. " **1.00**

Pack of 12 Refill Cards..... .. .. **.10**

GOLF MANUAL.

A TREATISE ON GOLF.

History of the Game, Glossary of Technical Terms, Rules of the Game, and other essential points.

Price, postpaid, 10 Cents.

WE ALSO CARRY A FINE LINE OF

- IMPORTED -

GOLF CLUBS

...AND...

GOLFING CLOTHING.

PRICES AND DESCRIPTIONS WILL BE FURNISHED ON APPLICATION.



NO. 1. HOLE CUTTER.

GOLF FLAGS.

No. **1.** Fine bunting, with staff, and numbers on each flag.....Per doz., **$5.00**

No. **10.** Steel Hole Cutter, for cutting hole in centre of Putting Green..... .Each, **$5.00**

No. **15.** Iron Hole Rims, for lining above hole, Each.... ... .**25c.**



No. 4.

CADDY BAGS.

FOR CARRYING GOLF CLUBS.

No. **1.** Heavy Tan Waterproof Canvas, Sling Strap and Leather Bottom and Handle, **$2.00**

No. **2.** Same as No. 1, with Pocket on side for holding Ball. **$3.00**

No. **4.** Same as No. 1, with Pocket on side and removable hood for completely covering clubs when traveling **$4.00**

CADDY BAG HOLDERS, made of wood, and will support the bag upright. Light weight and indispensable in the absence of Caddy, Price, each,**$1.00**

CAPTIVE GOLF BALL.

Our Captive Golf Ball Outfit consists of an iron stake, to be securely fastened in ground, and attached to which is a piece of rubber and about seventy-five feet of cord, to the end of which is securely fastened a good quality Golf Ball. This outfit will enable the player to practice the different strokes in an ordinary field, and is specially recommended to beginners.

No. **1.** Captive Golf Outfit, complete **$1.50**



CAPTIVE COLF BALL—FOR PRACTICE.

WRIGHT & DITSON
LAWN TEN

SEND FOR

1895

Spring * and * Summer

Catalogue,

CONTAINING PRICES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF ALL GOODS USED IN

BASE BALL, TENNIS,

CRICKET, CYCLING,

FOOT BALL, GOLF, ETC., ETC.

ALL ORDERS RECEIVE PROMPT AND CAREFUL ATTENTION.

WRIGHT & DITSON,

Retail, *Wholesale,*

344 Washington Street *95 Pearl Street,*

BOSTON, MASS.